IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

VS

Criminal Action No. 12-92 Day 5, JURY TRIAL

RICHARD BUSH and MAYANK MISHRA,

Defendants.

Transcript of jury trial proceedings continuing on Monday, December 7, 2015, United States District Court, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, before the Honorable Cathy Bissoon, U.S. District Court Judge.

APPEARANCES:

For the Government:

Brendan T. Conway, Esq.

Donovan Cocas, Esq.

United States Attorney's Office

700 Grant Street

Suite 4000

Pittsburgh, PA 15219 brendan.conway@usdoj.gov Donovan.Cocas@usdoj.gov

For the Defendant Bush:

Alonzo Burney, Esq.

502 Fifth Avenue, Suite 301

McKeesport, PA 15132 aburneysr@comcast.net

For the Defendant Mishra:

Norman J. Silverman, Esq.

Daphne Pattison Silverman, Esq.

Silverman Law Group 501 North IH 35 Austin, TX 78702 lawyernorm@msn.com

daphnesilverman@gmail.com

Court Reporter: Shirley Ann Hall, RDR, CRR 6260 U.S. Courthouse Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 765-0408

Proceedings recorded by digital stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

INDEX

* * * * *

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Robert Thomas By Mr. Cocas By Mr. Silverman By Mr. Burney	13	30 19	28	
Robert Ian Barrett By Mr. Conway By Mr. Burney By Mr. Silverman	36	55 67		
Matthew Lebedda By Mr. Conway By Mr. Burney Jason Binder	143	149		
By Mr. Conway By Mr. Burney By Mr. Silverman	156	159 173		
James Muha By Mr. Conway	180		193 196	
By Mr. Silverman Robert Ian Barrett By Mr. Conway	197	190	190	

8:13:21AM 1 PROCEEDINGS (In open court, with Defendants seated.) 3 THE COURT: Good morning. 4 I do understand -- which juror number is this, Jim --5 not that one --6 MR. IMHOF, DEPUTY CLERK: I'm not certain of that, 7 the basketball? 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. IMHOF, DEPUTY CLERK: I don't know. THE COURT: I don't know if you know about this, 10 11 Mr. Conway --MR. CONWAY: Yes, Your Honor, I just informed --12 13 well, I informed defense counsel and Mr. Imhof. Apparently 14 Mr. -- or Detective Barrett was at the same venue as an 15 alternative juror, and so I wanted to bring him up here and 16 explain the circumstances so that the record is clear as to 17 what happened. And if Your Honor wants to inquire any further 18 of Detective Barrett, you could. So that was my suggestion in 19 that regard. 20 THE COURT: Okay. You can have Detective Barrett --21 before we get the jury, Jim. 22. MR. IMHOF, DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Swear in Detective Barrett, 24 please.

MR. IMHOF, DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

9:10:48AM 1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

RICHARD IAN BARRETT, a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

THE COURT: Tell us what happened.

THE WITNESS: I was at a basketball game Saturday at Peters Township High School. I'm an assistant coach for one of the schools that was playing in the tournament. And after the game I was walking out and I was talking with one of the parents and the parents made a comment about seeing me in a suit, they're not used to seeing me in a suit. They're used to seeing me in basketball clothes or civilian clothes.

And then I turned around and at the concession stand was the alternate juror. And I just made the comment: just going to keep walking. And I walked right -- I walked out of the gym.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, just so I understand, there was dialogue between you and the alternate juror?

THE WITNESS: No, the dialogue was between me and a parent.

THE COURT: Another parent.

THE WITNESS: A parent for the team that I coach.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: And as I turned around, I saw the alternate juror working the concession stand at the game.

THE COURT: I see.

25

```
9:11:53AM 1
                       THE WITNESS: And that's when I made the comment:
         2
             I'm just going to keep walking. And I walked out of the gym.
         3
                       THE COURT: Do you have any reason to believe the
         4
             alternate juror saw you?
         5
                       THE WITNESS: Yes, she saw me.
         6
                       THE COURT: Okay. But there was no dialogue between
         7
             you?
         8
                       THE WITNESS: No.
         9
                       THE COURT: Okay.
        10
                       THE WITNESS: Other than my comment of -- I did speak
        11
             to her with that comment.
        12
                       THE COURT: Okay. You mean the last comment you
        13
             made.
                       THE WITNESS: Yes, I said: I'm going to keep
        14
        15
             walking.
                       And I walked out of the gym.
        16
                       THE COURT: Okay.
        17
                       Any voir dire for Detective Barrett?
        18
                       MR. SILVERMAN: Briefly, Your Honor.
        19
                       THE COURT: Okay.
        20
                       MR. SILVERMAN: Briefly.
        21
                       THE COURT:
                                   Yes.
        22.
                       MR. SILVERMAN: All right. Detective Barrett, what I
        23
             heard you say was -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- but the
        24
             comment was that: I'm not used to seeing you in a suit.
        25
             Right?
```

9:12:58AM 1 THE WITNESS: That was from a parent of one of the teams that I coach. 3 MR. SILVERMAN: Right. And that's the person that 4 knew you from coaching? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 MR. SILVERMAN: But you weren't wearing a suit that night, right? 7 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, it's the first two games of the 9 year. You wear -- in high school you dress up. 10 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. 11 THE WITNESS: But practices and everything and 12 usually scrimmages you just wear basketball clothes. 13 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. 14 THE WITNESS: But for the regular season and the 15 tournament games the coaches dress. 16 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. So what comment did the 17 alternate juror make? 18 THE WITNESS: No, I made the comment to the alternate 19 juror. I saw — as I was talking to the parent, I turned 20 around to go to the concession stand and she was working in the concession stand. And I just said: I'm going to keep walking. 21 22. And I walked away. 23 MR. SILVERMAN: So the alternate juror didn't say 24 anything to you? 25 THE WITNESS: No, no. It was a parent that commented 9:13:51AM 1 about the suit. 2 MR. SILVERMAN: And then the comment about the suit 3 didn't have anything to do with the fact that you wear a suit 4 to court when you testify, and that's not what it was about. 5 THE WITNESS: No, no. It was about -- yeah, 6 coaching. 7 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. So you had no conversation 8 other than --9 I'm leaving. THE WITNESS: 10 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. 11 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Burney? 12 MR. BURNEY: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Any issues? 14 MR. SILVERMAN: Not with this testimony, but I would 15 just ask if the Court would talk to the juror in chambers or 16 something and make sure that their perception is the same and 17 then seal that for later record if need be. 18 THE COURT: Do you know if the alternate juror even 19 recognized you in the context of this? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, she did. THE COURT: All right. Okay. Do you know which 21 22. juror this was? Was this Juror No. 13, I quess? 23 THE WITNESS: The alternate juror that is sitting 24 right in the second to the last.

THE COURT: That's Juror No. 13.

9:14:50AM 1

22.

If counsel would like, what I'll do is invite Juror No. 13 back to my conference room, rather than have her be intimidated in court, and invite counsel back to witness that voir dire. Okay?

MR. SILVERMAN: And I just ask — I don't — I probably won't ask any questions assuming everything is consistent, but I would just ask the Court to admonish the juror not to talk about that with the other jurors.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. SILVERMAN: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I may add, too, as soon as we got on the bus to leave Peters Township, I immediately called Brendan Conway and advised him of what happened.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Thank you, Detective Barrett, you can take a seat.

THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you.

THE COURT: All right, Jim. Why don't we take a brief recess; and if you could get me Juror No. 13 in chambers — and, counsel, I'll ask if you'd wait and —

MS. SILVERMAN: Your Honor, we did have another thing. I have a complete copy of the exhibits of the Defendant's case—in—chief that I wanted to give to you, and it includes in it the zip drive that we talked about of the records that are voluminous. And the other we have print copies, and the additional documents that we were trying to

9:16:12AM 1

2.

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22.

23

25

24

send by e-mail on Friday to Brendan. We also made Mr. Conway a copy of those in case they didn't go through on his e-mail as well. They are photographs and a few more business records.

But also for the record I just wanted to make it clear these are for our case-in-chief and we want to re-lodge an objection to producing anything related to impeachment. Rule 16 specifically excludes — it specifically says it's only for providing documents that are for the case-in-chief and not for anything in impeachment.

And also there's actually a case directly on point in the Western District of Pennsylvania talking about pretrial orders and that's US versus Kubini, and it's 304 FRD 208. It is out of this -- out of this jurisdiction and it says that impeachment and rebuttal exhibits that the Defendants intend to use during cross examination of Government witnesses are not exhibits that have to be produced pursuant to pretrial orders. I just wanted to re-lodge our objection to that.

If the Court still wants us to produce impeachment exhibits, we did make complete copies of all of the potential impeachment exhibits to give to the Court. Otherwise I have -

THE COURT: Any exhibits that you know that you are planning to use, I expect you to provide those to defense, to the Government.

MS. SILVERMAN: So as far as impeachment, we don't know what we expect to use.

9:17:25AM 1 I understand that you say you don't know. THE COURT: But given the way that your exhibits are marked, I know that 3 you do know. So ---4 MS. SILVERMAN: No, no. Those are not marked. The 5 other ones are not marked. 6 I'm not talking about the other one, I'm THE COURT: 7 talking about the ones that we've discussed thus far during the 8 course of the trial. So --9 MS. SILVERMAN: So what I will do then is, over objection, I have copies of each of those to reproduce to the 10 11 Government and a copy for Your Honor as well. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Whatever you have, just if you can 13 pass it up and we'll get started with the voir dire. You can 14 just leave it here on the counter and Jim will get it to me. 15 (Off the record discussion.) 16 THE COURT: We'll stand in brief recess, and 17 Shirley --18 (Brief recess taken in open court, reconvened in the 19 conference room with Juror No. 13 present.) 20 THE COURT: All right. Juror No. 13, right? 21 JUROR NO. 13: Uh-huh. 22. THE COURT: We hear that you perhaps saw 23 Detective Barrett this weekend. Do you have any recollection 24 of having so seen him?

JUROR NO. 13: I did see him at a basketball game.

THE COURT: Did you have any dialogue whatsoever with 9:24:07AM 1 him? 3 JUROR NO. 13: No, I waved. 4 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I would ask that you 5 not discuss your sighting of Detective Barrett with anyone. 6 MEMBER OF THE JURY: Okay. THE COURT: If there's any other voir dire from --7 8 MR. CONWAY: Not from the Government, Your Honor. MR. SILVERMAN: No, Your Honor. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. BURNEY: No, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: That really is it. JUROR NO. 13: Okay. All that for this? I was 13 14 working the concession stand. 15 THE COURT: We understand. 16 JUROR NO. 13: Okay. 17 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 18 JUROR NO. 13: Sure. 19 (Juror No. 13 exits conference room.) 20 THE COURT: Any objection? 21 MR. CONWAY: Not from the Government. 22. THE COURT: You all have to put it on the record. 23 Mr. Burney and Mr. Silverman? 24 MR. SILVERMAN: 25 THE COURT: Mr. Burney?

9:24:23AM 1

22.

MR. BURNEY: No, Your Honor.

(In open court, with Defendants seated.)

THE COURT: What we're back on the record now.

Mr. Conway, I know that you sent a heads up with respect to who the witnesses are. For the record if you could just briefly tell us what we're going to hear today.

MR. CONWAY: Sure, Your Honor. We'll obviously finish with the cross examination of Mr. Thomas, and then we'll recall Detective Barrett, who I expect will basically take us through the telephone calls up until March 14th of 2002.

Matthew Lebedda who was involved in the execution of the search warrant of the Honda vehicle. We also intend to present the testimony of James Muha. He was the custodian of records for the Honda search warrant and also what we call the Rankin search warrant, which was Mr. Wheeler's residence. And then after that, Detective Jason Binder, who was involved in the surveillance that led ultimately to the search warrant of the Mills Avenue apartment which we sort of refer to as the Wheeler stash house. And then if we get there, we'll call Special Agent David Hedges to testify about a number of different matters.

THE COURT: Just so I understand with respect to Detective Barrett, will that conclude Detective Barrett's testimony as far as the Government is concerned?

9:30:32AM 1 In all likelihood, Your Honor. MR. CONWAY: at the Middle Road search warrant, so if there's any issues --3 he wasn't really involved in the seizure of evidence, so I 4 believe that will conclude it. But just for the sake of 5 protection, I'm going to reserve the right to recall him, but I 6 do believe that will conclude his testimony. 7 THE COURT: All right. Very good. Jim, if you could get the jury. 8 9 MR. IMHOF, DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 10 (Jurors seated.) 11 THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat, welcome back, jurors. I see you're all bright eyed and bushy tailed and ready to go, 12 13 right? Without further ado, we'll have Mr. Thomas retake the 14 stand. 15 And, Jim, if you wouldn't mind swearing in Mr. Thomas 16 again, please. 17 MR. IMHOF, DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 18 ROBERT THOMAS, a witness herein, having been 19 previously duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 20 CROSS EXAMINATION 21 THE COURT: Mr. Silverman. 22. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, ma'am. 23 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 24 Okay, Mr. Thomas, just to get us back on track here.

you're the chemist that tested the substance that was allegedly

- 9:33:07AM 1 seized from Steave's, correct?
 - 2 A Yes.
 - 3 Q All right. And we had been talking about the fact that
 - 4 you had received 250, roughly, little wax paper bags in total.
 - 5 Right?
 - 6 A Correct.
 - 7 Q Okay. And there were two designs on the bags, right?
 - 8 A There's yes.
 - 9 Q All right. Out of that group, 250, you selected 14 at
 - 10 random and did a color screen, right?
 - 11 A From each design group, yes.
 - 12 Q Fourteen from each design group?
 - 13 A Each design group; so there was a 250, a white one and one
 - 14 with a stamp.
 - 15 Q All right. Now, from these groups of 14 so that's 28
 - 16 | in total?
 - 17 A Correct.
 - 18 Q And how many GCMS runs did you do? Did you do one for
 - 19 each group of 14 or did you combine the two groups?
 - 20 A There was one run per 14.
 - 21 Q Okay. And so the GCMS is the confirmatory test, right?
 - 22 A Right.
 - 23 Q Gas chromatograph mass spectrometer?
 - 24 A Yes.
 - 25 Q Sorry about my tongue getting in the way, but you know

- 9:35:03AM 1
- what I mean. And so to do the GCMS you took a little bit from each of the 14 bags, right?
 - 3 A Correct.
 - 4 | Q And you then combined them into a two different
 - 5 composites, is that right?
 - 6 A Correct.
 - 7 Q Okay. And this these composites were then analyzed to
 - 8 determine whether heroin was present. Right?
 - 9 A Yes.
 - 10 Q All right. And so as a matter and the GCMS we've
 - 11 | already established is a highly sensitive instrument, right?
 - 12 | A Yes.
 - 13 Q All right. And what that told you then as a matter of
 - 14 pure logic is that at least one of these little bags that made
 - 15 up the composite contained some amount of heroin. Correct?
 - 16 A No, we can get back to the color test. The color test
 - 17 | tells me that there's a classification of opiates. The GCMS
 - 18 run tells me specifically what classification of opiates is
 - 19 contained.
 - 20 Q Okay. And so the color test it doesn't it's your
 - 21 testimony today that the color test has no false positives for
 - 22 anything other than an opiate, right?
 - 23 | A No.
 - 24 Q Okay. In fact, any alkaloid substance turns the color
 - 25 test colors, right?

- 9:36:50AM 1
- A Can I explain the color test further?
- 2 \parallel Q No, you can answer that question. Isn't it true that it
- 3 reacts to alkaloids?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q All right. So when you say the color test told me it was
- 6 an opiate --
- 7 A Yeah.
- 8 Q if that that's the color test will react to other
- 9 non-opiates, fair, alkaloids?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q All right. So we're back to where we were. The GCMS is
- 12 so sensitive that if one of the 14 members of the composite set
- 13 contained some heroin, the GCMS would come back positive for
- 14 heroin. True?
- 15 A True.
- 16 | Q All right. So that tells us that at least one of the 14
- 17 contained some heroin. Right?
- 18 A Sure.
- 19 Q All right. You did not run -- and so the way the
- 20 instrument works is you inject the unknown, right?
- 21 **|** A Yes.
- 22 Q And you inject the profile or you inject the substance
- 23 that will -- that's a control, that will show the same spikes
- 24 as the unknown, correct?
- 25 | A No.

- 9:38:00AM 1
- Q You just compare after the injection to what the NIST standard is?
- 3 A The NIST library.
- 4 Q Okay. And the NIST library is National Institute of
- 5 Standards and Technologies, and they have these chromatograms
- 6 for many different substances, right?
- 7 A Right.
- 8 Q Okay. And so in addition to your experience working in
- 9 the Crime Lab, you also work presently for Smith --
- 10 A Thermo Fisher Scientific.
- 11 | Q Okay. And it -- Smith Detection or -- you had worked at
- 12 | Smith Detection, is that right?
- 13 A No.
- 14 MR. SILVERMAN: May I approach the witness?
- 15 THE COURT: Yes.
- 16 BY MR. SILVERMAN:
- 17 Q I'm showing you your resume, and I guess I misspoke. Is
- 18 what you're saying here is you're trained by Smith Detection?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Okay. And the thing that you're trained and that was
- 21 | back in 2010, June, right?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q And that's in Pittsburgh, right?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. And the thing that Smith Detection trained you on

9:39:45AM 1 \parallel is the ion scan, right?

- A Correct.
- 3 Q And the ion scan is the familiarity that most of us would
- 4 have with something like that is the instrument in the airport
- 5 when you are the lucky person that gets selected for more
- 6 thorough screening and they swab your hands, right?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q And they put that little thing in and they and the ion
- 9 scan tests for trace residue of in the airport it's probably
- 10 explosives or something. But -- right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q But then it's also used in law enforcement to test for
- 13 traces of narcotics, right?
- 14 | A Yes.
- 15 Q You know quite well that the ion scan is capable of being
- 16 utilized to examine money, don't you?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And that's why when money is seized. It's very important
- 19 to examine the money --
- 20 MR. COCAS: Objection, foundation, lacks relevance;
- 21 not talking about money here with this expert.
- 22 THE COURT: Objection sustained.
- 23 BY MR. SILVERMAN:
- 24 Q Well, were you asked to examine any currency in this case?
- 25 | A No.

9:40:59AM 1 MR. SILVERMAN: Pass the witness. THE COURT: Mr. Burney, anything? 3 MR. BURNEY: Yes, Your Honor. 4 CROSS EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. BURNEY: 6 Mr. Thomas, going back to your time that you worked in 7 the — is it the Allegheny County Crime Lab? 8 Yes, sir. Okay. And the Allegheny County Crime Lab, as far as 9 analysis purposes, you and how many other chemists work in the 10 11 same capacity? In my department there was eight. 12 13 Eight. 14 Eight. 15 Okay. And Allegheny County services how many different 16 police departments and entities? 17 I couldn't tell you a number. 18 Are you the Crime Lab for all the police departments in 19 Allegheny County? You know or you don't know? 20 We also get outside submitting agencies, but we serve the 21 Allegheny County Crime -- the Allegheny County Police, other 22. jurisdictions, townships contained in the county. 23 So if I'm correct, besides different police departments in 24 Allegheny County, you say you also service other police

departments outside of Allegheny County.

9:43:05AM 1

- A Correct.
- 2 Q Now, you said there's eight people in your department and 3 you would share the load of the cases that come in?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q And the procedure for as cases would come in, can you tell
- 6 me a little bit about that. You come to work, you're going to
- 7 work on a case, how does that start?
- 8 A At the beginning of the day I see what court cases are
- 9 coming up. So if something gets submitted today, there is
- 10 normally a six month to a year window that requires you to
- 11 analyze that. We have cases that are backed up and you want to
- 12 get everything completed before the court dates.
- So what the front office does is they will pick up
- 14 the evidence in the folders of the drugs that are closer to the
- 15 court date. So I will go they will bring those drug cases
- 16 up, they seal them into an evidence locker, which we each have
- 17 our own identifying card to swipe into. I sign that evidence
- 18 into my jurisdiction and I put it in a locked case and work
- 19 | from there.
- 20 Q Okay. So when you first see an envelope, it is in your
- 21 sealed locker, is that correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And who would be the person that would bring it up to that
- 24 sealed locker?
- 25 A The evidence clerks.

9:44:38AM 1

- Q At your department.
- A At our department.
- 3 Q And, of course, where would they obtain that evidence?
- 4 A From the submitting agency.
- 5 Q And the submitting agency, that process, they come they
- 6 hand it over personally to someone or they just put it in
- 7 another locked container or do you know how that works?
- 8 A There is an overnight procedure which I'm not familiar
- 9 with, but the daytime procedure is they will come in and submit
- 10 the evidence to the evidence clerk.
- 11 | Q Okay. And who is the evidence case -- excuse me. Who was
- 12 the evidence person on the Steave case that it was submitted
- 13 to?
- 14 A That's something I didn't look up.
- 15 Q Okay. And as far as who brought the evidence to the
- 16 location for the Allegheny County Crime Lab, did you have that
- 17 information also in the records?
- 18 A Yes, that would be on the submittal form that I spoke of
- 19 on Friday.
- 20 Q Okay. Now, all the records cases that you deal with
- 21 are criminal cases?
- 22 | A Yes.
- 23 Q And in this particular situation with there being criminal
- 24 cases, they're normally that someone has been arrested or
- 25 stopped, these items have been confiscated, and now they're

9:46:18AM 1

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

submitting it to your department for an analysis.

- A Correct.
- Q And these are usually suspected illegal narcotics, is that correct?
- 5 A Correct.
 - Q Is it true that most of the time, if not all of the time, that these items from these persons that have that have been confiscated from are people that are unlicensed and not like yourself as far as being a chemist or pharmacist or whatever?
- 10 A You mean the submitting agent or --
 - Q No, no, no, not the submitting agent. The person you said they're criminal cases. There's a name on the case such as Mr. Steave's was on the case. But that person usually, if not all the time, is someone who is not someone such as a chemist like yourself or a licensed pharmacist. It's just someone who is a citizen out there that now they need an analysis of what was confiscated from that person.
 - A Yes.
- Q And usually those persons, being unregistered and unlicensed, are people who don't have the background of doctors and chemists or whatever as far as to deal with packaging or materials. Is that normally the case?
- 23 A I don't know what you mean by that.
- Q What I mean is if I go to a pharmacy and I am going to obtain some type of prescription, usually the person who is

9:47:47AM 1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

making up the prescription and packaging the prescription is someone who has had some type of specialized training in the area, some type of expertise, could be similar to yourself, in dealing with packaging analysis. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q What I'm saying is like these persons that — when these substances come in and you're now going to analyze it, most of the time, if not all of the time, these persons don't have that background as far as being someone who is a specialist, pharmacist, a chemist, as far as to be able to deal with like you would deal with as far as packaging or analysis.

MR. COCAS: Objection, lacks foundation.

THE COURT: Objection sustained.

14 BY MR. BURNEY:

- Q Now, the date that the Steave case came in was what date?
- 16 A I don't have that in front of me.
- Q Okay. And looking at the records, I think you testified that they were received in your office on December the 3rd,
- 19 2011? Would that be something that would refresh your memory?
- 20 A Is that what's is that what's on the submittal form?
- Q Okay. Well, let me ask you this. Do you have any independent knowledge whatsoever about the Steave case?
- 23 | A No.
- Q Okay. So your testimony would be from being refreshed by looking at the records, is that correct?

- 9:49:43AM 1
- A Yes.
- 2 | Q Okay. And now at the time when you were working there
- 3 and working the Steave case, do you recognize the name
- 4 | Erin Mullin who is also a forensic evidence specialist?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And who was she?
- 7 A She was the evidence clerk.
- 8 Q She was the evidence clerk.
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. And she would be the one who would receive it from
- 11 the submitting agency?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q And she would be the one therefore that would bring it to
- 14 you for the evidence locker, is that correct?
- 15 A She would be one of three. We have three evidence clerks.
- 16 She could just be the one who received the evidence, but one of
- 17 the three bring those up to our locker.
- 18 Q Okay. And so therefore, like you said, you wouldn't have
- 19 direct contact with the submitting police agency yourself.
- 20 | A No.
- 21 Q Now, do you have any independent recollection as far as
- 22 how long it was before you were able to then deal with, what
- 23 your testimony has been, the analysis of the items in this
- 24 particular case?
- 25 A Are you talking about the time between submittal and

9:50:59AM 1

- analysis?
- 2 **Q** Yes.
- 3 A No, I don't. I would have to look at the record.
- 4 Q Okay. So would your time period you're saying is it
- 5 could be anywhere from one month to six months or whenever. It
- 6 depends upon your caseload, is that correct?
- 7 A It's caseload, importance, rush. You can get a rush on a 8 case.
- 9 Q And like you said, you have no recollection that there was any rush on this particular case.
- 11 A Not at this time, no.
- 12 Q Now, I know you -- you've been questioned regarding the --
- 13 what was submitted, and I think your testimony was that there
- 14 were when you looked at it, there were two groups of
- 15 suspected heroin and it was labeled already when you looked at
- 16 | it, or it was not?
- 17 A It came in bundles, so it was packaged. And to look for
- 18 the homogenous of the sample, I take all of the -- all the bags
- 19 out of the packaging.
- 20 O Uh-huh.
- 21 A And then I separate based on some of the characteristics.
- 22 In this case there was just white bags and the other, and then
- 23 the other one was the one with the stamps, so those were
- 24 separated.
- 25 Q Right. There was 92 and 150. Correct?

- 9:52:26AM 1 A
 - 2 Q And the 92, they were all in similar looking bags,
 - 3 correct?
 - 4 A Yes.
 - 5 Q And the 150, they were all in similar looking bags --
 - 6 A Yes.
 - 7 Q -- correct? Now, is there -- I know you went through by
 - 8 saying that you took 14 of 292 and you took 14 of 150 for
 - 9 analysis purposes.

Yes.

- 10 | A Yes.
- 11 | Q Is there some reason that you don't analyze all 92 and all
- 12 | 150?
- 13 A It's not the sampling plan that we were given.
- 14 Q I'm sorry, I didn't hear that.
- 15 A It's not the sampling plan that we follow.
- 16 Q Okay. You said there's a sampling plan that you follow.
- 17 And who who gives the sampling plan to you?
- 18 A That's given to us by our managers.
- 19 Q Okay. And the sampling plan but is there anything to
- 20 prevent you from testing all 92 or all 150?
- 21 A The cases that I would sample we'll just use the 92 for
- 22 example. If all 92 if I were to empty them out and they
- 23 would have different colored powders inside or if those colors
- 24 tested differently, that would require me to test all of them.
- 25 Q I know you're talking about what's required in the sample,

- 9:53:50AM 1
- but I'm saying is there anything from stopping you from testing
- 2 all 92 or testing all 150?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q And so, therefore, when you say the sampling, so you
- 5 | really of the 92, you really only analyzed, from your
- 6 description, 14. Correct?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q And of the 150, you really only analyzed 14. Correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 | Q And in that analysis I know, as you've been questioned, is
- 11 | that of the 14 you took -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- and
- 12 you combined all 14 samples before you performed your test in
- 13 the one, and then you also did the same procedure by combining
- 14 | all 14 samples with the other one. Correct?
- 15 A After the color testing, they were combined.
- 16 Q Okay. And so, therefore, you might have a suspicion, but
- 17 you really don't positively know what the other 78 were -- that
- 18 was of the 92?
- 19 A Those were not tested.
- 20 Q And of the 150, you really don't know what the other 136
- 21 were, correct?
- 22 A Those were not tested.
- 23 Q Now, as far as this procedure, you said you were
- 24 instructed to do this sampling method. Like you said, this was
- 25 by your superiors and they have their reasons why they give you

that when things come in of that nature, correct? 9:55:21AM 1 Correct. Are there different sampling procedures for different 4 suspected substances? 5 Yes. 6 Okay. And is there a — any time — that is, if it say 7 was suspected cocaine, would you use the same sampling method 8 or is there a different sampling method? The same sampling method for all drugs in the number of 9 10 samples. So, for example, marijuana. I don't use GCMS for 11 marijuana. But if there was 92 baggies of marijuana, they 12 would be sampled the same way. You would only take — sample 14? 13 14 Correct. 15 Okay. 16 MR. BURNEY: No further questions, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 Redirect? 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. COCAS: Mr. Thomas, you were asked on cross by Mr. Silverman about 21 22. the color test, and you seemed to want to explain what that is. 23 Would you explain the color test now. 24 The color test that I use is for suspected opiates. It's used in alkaloids, as counsel explained. It's also 25

9:56:48AM 1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- utilized for amphetamines methamphetamines or just the amphetamines. The color test I used in this specific test was Marquis, which is one color text, Mecke, which is another, and Froehde. When I suspect heroin, the colors I would expect to see is purple, green and purple. If it was morphine, I would see a purple, green and a blue. You can tell by the battery of tests, you can tell or get a better understanding of what you're looking at by using multiple color tests. So this just wasn't one color reaction I saw a reaction that was it.
- 10 Q Okay. And did you perform the color test on the material in all of the bags?
- 12 | A No.
- Q Okay. And so in this case I take it you found purple, qreen and purple?
- 15 A For Marquis, Mecke, Froehde, the same order, purple, green, purple.
- Q But you performed two other tests on the material, did you not?
- 19 A The GCMS.
- Q Okay. And after performing those two other tests, were you left with any doubt at all that the material you had had a combined weight of 7.43 grams of heroin?
- 23 \blacksquare A The Exhibit 1-A-1 and the total of 1-A-2, correct.
- Q Correct. You conclude so is it accurate to say that you concluded in your expert opinion that you had 7.43 grams

```
total of a material containing heroin?
9:58:05AM 1
                   Correct.
          3
                   And these tests -- are these the same tests you have used
          4
              in the other hundreds of times that you tested for heroin?
          5
                   Yes.
          6
                   Did you ever in all of that time get any feedback from
          7
              someone in saying: Hey, you've tested this and you've said
              it's heroin but, in fact, it's not heroin?
          8
          9
                   No.
         10
                        MR. COCAS:
                                   Okay, thank you.
         11
                        THE COURT:
                                    Okay.
         12
                        Any recross?
         13
                        MR. SILVERMAN:
                                        Yes, ma'am.
         14
                                    RECROSS EXAMINATION
         15
              BY MR. SILVERMAN:
         16
                   But, in fact, that's happened before in other labs and you
         17
              know it. Right?
         18
                   Not to my knowledge.
         19
                   You haven't heard of labs falsely calling a substance a
         20
              controlled substance based on random sampling?
                        MR. COCAS: Objection, lacks foundation and asked and
         21
         22.
              answered.
         23
                                         I'm asking him.
                        MR. SILVERMAN:
         24
                        THE COURT: The objection is sustained, asked and
         25
              answered.
```

9:59:00AM 1 BY MR. SILVERMAN: Are you familiar with the Dallas Sheetrock case? 3 Α I am not. 4 Okay. Are you familiar with turkey dope? 5 No. 6 Okay. It's a Texas thing. 7 Α Okay. 8 Are you familiar with counterfeit or simulated controlled 9 substances? 10 You're referring to synthetic marijuana? 11 No, I'm referring to, for example, a brick comes in that 12 is suspected cocaine, but only the outermost layer is cocaine. It's designed to defraud prospective buyers. Are you familiar 13 14 with that? 15 I am not. 16 Okay. So you've never had any fraudulent controlled 17 substances come across your table. 18 Not to my knowledge. 19 All right. So you were talking just now on a --20 I want to mark this drawing I just MR. SILVERMAN: 21 made as Defendant's Exhibit 17-M. 22. MS. SILVERMAN: No. 23 MR. SILVERMAN: No --24 THE COURT: Objections?

MR. SILVERMAN: It's going to be for demonstrative

10:00:27AM 1 purposes. 2 THE COURT: Then it's not an exhibit. 3 MR. SILVERMAN: I just want the jury to refer back to 4 it to understand the process. THE COURT: You can call it something else; it's not 5 6 an exhibit. MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. A summary chart? 7 8 THE COURT: Sure. MR. SILVERMAN: Summary Chart 1. 9 For that purpose I offer Summary Chart 1. 10 THE COURT: For what? 11 MR. SILVERMAN: For the jury to be able to refer back 12 13 to it so they can remember the process. 14 THE COURT: Okay, that will not go back with the jury 15 because it is not an exhibit. Your notes are not an exhibit. 16 MR. SILVERMAN: But it's a summary of --17 THE COURT: Any objection? 18 MR. COCAS: Yes, for the reasons Your Honor has 19 stated. 20 THE COURT: Okay. The objection is sustained. 21 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. 22. BY MR. SILVERMAN: 23 So you threw out the word homogeneous, right? 24 Yes. 25 G-E-N-I-U-S -- you know what I mean.

```
10:01:33AM 1
                    Yeah.
                    All right. Homogeneous means — that's a term from
           3
              physical chemistry, right?
           4
                         MR. COCAS: Objection, we're beyond the scope of
           5
               redirect now.
           6
                         THE COURT: Objection sustained.
           7
                         MR. SILVERMAN:
                                         It's not beyond the scope of
           8
               redirect, Your Honor, if I could have just a couple of
           9
               questions.
                         THE COURT:
                                     I'll bear with you.
          10
          11
                         MR. SILVERMAN: All right.
          12
              BY MR. SILVERMAN:
                    That's a — that's from physical chemistry, right?
          13
          14
               Α
                    Yes.
          15
                    And that means that the suspected substance is in a
          16
               constant state, right?
          17
                    Yes.
          18
                    Okay. The — the suspected controlled substance, right?
          19
              Α
                    Yes.
                    Okay. You didn't even open 200-and-something bags, right?
          20
          21
                         MR. COCAS: Objection, that misstates the testimony.
          22.
              BY MR. SILVERMAN:
          23
                    Did you open every bag?
          24
                    Did not.
```

All right. So you don't know what state the suspected

illegal substance was in, right? 10:02:30AM 1 I was referring to the packaging. 3 I know. 0 4 Α Okay. 5 It's not the packaging that you're testing for illegality, 6 though, is it? 7 I'm not testing the packaging, no. 8 So it doesn't really matter if the packaging is 9 homogeneous, right? 10 MR. COCAS: Objection, argumentative. 11 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 12 Does it? THE COURT: Objection overruled. 13 14 I'm sorry, restate that again. THE WITNESS: 15 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 16 Does it matter that the packaging is homogeneous? 17 For testing purposes. For population of testing purposes 18 it does. But you're here to test suspected heroin, a powder, right? 19 20 Yes. 21 Okay. And sometimes the powder in each bag is 22. homogeneous, right? 23 Α Yes. 24 And other times you might open one little bag and see blue

specks in it and open another little bag and not see blue

```
10:03:30AM 1
               specks in it, right?
                    Right.
           3
                    So those two -- correct me if I'm wrong -- would not be
           4
               homogeneous, right?
                    Correct. But they would be sampled differently.
           5
           6
                    Only if you opened the bag to see, correct?
           7
                    Correct.
               Α
           8
                         MR. SILVERMAN: Pass the witness.
           9
                         THE COURT: Anything more, Mr. Burney?
          10
                         MR. BURNEY: No, Your Honor.
          11
                         THE COURT:
                                     Okay.
          12
                         Thank you, you may step down.
          13
                         THE WITNESS: Thank you.
          14
                    (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
          15
                         THE COURT: The Government's next witness?
          16
                         MR. CONWAY: The United States calls — recalls
          17
               Detective Ian Barrett.
          18
                         RICHARD IAN BARRETT, a witness herein, having been
          19
              previously sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
          20
                                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
          21
                         THE COURT: Put that mike right in front of you,
          22.
               Detective Barrett, please.
          23
                         THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
          24
                         THE COURT: Thank you.
          25
                         All right. You can proceed, Mr. Conway.
```

10:04:49AM 1 | BY MR. CONWAY:

- 2 | Q Detective Barrett, I believe when we left off we had
- 3 | finished playing calls from the end of November of 2011. Is
- 4 that consistent with your recollection?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Now I want to move into December, specifically
- 7 December 2nd, 2011. At this point in time are you still
- 8 intercepting Sherron Whitehead's phone?
- 9 A Yes, we are.
- 10 Q And do you intercept his phone in connection with
- 11 conversations that occurred subsequent to the Deandre Steave
- 12 seizure?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 MR. CONWAY: I want to play for the jury what's been
- 15 admitted into evidence as Government Exhibit FBI-327.
- 16 (Exhibit FBI-327 played in open court.)
- 17 BY MR. CONWAY:
- 18 Q All right, sir. Would you explain to the jury what's
- 19 happening in this telephone call?
- 20 A Yes, Sherron Whitehead is talking to the male in that call
- 21 and he's letting him know he was just at Doodle's crib, or
- 22 DeLo, both nicknames for Deandre Steave, when the police ran in
- 23 there and they took all the joints, all the cheese. That means
- 24 they took all the heroin and all of the money.
- 25 And you'll hear -- there's another reference in there, you

hear -- you keep hearing them say, "M-O-B word, M-O-B word." 10:08:56AM 1 2. That just means like: I'm not lying, I'm telling you the 3 truth. M-O-B word, M-O-B meaning Member of Blood. So they're 4 saying: I'm not lying, this really just happened. 5 Now, they also refer to Shuman in that telephone call? 6 The male asks Sherron if Deandre Steave is going to 7 get a bail. And he said no, they took him to Shuman. 8 Shuman is the juvenile detention center for juveniles. And the 9 male was confused. He said: But -- but Deedle is 19. 10 know, why would they take him to Shuman? 11 The reason that they took him to Shuman is that he had a 12 juvenile attachment. There was a warrant for Deandre Steave, 13 and that's what he was arrested on. 14 And in this case at the end Mr. Whitehead said something 15 about: It's not about anything, I just have to make one 16 telephone call? 17 Yeah, at the end. After all of the talk about -- and it 18 sounds very distressed. They're -- they sound very distressed, 19 like, "What?" "M-O-B word," you know, like -- but then at the 20 end Sherron Whitehead calms everything down and says, "It ain't 21 about nothing, I just have to make one phone call." 22. And approximately ten minutes later who does he call? 23 Richard Bush.

MR. CONWAY: Let's play Government Exhibit FBI-328. (Exhibit FBI-328 partially played in open court.)

24

10:10:42AM 1

22.

THE COURT: Could we pause it? This is probably — there are too many interruptions in the tape for it to be meaningful for the jury.

What I'll ask is we'll take a break right now and,

Jim, can you see if Sean can come up here, Sean Fox, and maybe
help out with trying to discern what's wrong?

MR. IMHOF, DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we will take a recess to see if we can make these wiretaps more audible for you.

(Jurors exit courtroom.)

THE COURT: Okay, Jim is going to see if he can contact Mr. Fox to see if he can discern what's happening with the audio as it's filtered through here. This one was particularly — just seemed like a lot of breaks in this particular one. So we'll take a recess to see if we can get that hammered out and hopefully we can.

(Brief recess taken.)

(In open court with Defendants seated.)

THE COURT: I understand we were not able to resolve the issue with the audio?

MR. CONWAY: We are not, Your Honor, and so — but we believe we have identified a solution that will take overnight to do. So what we intend to do is finish up with Detective Barrett with regard to his non-telephone call

10:34:15AM 1

22.

testimony and then move on to other witnesses.

THE COURT: Okay. Will there be more witnesses than you anticipated for today or will it still be the same?

MR. CONWAY: If I could get back to you perhaps after lunch, Your Honor, with regard to that, I'd appreciate that time to make that determination.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CONWAY: But we sort of overplanned, so my guess is we'll still be just those witnesses; but if I could have that time to answer you —

THE COURT: What I intend to tell the jury is that we're still experiencing some technical difficulties so we are going to postpone the review of the wiretap information until tomorrow.

MR. CONWAY: Yes, I think from what I can tell it will likely be resolved by tomorrow.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CONWAY: Basically convert the .wav files to MP3 files which we believe will solve the problem. That's what we did for the opening, we used MP3 files. And I thought the problem was playing it through PowerPoint, but maybe it was more of a problem than I anticipated.

THE COURT: Okay.

Any issues?

MR. SILVERMAN: No, ma'am.

10:35:23AM 1 THE COURT: Mr Burney? MR. BURNEY: No. THE COURT: Good; get the jury. 3 4 (Jurors seated.) 5 THE COURT: Okay, have a seat. 6 And, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we are still 7 experiencing some technical difficulties with respect to the audio on the wiretaps, so we're going to postpone that wiretap 8 9 evidence until tomorrow and accordingly postpone 10 Detective Barrett's testimony regarding those wiretaps until 11 tomorrow. But Detective Barrett is going to continue on with his testimony regarding other issues. 12 13 MR. CONWAY: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 BY MR. CONWAY: 15 Now, Detective Barrett, in connection with your 16 investigation, you did review text messages between Mr. Wheeler 17 and Mr. Bush, is that correct? 18 Yes. 19 And those are -- we -- are admitted into evidence already, 20 is that correct? 21 Correct. 22. Can you just describe generally speaking what those text 23 messages were. 24 Yes, they were real simple text messages.

MR. SILVERMAN:

I'm sorry, can we see what the

10:37:35AM 1 message, best evidence -- 403, can we actually see the text --2 THE COURT: The text messages have already been 3 admitted. 4 MR. SILVERMAN: I don't know which one we're talking 5 about. 6 THE COURT: I don't know he's talking about a 7 particular one, is he? 8 MR. CONWAY: I was not, Your Honor. I was asking 9 generally speaking can you describe them to the members of the 10 jury. 11 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 12 MR. SILVERMAN: The objection then is it's cumulative 13 and the text messages themselves are the best evidence. 14 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 15 BY MR. CONWAY: 16 Just generally speaking can you describe them very briefly 17 to the members of the jury. 18 Yes. Mr. Bush would send out a simple text message to 19 Mr. Wheeler and it would just say "Yo". And if he didn't get a 20 response, he would send another text message that just said 21 "Yo". And then eventually Mr. Wheeler would always text back 22. something, and it was usually "On my way." And typically an 23 hour or so later Mr. Wheeler would show up at Mr. Bush's house. 24 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay, that's non-responsive to the 25 question about text messages between Bush and Mishra. That was

the question. 10:38:42AM 1 THE COURT: Objection overruled. That was not. 2 3 was Bush and Wheeler. Wheeler. MR. SILVERMAN: Can I ask the court reporter to check 4 5 that, please? 6 MR. CONWAY: Well, Your Honor -- just to make it 7 perfectly clear, if one of us misspoke. BY MR. CONWAY: 8 Are the text messages we're talking about between Mr. Bush 9 10 and Willis Wheeler? 11 Α Yes. 12 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. 13 BY MR. CONWAY: And did you participate in the preparation of sort of a 14 15 timeline that compared the pole camera data that we had with 16 the text messages that you're referring to? 17 Yes, I did. 18 And would it be fair to say that you participated in only 19 sort of one-half of that preparation of that demonstrative 20 exhibit? Yes, I prepared the text messages. 21 22. 0 Okay. 23 MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, we would like to display a 24 demonstrative exhibit marked FBI-483.

THE COURT: And that is that a text message?

10:39:39AM 1 MR. CONWAY: The demonstrative exhibit we just talked 2. about, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Any objection? 4 MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, I don't think the proper 5 predicate has been laid for the second half of that. 6 person has testified that he knows what the content of those texts is, but not what the content of the pole cam is. 7 8 we're halfway there. 9 MR. CONWAY: And I'm not asking for it to be admitted at that point, Your Honor. All I'm asking for is to display it 10 11 so that he can describe what he did do on the bottom of that 12 exhibit. 13 MR. SILVERMAN: There's not a foundation to display 14 that yet. 15 Objection sustained. THE COURT: 16 MR. CONWAY: Okay. 17 BY MR. CONWAY: 18 Well, with regard to the bottom half of this exhibit, this 19 timeline exhibit, could you explain to the members of the jury 20 what you did. 21 I just prepared the text messages between 22. Willis Wheeler and Richard Bush and exactly what I talked about 23 before, the text messages reading "Yo" and text messages 24 reading "On my way." There's also in there -- there was a

phone call from Sylvia Bush to Richard Bush saying, "Willis is

- 10:40:51AM 1
- here." And Mr. Bush saying that -- okay.
- 2 MR. CONWAY: If you could switch to the ELMO,
- 3 Mr. Imhof.
- 4 BY MR. CONWAY:
- 5 Q So this document that you prepared, it will have various
- 6 dates here throughout, for example, March of 2014. Is that
- 7 | correct?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q And it will have on the bottom part of it it will have
- 10 | text messages from Mr. Wheeler that basically say something to
- 11 the effect "On my way" or something like that.
- 12 | A Yes.
- 13 | Q So, for example, if we could look at -- you have the
- 14 exhibit in front of you, is that correct?
- 15 A I do.
- 16 Q Could you give me an example of one on, for example,
- 17 March 13th, 2012.
- 18 A March 12th I'll give you March 12th.
- 19 Q All right.
- 20 A It's a text message. It just says, "On my way."
- 21 Q "On my way." And there is an exhibit number on the
- 22 exhibit that would tell us where the jury could find the text
- 23 messages that say, "On my way"?
- 24 A FBI-459.
- 25 MR. CONWAY: If we could switch back to the --

BY MR. CONWAY: 2 Q 459 did you say? 3 A Yes, 459. 4 Q And that exhibit number is referenced right on that exhibit, is that true? 6 A It is.

7 MR. CONWAY: If we could switch back to the laptop — 8 and if we could display Government Exhibit FBI-459.

9 BY MR. CONWAY:

10

16

17

18

- Q What would we see there?
- 11 A You'll see a text message from Richard Bush to
- 12 Willis Wheeler saying, "Yo."
- 13 Q And if we wanted to look at the times --

MR. CONWAY: Can we see that? Can we go up a little

bit and see the time of that particular text message?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, you have the date, 3-12-2012, and then you have the start time and the end time are the same. 13-0-5 is military time for 1:05 p.m.

19 BY MR. CONWAY:

- 20 Q And then do we have Mr. Wheeler ultimately responding to 21 that text message?
- 22 A We do. At -- I'm sorry -- at -- on the same date, roughly
 23 four minutes later, thirteen-zero-nine or 1:09 p.m., "On my
- 24 way."
- 25 Q And you did that essentially for March of 2012 in

10:44:14AM 1 connection with the timeline that's in front of you. Yes. 3 March 14th, 2012, let me turn your attention to that day. 4 Was there an operational sort of plan that was put in place 5 to — to sort of execute search warrants and that type of thing 6 on that day? 7 Α Yes. Can you explain that plan to the members of the jury? 8 9 Yes, we were coming towards the end of the case and we 10 felt we established ---11 MR. SILVERMAN: Objection, non-responsive. 12 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 13 THE WITNESS: We established what we wanted to do 14 operationally to -- to begin the takedown of the case. 15 particular, we were waiting for — 16 MR. SILVERMAN: Objection, non-responsive. 17 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 18 THE WITNESS: We were waiting for the text message 19 that you saw, we were waiting for the text message, "Yo" and 20 then we were waiting for, "On my way." And then we were going 21 to execute our plan to execute search warrants of 22. Willis Wheeler's vehicle, his residence in Rankin, and 23 Richard Bush's house. But what we had established was because of the timeline, Richard -- Willis Wheeler was, we believed, 24

stopping at a stash house, so --

MR. SILVERMAN: Objection, narrative. 10:46:07AM 1 THE COURT: That's what the question calls for. 3 Objection overruled. 4 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. Then the objection is that it's — it calls for a narrative. 5 6 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 7 THE WITNESS: We also knew that Willis Wheeler was very surveillance conscious. We had already been burned by him 8 9 on surveillance. 10 MR. SILVERMAN: Objection. Can we please do it in 11 Q-and-A so it's not just a narration? 12 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 13 Continue, Detective Barrett. 14 THE WITNESS: So again we waited for that text 15 message. We were going to execute the plan of the three search 16 warrants and, again, we didn't want to get too close to 17 Willis Wheeler. So we utilized an airplane to follow 18 Willis Wheeler from his office and eventually to Richard Bush's 19 house. The plane did report -- the text message did come. came "Yo," "On my way" so we executed the plan. 20 MR. CONWAY: Well, let's display for the members of 21 the jury Government Exhibit FBI-440. 22. 23 BY MR. CONWAY: 24 What's that, sir?

This is the incoming text from Willis Wheeler to

- 10:47:35AM 1
- Richard Bush. You could see it at 11:49 a.m. and it's he's
 - 2 telling Richard Bush, "On my way."
 - 3 \parallel Q And during the events of March 14th, 2012, where were
 - 4 you physically located?
 - 5 A In the wire room.
 - 6 Q Now, I next want to fast forward to February 26th, 2013.
 - 7 On that day did you interview Mayank Mishra?
 - 8 A Yes.
 - 9 Q Do you recognize the person that you interviewed on
 - 10 February 26th, 2013, in the courtroom today?
 - 11 | A I do.
 - 12 Q Could you please point him out to the members of the jury.
 - 13 A He's sitting over at defense table between
 - 14 Attorney Burney and Mr. --
 - 15 MR. SILVERMAN: To be clear, it's Mr. Mishra here in
 - 16 the gray shirt and the gray and white tie.
 - 17 THE COURT: Okay, the record will so reflect
 - 18 Mr. Mishra has been so identified.
 - 19 BY MR. CONWAY:
 - 20 Q And would you take the members of the jury through your
 - 21 interview with Mr. Mishra on February 26th, 2013.
 - 22 A Yes. We brought Mr. Mishra myself and Special Agent
 - 23 O'Mahoney brought Mr. Mishra out to my vehicle. At this point
 - 24 Mr. Mishra was Mirandized. We notified him of his Miranda
 - 25 | rights and he waived those rights and agreed to speak with us.

10:49:16AM 1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

So we brought him out to my vehicle and played him some of the calls that you heard already. And we wanted to ask him questions about those calls.

So in talking to Mr. Mishra about one certain call, he was speaking with Richard Bush and we asked him about that, and he said, "Oh, you mean the stamps." And so we wanted to talk about the stamps obviously and also the other things that he was providing for Mr. Bush, the cut. But first we wanted to ask Mr. Mishra if he could identify the other person speaking on the call and he said he could not. He said he didn't know the other person's name.

We asked him if he knew a nickname. He said he didn't know a nickname. So after receiving answers like that, we determined that Mr. Mishra was not being truthful because we knew he knew the other person on the other end of the line from calls. So we decided to end the interview.

- And did you give him multiple opportunities to identify Richard Bush?
- Α We did.
- And did he refuse to do so?
- Yes.
- Now, did you also ask him about the other things, other than stamps, that Mr. Mishra was selling to this individual on the telephone?
- Yes. At one point we brought up the ketamine and which

10:51:02AM 1 is a cutting agent. And Mr. Mishra stated that he gave all --2 MR. SILVERMAN: Can we approach? 3 THE COURT: No. Do you have an objection? 4 MR. SILVERMAN: I think we need to approach. 5 THE COURT: Do you have an objection? 6 MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think we need approach. 7 THE COURT: What is the objection? 8 MR. SILVERMAN: I need to approach to --THE COURT: If there's no pending objection, then 9 10 there's no need for a side bar. 11 MR. SILVERMAN: All right. Well --12 THE COURT: What is the nature of the objection? 13 MR. SILVERMAN: Oh, it's got to do with the Fifth and 14 Sixth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of 15 America. 16 THE COURT: What is the nature of the objection? 17 MR. SILVERMAN: I really would prefer to say at side 18 bar because it's --19 THE COURT: Side bar. 20 (At side bar.) 21 MR. SILVERMAN: The nature of the objection --THE COURT: Wait, we don't have everybody. 22. 23 MR. SILVERMAN: The nature of the objection — the 24 nature of the objection is that Mr. Mishra invoked his right to 25 counsel and I don't really want to hear that in court because

it violates his rights under the Fifth and Sixth Amendment. 10:52:22AM 1 2 MR. CONWAY: And the witness -- you know, this is not 3 my first rodeo, Your Honor. I've informed the witness not to 4 talk about his invocation of his right to counsel. 5 THE COURT: Okay. We're done. 6 Objection overruled. 7 (In open court.) MR. CONWAY: I'm sorry, could you please read back 8 what my last question is. 9 10 MRS. HALL, COURT REPORTER: "Question: Now, did you also ask him about the other things, other than stamps, that 11 Mr. Mishra was selling to this individual on the telephone?" 12 13 BY MR. CONWAY: Now, I understand there was a decision about ketamine. 14 15 Put that aside for the moment. Was there a discussion about 16 other things that you were asking him other than ketamine in 17 terms of cutting agents that Mr. Mishra was selling to 18 Mr. Bush? 19 Yes, we asked him about the cut that he was selling to 20 Mr. Bush. Tell us what you can recall about that conversation. 21 22. The ketamine -- if we could play the call I would be able 23 to --24 Objection, non-responsive. MR. SILVERMAN:

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

10:53:49AM 1

THE WITNESS: Would you be able to re-ask the

- 2 question?
- 3 BY MR. CONWAY:
- 4 Q Okay. Well, obviously we're having a problem with, a
- 5 difficulty —
- 6 A I know.
- 7 Q Did you have a discussion with him with regard to
- 8 ketamine?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 | Q And what can you recall about that?
- 11 A He said he had given -- we played the call for him, and he
- 12 said that he had given all of the ketamine to the other member
- 13 on that, on the the other person that was talking on the
- 14 line, who was Richard Bush.
- 15 Q And at that point did you decide to end the interview?
- 16 A Yes. When we when we established that he wasn't being
- 17 | truthful with the identification of Mr. Bush, we decided to end
- 18 the interview. It was pointless.
- 19 Q Now, I've also placed before you another demonstrative
- 20 exhibit marked FBI-485.
- 21 | A Yes.
- 22 Q And what is FBI-485?
- 23 A These it's an exhibit showing the different heroin
- 24 stamp bags that were found at Richard Bush's search warrant,
- 25 discussed on wiretap calls, and found at the search warrants of

10:55:24AM 1 both Rock America and Middle Road. And is that, the information contained on there, something 3 that you verified by reviewing the evidence that was seized in 4 connection with this matter? 5 Yes. 6 MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, request permission to 7 display Government Exhibit FBI-485. 8 MR. SILVERMAN: There's not a proper foundation. 9 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 10 MR. SILVERMAN: That's not a proper foundation. 11 THE COURT: Objection overruled. Anything, Mr. Burney? Any objection, Mr. Burney? 12 MR. BURNEY: Your Honor, earlier I filed a motion. 13 14 The Court had ruled, so I would just renew that objection as 15 far as the connection. 16 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 17 MR. BURNEY: Earlier I filed an objection through a 18 motion and I would just renew that at this particular point. 19 That's the only objection I have between the search and dealing 20 with February 26, 2013, and Mr. Bush. 21 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 22. You can publish. 23 MR. CONWAY: FBI-485. 24 BY MR. CONWAY:

And could you just take us through the columns here, sir,

10:56:56AM 1

22.

and explain what they mean.

A Yes. We'll start on the far left where it says stamp bags. These again are things we've talked about before, essentially the brand used to distribute the heroin. Stamp bags. Starting at the top you have Diesels, World War IIIs, Wizards, Daily Dose, Pink, and that's just a plain pink bag, New Arrival, Gold, again a plain gold bag, Pale Green, Pale Blue, Call of Duty. Again, those are the brands.

Now, the next column you have Bush search warrant and the exhibit numbers that are going to be used here. And these were found in — the brands were found in Bush's search warrant, so you have 84 and 87 Diesels were found at Bush's house, World War IIIs, Wizards, Daily Dose, Pink, New Arrival, Golds, Pale Greens and Pale Blues. And you could see the next line, every one was discussed on the wiretap. Every brand on the far left was discussed on the wiretap.

And then you have the search warrants of Rock America and Middle Road kind of matching them up. So the Diesels, you have — it begins with the wiretap calls, then the search warrants of Bush and search warrants of Rock America to match them up. And then you go down to the Pinks. You have your phone calls and then you have the search warrants at all locations, bush's house, Rock America, and Middle Road.

And again with the New Arrivals, the Golds, the Pale Blues, and then you see with the Pale Greens and the Call of

Duties -- I'm sorry, the Pale Greens you have found at both 10:59:02AM 1 Bush's and the Middle Road, and the Call of Duties which were not found at Bush's, but you have the phone calls and they were 3 4 found at Middle Road. 5 MR. CONWAY: No further questions, Your Honor. 6 Obviously we reserve the right to recall him with regard to the 7 wiretaps. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 Does counsel wish to cross examine now? 10 MR. BURNEY: Yes, we wish to cross examine, 11 Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: You as well, Mr. Silverman? 13 Yes. Mr. Burney can go first because MR. SILVERMAN: 14 of the order. 15 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Burney? 16 CROSS EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. BURNEY: 18 Detective Barrett, in dealing with the call, Government 19 FBI-328, you were giving, again, the call comes in, correct, and then you do a line sheet at the same time, correct? 20 21 I do the summary at the same time. The summary at the same time and then the line sheet, 22. 23 correct? 24 No, the line -- you're still not understanding what the 25 line sheet is. The line sheet is all relevant information

- 11:00:56AM 1
- pertaining to the call.
- 2 Q Okay. And the texts that were just displayed, I see on
- 3 them as far as text there's different information that's on
- 4 there, deals with minimization also?
- 5 A Could you pull it up again? I can go through it line by
- 6 | line.
- 7 | Q Okay. There's on each text message there is a section
- 8 | that says minimization.
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Is that correct? And on each call there's also a section
- 11 | saying minimization, correct?
- 12 A Yes, I believe. So on the line sheet --
- 13 Q Right on the line sheet.
- 14 A Right.
- 15 Q And this reflects when a call comes in whether or not you
- 16 listen to the whole call or whether you minimize the call, and
- 17 then that would be on the line sheet later on. Correct?
- 18 A No, I don't -- I don't -- I don't believe that's on there.
- 19 I mean it would you would be able to play the call to see if
- 20 it was minimized.
- 21 Q Okay. What I'm saying is like when I was looking at
- 22 these text sheets, it has a place where it says minimization.
- 23 A Again, if you could pull the text message up, I could go
- 24 through it line by line and we can --
- 25 MR. BURNEY: Okay, why don't we pull up let me see

11:02:18AM 1

22.

which text message -- how about FBI-459. Let's look at that.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, this is just — I'm sorry, yeah. This is just the — like we talked about before, all relevant information pertaining to this call or text message, so to speak. The line sheet, when you — when you go into the system and you filter the calls and you do reviews of the calls, in order to get the line sheet on this form the way you're seeing it you have to filter through and you have to actually click on line sheet, and there's an option that says line sheet minimization details, and that gives you everything pertaining to that call. And this is what you have here.

You have obviously the case number, the target name, the line that it was intercepted on. You see (412)628-8635.

Now, you can see the user with my name up in the right-hand corner. This was just me preparing the line sheet, not that I monitored this call, because I did not monitor this call. I can show you who did. You'll see a session number, 6567.

That's just starting with one and going up. This was Session 6,567.

Now, this is a text message so it says times minimized, one. I don't know what that means because it was not minimized. So Associate DN, I don't — and then we talked about your start time and stop time and the date. The direction, it shows outgoing. And then you have duration.

Now, text messages usually come in like this.

11:04:25AM 1

2.

There's no duration because there's no length of the call. It's just a text message that comes in, it starts and stops immediately. You have your participants, Richard Bush and Willis Wheeler. You have the monitor, J. Fiori, that's who was working the line live when this text message came in. You have the outgoing digit or in/out digits it says, in and out digits, (412)584-7614, and then you have your content. When you see content, this is how it comes in.

So if you're working the line, the text message comes in just like that, content. "Yo." And then what you do is you cut it or copy it — you don't cut it. You can't cut the content. You copy it and you bring it over to the synopsis and that's where you can make your notes. You can't make any notes where it says content. The system will not allow it. So any comments you have, like you could see here Richard Bush texts to Willis Wheeler, the "Yo"," that's what he copied and brought over, and then the monitor's initial, JF. And when you see up here monitor, J. Fiore, that was him working on that call.

- 19 BY MR. BURNEY:
 - Q Now, when a call comes in for this line sheet, would it be similar, all the different classifications that are up there?
- 22 A It would be similar, but a call would have duration.
 - Q And would also have that section where it says its duration and it would have whether it was minimized, correct?
- 25 A Correct.

- 11:06:05AM 1
- Q Now, when it says session like 6,567, what does that mean?
- 2 A That was that was the session number. Like we said,
- 3 starting from one, like the first call or text you receive
- 4 starts at one.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A Sometimes that is an equipment test, where the tech agents
- 7 that are setting up the line will test the equipment. So
- 8 typically your first call is an equipment test. And then you
- 9 start with the calls or texts after that, two, three, four,
- 10 6,567.
- 11 Q So this isn't just a reflection of one day. This is like
- 12 ongoing.
- 13 | A Correct.
- 14 Q And I think you said in -- regarding calls related to --
- 15 as you in your opinion, Richard Bush, there's like these
- 16 | 18,000 or so calls and texts --
- 17 A I don't believe I gave an actual number. I said
- 18 | thousands.
- 19 Q You said thousands.
- 20 | A Yes.
- 21 Q I think when you said thousands, some of those were
- 22 minimized and some were not.
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q All right. Now, I went back and I looked at the summaries
- 25 and I can't find in any summaries where any of these thousands

of calls were minimized with regards to Mr. Bush. Like none of 11:07:20AM 1 them. Like it was always came up zero minimized, just like 3 with this one call where it says zero percent. You said that 4 was for the text, excuse me. But none of the calls from 5 Mr. Bush in your summaries said that they were minimized at 6 all. 7 Okay. If I could go back and review the actual call -- I don't know. We don't put in times minimized. That's computer 8 9 generated by the voice box system. So I wouldn't put that in. So I don't know what that number — like if it was minimized 10 11 five times, I don't know if that would do that correctly or

14 Q Right.

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

A We don't have the option to minimize a text.

and it says times minimized, one.

Q You're saying if you, during the call, if you minimize, then there would be a reflection in the records that that call was minimized and that would come out in the computer printout, correct?

not. Because, like I said, this text message was not minimized

A I don't know that. It should be in the summary. I know when I work on the call and I minimize it, I type in the summary "Call minimized." And then if I go back in and check it, I type in "Spot check" and then I begin another summary. And then if I deem it — if it's nonsense again, I'll put right there "Call minimized" and I'll wait. And if I go back in,

11:08:48AM 1

- I'll put "Spot check" and I'll begin with another summary.
- 2 \parallel Q And that would reflect in the summary of the total calls
- 3 as far as what percentage of those calls were minimized,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A It should. Mine do.
- 6 Q And I'm saying when I went back and checked, I saw in the
- 7 summaries of all the calls for Mr. Bush none of them were
- 8 minimized. It said percentage minimized, zero. So is that
- 9 correct or not correct?
- 10 A I don't know that answer. If you could show me -- if you
- 11 could show me those calls, we can go through it.
- 12 | Q Not the individual calls. I'm saying the summary said of
- 13 | all these calls -- and I know you said there were thousands of
- 14 calls; and you said of the thousands of calls, your
- 15 responsibility, legally, is when you're listening, if it's
- 16 pertinent, you could listen; but if it's not really pertinent,
- 17 you know, you're supposed to minimize that. And there would be
- 18 a reflection in the record that those calls were minimized.
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And I know your testimony was that you had in many
- 21 situations minimized calls. You not just you, yourself, but
- 22 you and the other officers doing it. And I'm saying when I
- 23 look back at the affidavits, I saw where it says summaries,
- 24 minimization of all the calls for Mr. Bush said zero percent
- 25 were minimized.

11:09:58AM 1 Then -- again, I can speak on the calls that I worked on and I'm not -- I think when we were talking before, 3 we were talking wiretap generalities, like we were getting into 4 how do you work on calls, how does the voice box system work. 5 I wasn't talking specifically about Richard Bush. I was 6 talking about the process of monitoring wiretapped phone calls. 7 Q Okay. 8 So if the system said that he wasn't minimized, then he 9 wasn't minimized then. I don't --10 Okay. And like — I know with all the different calls you're seeing you have nothing to indicate that any of the 11 12 calls for Mr. Bush were minimized. 13 I'm sorry --14 MR. COCAS: Asked and answered. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 MR. BURNEY: Okay. If we may approach for a minute, 17 Your Honor? 18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 (At side bar.) 20 All right. Go ahead. THE COURT: MR. BURNEY: Your Honor, I think the Government has a 21 22. legal responsibility when they do wiretaps that they are 23 supposed to minimize certain calls or not minimize certain 24 calls as either pertinent or non-pertinent. And from the 25 requirement of the law as far as minimization, I think this

11:12:01AM 1

witness is basically saying — and when I looked in the records none of the calls related to texts or alleged texts or calls from Mr. Bush were minimized. So at this particular point I'm figuring there's a violation of law and moving to suppress all the calls, all the texts regarding Mr. Bush because they violated the law regarding minimization.

THE COURT: Mr. Conway?

MR. CONWAY: First of all, I have an objection to relevance just with regard to this whole testimony. And if he wants to file a motion, he can file the motion. That's not the proper inquiry before the jury on this point. I'm confident that we have complied with the law and — so I think this is all — should be outside the presence of the jury, this type of inquiry about necessity or proper minimization procedures. All of it is irrelevant to what the issues are before the jury, so I'd ask Mr. Burney be instructed to move along. And if he's got a motion, he should file his motion.

THE COURT: I agree with the Government. Moreover, with respect to minimization, this witness has already testified the text messages are not by their very nature able to be minimized. With respect to the calls, this witness has asked you repeatedly to show him the calls. If you don't want to show him the calls, I'm really not sure where we go on this issue at all. But if you have particular calls you want to show him or your representation that all the calls were not

11:13:20AM 1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

minimized — he asked you to show him. You have not done so. And so this issue for me is moot. So the objection is overruled and I'd ask that you move along.

This is not the appropriate spot for this type of motion. You have a motion to suppress, you're more than able to file it at the appropriate time when we're not in court in session.

(In open court.)

9 BY MR. BURNEY:

- Q Officer Barrett, I know you've made mention of alleged telephone calls from Mr. Bush. Can you tell me how it was that his voice was identified on these calls?
- 13 A I don't recall how his voice was identified.
 - Q In your team was there someone who had some expertise in dealing with voice recognition, training or whatever, that they could compare Mr. Bush's actual voice and these calls to give an opinion, expert opinion, as far as that's Richard Bush on these calls?
 - A I don't I don't recall that. I think he was identified I believe he was identified through the meet after the phone call, the meeting between him and Sherron Whitehead. He —
- 23 O T know --
- 24 A He was seen physically.
- 25 Q Yeah, I think that you had asked Officer Diven do a

- 11:15:16AM 1 | surveillance, correct?
 - 2 A Correct.
 - 3 Q In that surveillance there was a person who showed up in a
 - 4 | 1983 automobile, Chevrolet automobile, correct?
 - 5 A Richard Bush showed up.
 - 6 Q Follow my questioning.
 - 7 A Okay.
 - 8 Q After the point you're saying Richard Bush showed up. You
 - 9 were not there, correct?
 - 10 A Correct.
 - 11 0 Your surveillance and the information that came back from
 - 12 Officer Diven was a person showed up in a 1983 white Chevrolet.
 - 13 | A Yes.
 - 14 Q He wrote down the license plate number, correct?
 - 15 A I believe so or he -- or he told someone in the wire room
 - 16 what the -- I don't know if he wrote it down or someone else
 - 17 | ran the plate.
 - 18 Q You obtained that information from Officer Diven on the
 - 19 license plate and it was a certified copy was entered into
 - 20 evidence -- came back and the registered owner was
 - 21 Richard Bush, correct?
 - 22 A Correct.
 - 23 Q With an address of Montezuma Street, correct?
 - 24 A Correct.
 - 25 Q What I'm saying like is that only showed that that person

- 11:16:16AM 1
- showed up for that less than one minute supposedly meeting with
 - 2 three or four people. And I'm saying are you saying as a
 - 3 result of that, that is what caused Richard Bush's voice to be
 - 4 able to be recognized on these calls?
 - 5 A I'm saying that that's initially how he was identified.
 - 6 I'm not sure if anyone else recognized him by his voice. I'm
 - 7 not sure about that.
 - 8 Q Okay. I mean that would be -- you said the team -- and
 - 9 you should have a record of that, how it was that -- when a
 - 10 | call came through, that you could say that this is the voice of
 - 11 Richard Bush.
 - 12 A I don't have that.
 - 13 Q Should you?
 - 14 A No, I don't have that.
 - 15 Q Okay, you don't have that information.
 - 16 A No.
 - 17 Q So your testimony regarding these calls and you're saying:
 - 18 Oh, that's Richard Bush on the call," is based upon some
 - 19 information that somebody else has, not you.
 - 20 \parallel A I was in the wire room, yes. I —
 - 21 Q That's what I'm saying. You said you heard the call,
 - 22 correct?
 - 23 A Correct.
 - 24 Q And I know on these exhibits there would be initials RB or
 - 25 WW or MM or something of that nature. But I'm saying regarding

```
11:17:26AM 1
               Richard Bush, from the beginning as far as listening to a
           2.
               telephone, a cell phone, you don't have the information as far
               as how it was that it was Richard Bush whose voice was on that
           3
           4
               call. Is that correct?
                    I don't know. I'm not sure if other members of my team
           5
           6
               do.
           7
                    I'm saying you do not.
           8
                    I do not, correct.
                    So all the opinions you've been giving as far as
           9
          10
               Richard Bush said this or Richard Bush said that, it would be
               based on some other type of information that someone else had,
          11
               but not you personally.
          12
          13
                    Correct.
          14
                         MR. BURNEY: No further questions, Your Honor.
          15
                         THE COURT:
                                     Okav.
          16
                         Mr. Silverman?
          17
                                         Yes, ma'am.
                         MR. SILVERMAN:
          18
                                      CROSS EXAMINATION
          19
               BY MR. SILVERMAN:
          20
                    Directing your attention to the statement you claim make
          21
               Mishra made to you, do you understand --
          22.
                    What statement?
               Α
          23
                    The statement you just told the jury that he made to you.
          24
               Do you know what I'm talking about?
          25
               Α
                    No.
```

- 11:19:08AM 1
- Q Okay. You and Mahoney went to Mishra's house, Mishra
- 2 agreed to talk to you, remember?
- 3 A O'Mahoney.
- 4 | Q O'Mahoney, right. You with me?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. You just told okay. First of all, is that
- 7 statement recorded?
- 8 A No statements were recorded.
- 9 Q You didn't record the interview of Mayank Mishra?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Now, it was obviously a pretty significant event. You
- 12 went to his house early in the morning, right?
- 13 | A Yes.
- 14 Q And you took him out to your car, right?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q All right. And you read him his Miranda warnings, right?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q You told him he had the right to remain silent. Right?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q The right to stop answering questions at any time. Right?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q The right to have a lawyer present before and during any
- 23 | questioning, right?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q All right. And the -- what else -- what are the other

- 11:20:07AM 1
- ones oh, that anything you say would be used against you in
- 2 court or at trial. Right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay. So that's a pretty solemn event here. We're making
- 5 sure that this person understands their constitutional rights,
- 6 right?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And there's no recording of that, correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And so when you tell the jury how that conversation went,
- 11 we just have to take your word for it, right?
- 12 A And the report.
- 13 Q Right. We're going to talk about that. Okay?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q And the reason you just told us "and the report" is
- 16 because the report was made soon after the alleged statement
- 17 was taken, right?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q All right. And so what the report says would be more
- 20 accurate than what you're saying here in 2015, right?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q All right. Because the statement was taken on
- 23 February 26th of 2013. Right?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And here we are today on --

- 11:21:07AM 1
- A Pearl Harbor Day.
- 2 Q -- Pearl Harbor Day, yes, thank you. 12-7-15.
- Now, when Mr. Conway was talking to you, you told the jury that during Mishra's statement he told Mishra told you that
- 5 he had given all the ketamine to Richard Bush. Right?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q The truth is that what Mishra said the truth was you
- 8 played a tape for Mishra and it was a tape of a person asking
- 9 Mishra for ketamine. And on that tape Mishra told the person
- 10 he had already given all of it, right?
- 11 A Yes, that's correct.
- 12 Q And then when you said, well, what about this or -- Mishra
- 13 then said: Oh, yeah. The ketamine, yes. Right?
- 14 A Right.
- 15 Q So Mishra did not tell you during that statement that he
- 16 had, in fact, given ketamine to Bush, right?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q So that statement then is only the subject of one of these
- 19 calls, right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And you searched Mr. Bush, right? You searched his house,
- 22 right not you, the police.
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q You, the collective you, okay?
- 25 A Okay.

- 11:22:48AM 1
- Q All right. You searched his house, right?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And you, the police, searched his car, right?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Did you find any evidence of ketamine?
- 6 A I don't recall.
- 7 Q Well, you didn't, did you?
- 8 A I don't know. I don't know what the lab reports -- if
- 9 there's something in the lab report, I don't know.
- 10 Q Okay. Likewise, we hear in these various calls
- 11 discussions of fetti, right?
- 12 A Right.
- 13 Q Okay. And the agents at one time had a suspicion that
- 14 what was being described was the controlled substance fentanyl,
- 15 right?
- 16 A Right.
- 17 Q And that was when there were calls going on between Mishra
- 18 and Bush, right?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q But then subsequently the agents learned that that was a
- 21 nickname for some type of an adulterant, right?
- 22 A Well, they they mentioned fetti on the phone calls, and
- 23 we use we believed that term was cut.
- 24 Q But you well, you believed it was fentanyl, which is a
- 25 controlled substance, right?

- 11:23:54AM 1
- A It's also used as a way to cut the heroin.
- Q What is used as a way to cut the heroin?
- 3 A Fentanyl.
- 4 Q Right. And the fentanyl is a controlled substance,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q All right. But you later learned that what they were
- 8 calling fetti was not a controlled substance. Right?
- 9 A I think what you're asking I don't believe any of the
- 10 | lab reports showed that there was fentanyl in any of the
- 11 heroin. Is that what you mean?
- 12 Q It was a nickname for a non-controlled substance, correct?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q All right. And the person that used the nickname that was
- 15 constantly referring to it as fetti or brown fetti, that was
- 16 Mr. Bush, right?
- 17 A I'm not sure. If we could listen to the calls, I could --
- 18 Q I know we're going to do that when we get up to speed
- 19 technology-wise, okay?
- 20 | A Okay.
- 21 Q And you're right, that's fair.
- 22 A Okay.
- 23 Q We'll come back to that and we'll listen to all of that.
- 24 Likewise, there was a there was an adulterant that was
- 25 \parallel called or there was a powder substance that was called M,

- 2 A Right.
- 3 \parallel Q And you heard them talking about that, correct?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q And you thought, well, that's morphine, right?
- 6 A I don't recall that.
- 7 Q You don't recall any Government agent ever saying they
- 8 thought that was morphine.
- 9 A I don't recall that.
- 10 Q Okay. You wouldn't have thought that, would you?
- 11 A I I don't know what I I mean they just said the
- 12 | letter M? I don't know.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A It could be any number of cutting agents.
- 15 Q Based on your experience, you wouldn't have drawn the
- 16 conclusion that "M" means morphine, right?
- 17 A I'm not sure.
- 18 Q All right. And morphine is a controlled substance, right?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q But you didn't find morphine, did you?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q All right. So that's probably another nickname for one of
- 23 these powdered substances, right?
- 24 A Right.
- 25 Q All right. Now, you had you went to you surveilled

- 11:25:59AM 1 Deondre Steave's, right? You, the collective you, the police.
 - 2 A I don't know if we had surveillance on Deondre Steave. I
 - 3 can't recall that.
 - 4 Q Okay. Well, how many calls of Steave's did you intercept?
 - 5 A I don't know.
 - 6 Q 18,000, would that surprise you?
 - 7 A If you say. I don't know.
 - 8 Q How many calls of Richard Bush's did you intercept?
 - 9 A Mr. Burney asked me that. I just said thousands. I don't
 - 10 know. I don't recall.
 - 11 Q Would it surprise you if it was 18,000-plus?
 - 12 A No, that would not surprise me.
 - 13 Q Okay. And Sherron —
 - 14 A whitehead.
 - 15 Q Whitehead. How many calls of Sherron's did you
 - 16 intercept?
 - 17 A Thousands. Again, I'm not sure.
 - 18 Q Again, in excess of 18,000 calls. Right?
 - 19 A Okay.
 - 20 Q All right. And how many calls originated by Mr. Mishra
 - 21 did you intercept?
 - 22 A I don't recall.
 - 23 Q Zero, because you weren't up on Mr. Mishra's phone.
 - 24 A Oh, okay. Right.
 - 25 Q And so you weren't up on the phone at Rock America?

```
11:26:57AM 1
                         MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, this is just asked and
           2.
               answered from the previous cross examination of Mr. Barrett and
               beyond the scope of this direct examination of Mr. Barrett.
           3
           4
               can't have recross on every issue.
                         THE COURT: Objection sustained. Let's move along.
           5
           6
               BY MR. SILVERMAN:
                   Did you tap the phone at Rock America?
           7
           8
                         MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor.
           9
                         MR. SILVERMAN:
                                         That's a different question.
          10
                         THE COURT: I'll allow the question.
               BY MR. SILVERMAN:
          11
                   Did you tap the phone at Rock America?
          12
          13
                   No.
          14
                   All right. Did you tap Mishra's cell phone?
          15
                         MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor. I think you
          16
               just --
          17
                         THE COURT: Objection sustained. Let's move along.
          18
                         MR. SILVERMAN:
                                         Yes, ma'am.
          19
               BY MR. SILVERMAN:
          20
                   Now, this investigation — oh, did Mishra ever go over to
          21
               the Steave dude's -- Steave -- what's his name?
          22.
                         MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor, beyond the scope
          23
               of the direct examination we just had of Detective Barrett.
          24
                         THE COURT: Objection sustained.
```

11:27:49AM 1 BY MR. SILVERMAN: Well, you would be telling us if he was seen in these 3 surveillances that you are describing, is that right? MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor, beyond the scope 4 5 of direct examination of Mr. Barrett. 6 MR. SILVERMAN: The Government is trying to suggest 7 that there is this connection here. The fact that Mishra is not in these places is relevant. 8 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 9 10 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 11 Who knows all about this investigation? MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor, the same 12 It's beyond the scope of the direct examination 13 objection. here. We can't have him cross-examined four different times 14 15 about the same issues. 16 I'm -- I'm asking to --MR. SILVERMAN: 17 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 18 MR. SILVERMAN: So --19 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 20 Did you participate in Dinner Out? MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor, same objection. 21 22. We ask that you direct Mr. Silverman to move on to a relevant 23 area that was the subject of direct examination. 24 Judge, this is --MR. SILVERMAN:

THE COURT: Mr. Silverman, the issue is not whether

```
11:28:46AM 1
               the information is relevant. The issue is whether or not it
               was covered in direct. If it was not, the objection is
           3
               sustained. It was not.
           4
               BY MR. SILVERMAN:
                   What investigation -- you talked about Sherron Whitehead.
           5
           6
               What investigation did he come from?
                         MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor, that's beyond the
           7
           8
               scope as well.
           9
                         THE COURT: I'm not sure I understand the question.
          10
               What are you trying to get at?
          11
               BY MR. SILVERMAN:
                   What -- when did you start investigating
          12
          13
               Sherron Whitehead? Try that.
          14
                    I believe we intercepted Sherron Whitehead on
          15
               Faheem Jackson's line in August of 2011.
          16
                   Never heard of these people before that.
          17
                   No, we've heard of them.
          18
                    This investigation -- well, Mr. Mishra's been being
          19
               investigated since 2004?
          20
                         MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor, move --
          21
                         THE COURT:
                                     Sustained.
          22.
                         MR. CONWAY: We would like to go to side bar.
               the motion that Your Honor knows I'm making at this point.
          23
          24
                         THE COURT: The objection is sustained. Let's move
          25
               along, Mr. Silverman.
```

11:29:56AM 1 BY MR. SILVERMAN: You say that --3 MR. SILVERMAN: Can I see the Government's summary 4 chart exhibit? 5 MR. CONWAY: Which one? 6 MR. SILVERMAN: The one you --7 485, FBI-485. MR. CONWAY: 8 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. 9 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 10 So what FBI-485 -- what this tells us is that Mishra sold this style of stamp bag. That's what — that's what you're 11 12 saying, right? I'm saying this exhibit is saying where -- where it was 13 14 found in search warrants and when it was -- or when it was 15 discussed on the wiretap. 16 Sure. But what you're saying here is Mishra sold at Rock 17 America Diesels, right? 18 MR. CONWAY: Objection again. Mischaracterization of the testimony again, Your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 21 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 22. That's what this says, huh? The fact -- well, you found 23 them at Rock America, right? 24 And Middle Road -- oh, the Diesels?

25

The Diesels.

- 11:31:17AM 1 A Yes, just Rock America and Bush's.
 - 2 THE COURT: One voice at a time, one voice at a time.
 - THE WITNESS: Sorry.
 - 4 BY MR. SILVERMAN:
 - 5 Q Let's go through. The Diesels were at Rock America,
 - 6 right?
 - 7 A And Bush's house.
 - 8 Q And Bush's house. And they were talked about between who
 - 9 in this wiretap calls exhibit?
 - 10 A Um, at least between Bush and Mishra.
 - 11 Q Okay. And the other people?
 - 12 A I'm not sure.
 - 13 Q And then the pink ones, you found those at Rock America,
 - 14 right?
 - 15 A And Bush's house and Middle Road.
 - 16 Q Right.
 - 17 | A Yes.
 - 18 Q Okay. And then the New Arrivals, those were at Rock
 - 19 | America, right?
 - 20 A And Bush's house and Middle Road, yes.
 - 21 Q Okay. And the gold ones, same thing?
 - 22 A All three, yes.
 - 23 Q Right? The Pale Blues, right?
 - 24 A All three again.
 - 25 Q Okay. And the reason what this exhibit is designed to

- 11:32:29AM 1 do I guess is to show that Bush could have gotten these
 2 particular bags from Mishra?
 3 A Yes.
 4 Q Okay. Now, we went through the other day, you remember,
 - Q Okay. Now, we went through the other day, you remember, we listed all of them, the different bags that were found at
 - 6 Bush's house?
 - 7 A Yes.
 - 8 Q Okay. And we had a lot longer list than that list.
 - 9 Right?

15

16

17

- 10 A Yeah, I believe there were 25 --
- Q Something like that, yeah. And so that means that there's like what do we have here, one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten so fifteen so Bush has 15 bags.
- 14 You have no evidence that those 15 other styles --
 - MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, again objection to mischaracterization. There is a difference between stampers and stamp bags, and Mr. Silverman has knowingly not disclosed to the witness to make this testimony clear.
- 19 BY MR. SILVERMAN:
- 20 Q Mr. Silverman wants to know do you have are you able to 21 link —
- 22 MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, I would object.
- 23 THE COURT: Let him ask the question.
- 24 BY MR. SILVERMAN:
- 25 Q In response to what the Government is objecting to, are

you saying you can link every single bag either to a stamper or 11:33:42AM 1 2. to a bag that was prestamped to Mishra? 3 What I'm saying here in this exhibit is this is where 4 these bags were found and these were the calls in which they 5 were discussed. Right. And I'm just asking you because of what the 6 Government's objection is. Do you have some evidence that 7 every bag -- every brand of bag found on Bush also is traceable 8 to Mishra? Either by stamper or prestamped bag? 9 10 I believe that's what this shows. 11 Well — but we had 25 different bags. That can't be what 12 this shows. 13 MR. CONWAY: Again, objection to the characterization 14 of the bags, Your Honor. A list of 25 are not bags. 15 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 16 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 17 Do you understand what we're arguing about here? 18 was 25 different designs, either stamped by a person later or 19 preprinted that way --20 MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor, again 21 mischaracterization. 22. THE COURT: Objection sustained. 23 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 24 Were there any bags found on Bush that you could not with

25

evidence link to Mishra?

- 11:35:00AM 1
- A I don't recall. I don't know.
- 2 🛮 Q Could have been.
- 3 | A Yeah, I mean -- we found Diesels at -- we found these bags
- 4 here.
- 5 Q Gotcha.
- 6 A I mean we found Diesels, World War IIIs or, I'm sorry,
- 7 Diesels, Pinks, New Arrivals, Golds, Pale Blues, these were all
- 8 | found at Bush's house, at Mishra's business, and/or Mishra's
- 9 residence.
- 10 | Q Okay. And I'm with you there. But there were also stars
- 11 and Harley Davidsons and all that other stuff.
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q Okay. Do you have some evidence that links those back to
- 14 Mr. Mishra?
- 15 A Well, we have calls with Mr. Mishra saying he has other
- 16 customers other than Richard Bush.
- 17 Q Oh, he has other customers other than Richard Bush. So
- 18 this isn't some sort of preferential deal. And not only
- 19 | that --
- 20 MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor, as to
- 21 Mr. Silverman testifying. Move to strike.
- 22 MR. SILVERMAN: This is cross examination.
- 23 THE COURT: There's no question; objection sustained.
- MR. CONWAY: Move to strike, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: It is stricken. The jury is asked to

- 11:36:07AM 1 disregard that.
 - 2 BY MR. SILVERMAN:
 - 3 Q Sir, Mr. I think we agree Mr. Mishra sold bags to other
 - 4 folks, right?
 - 5 A Yes.
 - 6 Q All right. In fact, Mr. Mishra sold these same bags,
 - 7 these same brands of bag to other folks.
 - 8 A And Richard Bush admonished him for that.
 - 9 Q Yes. The point is he sold the same brands of bags to
 - 10 other folks. Yes or no?
 - 11 A At least on one occasion he did we believe from the phone
 - 12 | call.
 - 13 Q You know it's a fact that Mishra sold these bags to other
 - 14 people because you stopped other people leaving his store with
 - 15 them. Right?
 - 16 A I don't -- I don't recall that.
 - 17 Q The police stopped other people leaving Mishra's store --
 - 18 A We believe Mr. Mishra had, yeah, other clients, other
 - 19 heroin dealers that were buying bags and cut from him. Yes.
 - 20 Q Okay. Well, now, sir, there is nothing about these bags
 - 21 that is -- that requires that they only be used for heroin.
 - 22 Right?
 - 23 MR. CONWAY: Again, Your Honor, objection for the
 - 24 reasons already articulated.
 - 25 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

```
11:37:30AM 1
               BY MR. SILVERMAN:
                    Well, do they have any other purposes?
           3
                         MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor. It's just the
           4
               same exact question that's already - that I just objected to,
               just phrasing it a different way.
           5
           6
                         THE COURT: Objection sustained.
           7
               BY MR. SILVERMAN:
           8
                    Are they made out of wax paper?
           9
                    Yes.
          10
                    Okay. Are they sent through the US mail?
          11
                         MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, the same nature of the
          12
               objection.
          13
                         THE COURT: Objection sustained.
          14
               BY MR. SILVERMAN:
          15
                    You had an airplane up there, huh?
          16
                    Yes.
          17
                    Okay. And so did that airplane detect Mr. Mishra going to
          18
              Mr. Bush's house?
          19
              Α
                    No.
                    Did it detect Mr. Mishra going to Sherron Whitehead's
          20
          21
               house?
          22.
                    No.
              Α
          23
                    Faheem Jackson's house?
          24
                    No.
```

25

Steave's house?

- 11:38:27AM 1
- A No.
- 2 Q You have monitored you sat in the wire room, right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And so you in response to I think it was one of Lonny's
- 5 questions, Mr. Bush's lawyer's questions -- you said: I don't
- 6 know, I wasn't there. Right?
- 7 A Can you direct me to the question?
- 8 Q Probably not.
- 9 A Okay.
- 10 | Q It was one of the ones where you said: I was in the wire
- 11 room, I wasn't there. You were talking about the pole camera
- 12 actually, right? Mr. Conway was going to —
- 13 A I think you objected to me testifying to the pole camera.
- 14 Q I mean actually you said several times: I don't know, I
- 15 wasn't there. Right?
- 16 A If you could be more specific, I could tell you.
- 17 Q Okay. The fact of the matter is if you're not personally
- 18 there, then you don't know what's going on, right?
- 19 A Well -- no, I think what he was asking was something that
- 20 I probably would have had to have been there to answer that
- 21 question, but I mean we could talk --
- 22 | Q I mean in fairness to you, you're a member --
- 23 A If I wasn't there, I couldn't -- I wouldn't say I knew.
- 24 Q In a legal sense you wouldn't be able to say "I know" even
- 25 though you're part of this FBI task force and you guys have

```
other ways of sharing and pooling information. Right?
11:40:41AM 1
           2.
                    Right.
           3
                    Okay. And do you have any information that Mr. Mishra was
           4
               there when heroin was being put in these bags?
           5
                    Where?
           6
                    Anywhere.
               Q
           7
               Α
                    No.
           8
                    You guys did trash pulls at different people's houses --
           9
                         MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor, beyond the scope.
          10
                         THE COURT:
                                     Objection sustained.
               BY MR. SILVERMAN:
          11
                    Your investigation has continued in this case past
          12
               February 26 of '13, right?
          13
          14
                    Yes.
               Α
          15
                    You have seized stamp bags, boxes of stamp bags from
               people as recently as November 14th --
          16
          17
                         MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor. Same basis.
          18
                                     Objection sustained.
                         THE COURT:
          19
               BY MR. SILVERMAN:
          20
                    Do you know based on your investigation what percentage of
          21
               Mr. Mishra's sales at Rock America had to do with stamp bags or
          22.
               were derived from stamp bags or adulterants. Do you know?
          23
                         MR. CONWAY: Objection, beyond the scope, Your Honor.
          24
                                     Objection sustained.
                         THE COURT:
```

11:43:12AM 1 BY MR. SILVERMAN: Well, you were present, though, at Middle Road. Right? 3 Α Yeah -- yes. Okay. Because we -- even though you didn't record the 4 5 interview, there's an interview that you told us about. 6 were there, right? 7 Α Yes. You went inside the house, right? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 All right. You saw with your own two eyes --11 MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor. The only thing 12 asked on direct examination, as Mr. Silverman well knows, was 13 the statement. So anything beyond the statement we would 14 object to as beyond the scope. 15 Judge --MR. SILVERMAN: 16 THE COURT: What about the statement? 17 It's what he saw at Middle Road. MR. SILVERMAN: 18 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 19 MR. SILVERMAN: I — I had reserved my right to 20 recall the witness. We can -- I mean I can wait 'til my 21 case-in-chief or I can just --22. THE COURT: You're limited to the scope of direct. 23 MR. SILVERMAN: All right. 24 THE COURT: That's not cross of the witness.

11:44:39AM 1 | BY MR. SILVERMAN:

- 2 | Q Oh, I found it: I wasn't there, I obtained the info from
- 3 Diven. That's what you said, from Officer Diven.
- 4 A Okay.
- 5 Q All right. So the you went through the number of calls
- 6 with Whitehead and Bush and all that, right? And did I -- I
- 7 don't remember if I asked this, but in the entire time that you
- 8 were recording Bush, you recorded Mishra 78 times?
- 9 ∥ A I don't -- I don't recall.
- 10 Q Does that sound about right?
- 11 A I don't know.
- 12 Q And then a percentage of those calls are just either the
- 13 person doesn't answer or there's no -- nothing of substance
- 14 there. Right?
- 15 A I don't know. We can go through the calls if you would
- 16 like one by one.
- 17 Q All right, we'll do that.
- During the same meeting that you and O'Mahoney had with
- 19 Mr. Mishra that day on February the 26th when after you
- 20 Mirandized him, he talked to you, you also you searched his
- 21 | car, yes?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And --
- MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor, beyond the scope.
- 25 THE COURT: Objection sustained.

11:46:19AM 1 MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, at this point I would ask 2. that you tell Mr. Silverman to sit down and we can move on with 3 the trial. 4 I really don't know --MR. SILVERMAN: 5 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 6 Okay. They went into the fact that MR. SILVERMAN: 7 they went to Middle Road, they questioned him. I was going to 8 go into something else about that, but I --9 THE COURT: No, the scope of your cross is the scope 10 of what the direct was. 11 MR. SILVERMAN: Right, right. 12 That is not a part of the direct. THE COURT: MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. Well, I'm just -- I don't mean 13 14 to be disrespectful, but I'm just trying to understand how we 15 measure the scope. 16 THE COURT: Just read Rule 611, it will tell you. 17 BY MR. STLVERMAN: 18 Did Mishra give you the keys to his warehouse? 19 MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, beyond the scope and I also 20 believe it was already asked and answered when Mr. Silverman 21 questioned Detective Barrett the first time. 22. THE COURT: Mr. Silverman, do you have other issues 23 to explore, anything that was covered on direct? 24 MR. SILVERMAN: May I have a moment, Your Honor? 25 THE COURT: Yes.

11:47:21AM 1

(Brief pause in proceedings.)

- BY MR. SILVERMAN:
- Q Of the calls involving Bush and Mishra, do you have any calls where Mishra is talking about heroin?
- 5 A Yes, I believe they are talking about heroin.
- 6 Q Okay. Can and you're going to identify that for us?
- 7 A I mean you're talking about one and the same. The cut and
- 8 the heroin, they have to go together. Mr. Bush said it
- 9 himself, without Mr. Mishra his program does not exist.
- 10 Q Okay. And so you are telling this jury that adulterants
- 11 are the same as heroin?
- 12 A When they're put in the heroin, they become the same.
- 13 Q Do you have some evidence that Mr. Mishra did that? Was
- 14 | there --
- 15 A I believe we do. I believe the evidence that was seized
- 16 at Mr. Bush's house had dilutants that were provided to him by
- 17 Mishra.
- 18 Q Yes.
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q I understand. Mr. Mishra sold those items from his store
- 21 on Rock America. Right?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Do you have evidence that Mishra participated in the
- 24 | handling of the heroin?
- 25 A The I'm not sure maybe I'm misunderstanding this.

11:49:15AM 1 No. I believe -- okay. 3 You're saying that the dilutants become dilutants, 4 essentially, when they're added to the heroin, right? 5 Yeah, they cut the heroin with the dilutants. 6 Did Mr. Mishra do that? 7 With Mr. Mishra's dilutants, yes. When he provided them 8 to Bush, Bush cut them --9 Do you --10 One doesn't exist without the other. 11 Isn't it a fact that those dilutants can be used for any 12 substance, right? MR. CONWAY: Objection, again, Your Honor, same 13 14 basis. 15 MR. SILVERMAN: He opened the door. 16 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 17 Those dilutants can be sold as fake MR. SILVERMAN: 18 drugs. 19 MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor. 20 Objection sustained. Let's move on. THE COURT: 21 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 22. Do you have evidence that Mishra was there to see with his 23 own two eyes that these substances were actually being used to 24 cut heroin? 25 MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor, beyond the scope.

11:50:21AM 1 The objection is sustained. THE COURT: MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, again we ask that you direct 3 Mr. Silverman at this point to conclude his cross 4 examination --5 THE COURT: I've asked Mr. Silverman if you have any 6 questions that were within the scope of direct. Do you have 7 any such questions remaining? 8 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 9 Any calls between Bush -- wait --10 MR. SILVERMAN: I'll just pass the witness for now, 11 Judge, reserving the right to recall. THE COURT: Okay. We'll continue on with 12 13 Detective Barrett after lunch. 14 At this point we will break for lunch. Remember all 15 of my rules, which you guys I know probably could give me by 16 heart at this juncture. We will reconvene at let's say about 17 1:10. Okay? All right? 18 All rise for the jury. 19 (Jurors return to the jury room.) THE COURT: Okay, Detective Barrett, you may leave 20 21 the stand. 22. (The witness steps down from the witness stand.) 23 Judge, now that the jury is out --MR. SILVERMAN: 24 THE COURT: No, they're not out yet. Let's let them 25 leave for lunch.

11:52:23AM 1

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, ma'am, then I would like to make an offer of proof.

(Jurors exit the jury room by way of the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Okay. Let me say out of the box here that the scope of cross or the scope of recross is the scope of direct or the scope of redirect. It is not the scope of other counsel's cross, it's not the scope of an old cross you did before; it is the scope of direct as to cross and the scope of redirect as to recross. It's just that simple.

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And with respect to — I mean with respect to your questioning of this particular witness,

Mr. Silverman, not only did we leave the scope — hold on — okay? Not only was it not within the scope of direct, it was asked and answered numerous times on numerous cross examinations of this witness prior.

So when you say, you know, Mr. Burney asked you this, that's not the proper scope of direct — of cross. The scope of cross is the scope of direct.

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, ma'am, and I'm not trying to argue with the Court, but --

THE COURT: Well, it seems that you don't understand what the scope of your cross is.

MR. SILVERMAN: Well, Your Honor, I read Rule 611 as not only the scope of the cross, because what it expressly says

11:55:37AM 1

22.

is cross should not go beyond the subject matter of the direct and matters affecting the witness's credibility. In my view the witness's credibility includes the basis of the opinions that they have expressed on direct and it includes the — which would then include the investigation that they have done to draw those conclusions. Also, I would point out to the Court that that's Rule 611(b), also says the Court may allow inquiry into additional matters —

THE COURT: And I have not.

MR. SILVERMAN: — as on direct exam. I am with you there and that is within your discretion.

THE COURT: Perhaps you should read Rule 611(a) that indicates that I can and I have exercised my discretion to make sure this case runs efficiently. We are in week two of this case now and it is my intent to have this case tried in no more than two weeks. I've said this to all of you before.

And I will not have this constant interruption. When I've already ruled on an objection, the notion that somehow you can follow up on your question just is completely inappropriate. I mean the notion that after I rule on an objection and sustain it that you decide that you're going to follow up on a question is not appropriate. And we're just wasting time every time you do these things.

MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. I apologize to the Court and I would like to — the prosecutor at one point had objected that

11:57:23AM 1 I was testifying. 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 MR. SILVERMAN: I was making a statement --4 THE COURT: Yes. MR. SILVERMAN: -- in asking the witness to agree or 5 6 disagree with the statement. 7 THE COURT: You did not ask the witness to agree or 8 disagree with the statement. MR. SILVERMAN: I was about to when the prosecutor 9 made his objection and I would point out that 611(c) authorizes 10 11 the use of that style of questioning known as leading 12 questions. That was not a leading question. 13 THE COURT: 14 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. Well, that was the way it was 15 intended. 16 THE COURT: I don't care how it was intended, it was 17 not that. 18 MR. SILVERMAN: Well -- okay. It certainly wasn't an 19 open-ended question. 20 THE COURT: No, it was a statement by you. 21 what it was and it was properly objected to and properly ruled 22. on. 23 MR. SILVERMAN: All right. And now with respect 24 to - I believe that the Court is unfairly limiting my right to

confront the witnesses because the Court is narrowly

11:58:20AM 1

interpreting 611 to not permit me to explore the basis of things the witness has said while at the same time allowing this witness to give opinions on direct that substances are heroin when that hasn't been established; that —

THE COURT: Tell me which one of your questions just now had to do with that.

MR. SILVERMAN: Your Honor, what I was going into was the basis of this witness's investigations of these other people, Sherron Whitehead and Deondre Steave. This witness knows through the investigation that trash pulls were utilized on those witnesses.

THE COURT: And that's not a subject that was covered at all in direct.

MR. SILVERMAN: No, ma'am, but the — you see, the witness is trying to show —

THE COURT: If you want to call the witness and ask him about trash pulls in your case-in-chief, you are free to do so.

MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. That's what we'll do.

THE COURT: Okay. I mean there's a rule for a reason, Mr. Silverman, and if you have — if you want to call Detective Barrett to ask him about trash pulls in your case—in—chief, you're welcome to do that. The prosecution has objected to the line of questioning as being outside of the scope of direct, it is, and I so ruled.

11:59:51AM 1

22.

MR. SILVERMAN: I wanted to explain the reason that it affects the credibility of the witness, if I could. Because, you see, the witness is trying to suggest that the stamp bags are the only items that are uniquely suited for the distribution of heroin when, in fact, the trash pulls show that there were cut corner baggies known as diapers, there were receipts found from the sale of other items of paraphernalia from K-Mart and other stores.

THE COURT: The first thing I would say is I did not hear the witness testify to that on his direct to this examination. The witness has been on the stand several times; clearly that could lead to some confusion. But I'd ask that you keep track of what the witness says on the direct that you're cross examining on.

MR. SILVERMAN: And I intend to and I'll pay close attention, but what I'm trying to say is that it undermines the opinion — the credibility of the witness's conclusion that —

THE COURT: The witness did not so conclude in this direct.

MR. SILVERMAN: That was the purpose of Government FBI Exhibit 46 — whatever the summary chart —

THE COURT: I believe you actually asked him whether the purpose of that exhibit was to demonstrate that certain of the bags found at Mr. Bush's residence were also found to be either at Mr. Mishra's home or at his place of business. You

12:01:21PM 1 asked him whether that was the purpose of that exhibit, and the 2. answer to that question was yes. 3 MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. 4 So that's the purpose of that exhibit. THE COURT: 5 MR. SILVERMAN: Right. 6 THE COURT: Not to demonstrate that there is no other 7 way to transport heroin or to sell heroin. And there was never a point in time that I heard this witness in this examination 8 9 say that. 10 MR. SILVERMAN: That is true. 11 THE COURT: Then it is outside the scope of this particular - this particular direct. 12 13 MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, but it also — the witnesses 14 don't just testify directly to facts. The prosecution will 15 then invite the jury to draw inferences from what the 16 witness --17 That's correct, and you can draw -- you THE COURT: 18 can question him about things that he said. That is not 19 something he said during this particular examination. 20 MR. SILVERMAN: What I'm --21 THE COURT: Period. 22. MR. SILVERMAN: What I'm trying to offer would 23 undermine the conclusion that these bags are uniquely required 24 for heroin procession and distribution.

THE COURT: That was not a conclusion reached -- that

12:02:25PM 1

22.

I found that this witness reached during this examination.

MR. SILVERMAN: And I agree with you.

THE COURT: And my point is we are limiting — I am allowing you an opportunity to wait until the end of Officer Barrett's testimony to do this cross examination. You've chosen not to do so. And so, as a result, you've been engaged in this piecemeal cross examination, which is fine, but you have to limit it to the scope of the examination, not when he testified to two examinations back. This examination. If that's the way you choose to proceed on cross, that's what you need — that is what you need to do.

MR. SILVERMAN: All right. But I — I just want to — the Court to understand why I believe that the credibility of the witness relative to the conclusions he draws pursuant to the investigation in toto is always fair game for cross, and I'm objecting —

THE COURT: You could have asked questions in toto at the end of Officer Barrett's direct examination. You have chosen a piecemeal method, and Officer Barrett said nothing about that in this particular session. So to the — I'm not challenging your ability to ask questions about credibility, but let's be clear those questions about credibility have to relate to what the witness is currently testifying about. It is — must be within the scope of direct.

We spent a whole week last week with a lot of the

12:03:54PM 1

same sorts of objections having to be lodged because I think you think that you're allowed to question about what Mr. Burney asked, I think you think you're allowed to question about what had been brought up back in the first direct and redirect. That's not appropriate.

Your cross needs to connect to Mr. Conway's or Mr. Cocas's direct. Your recross needs to connect to Mr. Cocas's or Mr. Conway's redirect. It's that simple.

And you can certainly challenge credibility if it's about something they're talking about in the context of this particular direct or redirect.

MR. SILVERMAN: Well, this witness has repeatedly testified along the lines of a summary witness, that he's familiar with the entire investigation. He said that so many times that I couldn't even begin to —

THE COURT: I've already ruled on this. I'm not going to argue about it anymore.

MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, I have a motion at this point.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. CONWAY: I have counted five times during the course of that cross examination where Mr. Silverman has once again violated your pretrial order. In addition, I would count a sixth, which is continuing to follow lines of cross examination that you've precluded.

22.

12:05:17PM 1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

He asked about the 2004 Mr. Mishra — under investigation since 2004, a violation of the court order, three different times. He tried to get into the legality of these substances three different times. That's at Count 4. also -- well, and then he also talked about other uses of the dilutants. Again, another violation of your court order.

Your Honor, what's become clear is that he doesn't care what you said. He doesn't care about your rule of law. He doesn't care about your authority because he will just do anything he wants to do, whether it's going beyond direct examination despite you ruling four or five different times that he's done. He will -- he simply will not comply with your directives.

We ask that you sanction him again at this point. We ask that you revoke his pro hac vice status. We ask that you hold him in contempt of court and we ask that you put him in jail because that is the only thing that will stop this man from violating your Court's orders. He has competent counsel who can continue to represent Mr. Mishra during the course of the trial, but you've seen enough, Your Honor, we've had a week of trial. You think he's going to stop? There's nothing that's going to stop him. And we are going to be here until January 30th ---

THE COURT: We are not.

MR. CONWAY: - unless you do something, unless you

24

12:06:38PM 1

2.

22.

do something, because I have a right to put on my case. I have a right to present my evidence. And if I don't have time within that two weeks because Mr. Silverman chooses to violate your court order over and over again, then the time has come to take appropriate action in this case.

He will not stop, Your Honor. He does not deserve to be in this courthouse. He does not deserve to be in this courthouse. He doesn't.

THE COURT: Mr. Silverman, why should you continue to serve as pro hac vice counsel in this court by the Court's invitation?

MR. SILVERMAN: I am Mr. Mishra's lawyer, Your Honor. I'm his lawyer of choice. I've been his lawyer the entire time this has been going on, when his other lawyer was conflicted. He hired me. I'm not trying to —

MR. CONWAY: He's --

THE COURT: Let him finish, Mr. Conway.

MR. SILVERMAN: I'm not trying to violate the Court's --

THE COURT: I think you are, though. I think that's the problem here. I mean whenever you try to slip by an impermissible question like asking about whether or not Mr. Mishra was being investigated since 2004 or asking about the legality or illegality of stamp bags or cutting agents, I mean we've gone down this road before, and I think my direction

12:08:05PM 1

22.

on these issues is clear.

With respect to the legality or illegality of the stamp bags or cutting agent, I've held that not only are those irrelevant, but they are more prejudicial than probative. And, frankly, they're confusing to the jury. The notion that you would engage in a discussion about the legality of these particular products is of no consequence to your client's — your client's charge here that he engaged in a heroin conspiracy.

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I think I've said that numerous times at this juncture. And the notion that you would try to get into that testimony again with Detective Barrett strikes me as nothing but very purposeful. I mean it's your question. It's not something Officer Barrett was getting into. These are your questions.

MR. SILVERMAN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The notion that you would raise presumably out of thin air this notion that Mr. Mishra had been investigated, has been the target of an investigation since 2004 also suggests that you did not — that you were purposefully attempting to circumvent my order regarding any sort of theory of vindictive prosecution of this witness or with respect to the evidence suggesting that somehow again the Mishras have been target of an investigation by the Government,

12:09:50PM 1

22.

all of which you have been precluded from getting into.

And you claim to be a practitioner who has been practicing presumably criminal law for 20 such years. I have no reason to think that these are inadvertent in any way. In fact, they were your theory. I understand that you were displeased with the Court's ruling, but the Court has ruled.

I don't know if you're trying to set up some sort of situation where Mr. Conway is forced to ask for a mistrial or maybe you're trying to cause your client to file some ineffective assistance of counsel claim. I'm not sure what the method to the madness is here, but I can only assume based on the Court's rulings on numerous occasions at this point that you know exactly what you're doing and that you are doing so in complete contravention, purposefully, to the Court's order.

MR. SILVERMAN: May I respond?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SILVERMAN: All right. That's not correct,
Your Honor, with all respect. The reason that I was inquiring
about the other purposes for the dilutants is because
Officer Barrett opined that those are the equivalent of heroin,
that they're always and only used with heroin.

THE COURT: And I should add that that was a question that Mr. Barrett answered last week as well, but go ahead.

MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. I didn't recall that question being asked.

12:11:29PM 1

THE COURT: I do.

MR. SILVERMAN: All right.

3

So let's try to pay attention. THE COURT:

4

MR. SILVERMAN: All right, yes, ma'am. And I have

5

the utmost respect for the Court and its rulings.

6

THE COURT: I don't think so. I disagree.

7

I mean it has been disrespectful to the Court, it has been

8

disrespectful to the Government, it has been disrespectful to

9

the witness, it has been disrespectful to the jury. And I'm

10

through wasting time.

record here --

11

So I will ask the Government in the time that we have

12

before lunch to brief the issue as to whether or not it is 13

14

appropriate to revoke Mr. Silverman's pro hac status in light of the fact that he has other competent counsel -- Mr. Mishra

15

has other competent counsel certainly present throughout this

16

trial, and I will ask you to do the same.

17

MR. SILVERMAN: If I may get one other thing on the

18

19

THE COURT: Yes.

20

MR. SILVERMAN: The 2004 had nothing whatever to do

21

with any theory of the Government persecution against the

22.

What it had to do with is that there is — has been

23

presented a large amount of evidence regarding Whitehead and

24

the other people we've heard about and that Mishra had been

25 under investigation at various times, and there was no similar 12:13:01PM 1 quantum of evidence regarding his involvement in any heroin 2. conspiracy. That was the purpose of that. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Certainly outside the subject of 4 direct. But I'll even -- I'll even give you that one, 5 Mr. Silverman. I mean that's one in a bucketful of 6 transgressions. So I'll give you an opportunity to brief those 7 issues. 8 Court will stand in recess. 9 MR. IMHOF, DEPUTY CLERK: All rise. This court now 10 stands in recess. 11 (Whereupon, the luncheon recess was taken.) 12 (In open court, with Defendants seated.) 13 THE COURT: Okay. Before the break the Court asked 14 counsel to prepare for argument on the Government's motion that 15 I jail Mr. Silverman at this point and revoke his pro hac vice 16 status in response to the Government's allegations of contempt 17 pursuant to 18 United States Code Section 401. I'll hear 18 argument. 19 The defense moves to recuse this MR. SILVERMAN: 20 Honorable Court at this time, and that motion is made pursuant 21 to 28 USC Section 144. May I be sworn as a witness so I can 22. state the good faith basis I have? 23 THE COURT: You may. 24 MR. SILVERMAN: All right.

THE COURT: Mr. Imhof.

1:34:34PM 1 (Attorney Norman Silverman sworn.) THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. SILVERMAN: Your Honor, it's my concern -- first 4 of all, this trial is not about our — any personality 5 conflicts you and I — the Court and I might have. This trial is about Mayank Mishra's right to have a competent defense and 6 7 to have a lawyer that enforces the presumption of innocence and 8 the requirement that --9 MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, I would object. If he has 10 facts that he's ready to present, let's have the facts. Not a 11 speech --12 THE COURT: You said you wanted to be sworn as a 13 witness. 14 MR. CONWAY: Let's give factual evidence. 15 MR. SILVERMAN: Can I continue? 16 THE COURT: It's not about argument, it's about 17 evidence. 18 MR. SILVERMAN: I'm just directing the Court to the 19 fact that it's about Mr. Mishra. 20 I am perfectly aware of that. THE COURT: 21 MR. SILVERMAN: All right. 22. The Court has repeatedly punished me and permitted 23 the Government to threaten to punish me. Now the record will 24 reflect that it's now escalated to the point where I'm being

threatened with jail. It's my professional opinion as a lawyer

1:36:07PM 1

22.

that this creates a problem in that the defense is having to defend itself and at the same time try to defend Mr. Mishra.

And the way that this is adversely affecting
Mr. Mishra's right to a lawyer unhampered by a conflict is that
Mr. Mishra would ordinarily be permitted to elicit evidence and
then argue what inferences ought to be drawn from the evidence
to the jury without waving a flag in front of the Government
and indicating where it is we're going.

The Court I believe has made several orders that were calculated to prejudice Mr. Mishra's defense, including an order that Mr. Mishra turn over to the Government all evidence that he intended to use in cross examination and in his case—in—chief. And that includes — and we've provided it. We've tried hard to follow the Court's rulings even though we disagreed with them.

That included us having to give back to the Government Jencks Act material, and we've done that. And the Government continues to e-mail us new Jencks Act material which I guess we then have to re-give to the Government in order to make use of this.

Additionally, with all respect to the Court, I do not believe that I violated the Court's order not to inquire into the witness's opinions about whether the items Mr. Mishra was selling were lawful. My question to the witness was do they have other purposes besides heroin distribution. And the

1:38:16PM 1

witness has repeatedly been permitted to testify on direct examination that these items are uniquely suited for heroin distribution.

4

And the case law that we found --

5

3

MR. CONWAY: Objection as to referencing case law, Your Honor. I'm asking him to give factual —

67

THE COURT: You can have argument after the facts.

8

You've been sworn to give evidence.

9

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, ma'am. And part of that evidence is that I as a lawyer have researched the issues

11

and --

10

MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor. Again --

13

12

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

14

MR. SILVERMAN: And the — when there's an adverse

15

inference that can be drawn from conduct and then there's a

16

parallel non-criminal inference, then the admission of evidence

THE COURT: You're just arguing the motion over

17

that there is another purpose —

therefore it's over.

18

19

again. That motion has been ruled on. I think that's probably

20

part of the problem here, Mr. Silverman. You seem not to

21

understand that despite what you say, I've already ruled on

22

that. And I ruled against your client on that issue. And

23

24

Now, it does not permit you, notwithstanding your

25

research in the area, to attempt to re-litigate it in front of

1:39:57PM 1

this jury.

2. 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

MR. SILVERMAN: Your Honor, as I understood the Court's ruling, I was precluded from asking the witness if these items could be used lawfully. I didn't do that.

THE COURT: You were precluded from arquing, suggesting, eliciting testimony, or adducing evidence that chemical dilutants, stamp bags or other efforts -- other materials seized by the Government during their searches were legal to possess or sell.

MR. SILVERMAN: And I haven't asked that. I didn't ask that at all.

THE COURT: That is exactly what you asked.

MR. SILVERMAN: Your Honor, with all respect to the Court, I was very cautious in asking my question to ask if these items have other purposes. I didn't use the word lawful, legal. The witness could have very easily have said -- could have listed a string of unlawful purposes. It wouldn't have mattered. I didn't ask that question.

But the Government is permitted over and over and over to jump up, threaten me with -- now with jail, and repeatedly move during trial to have me fined. And so I'm having to defend myself and explain myself all the time, thereby giving away the defense work product. The Court made me turn over work product to telegraph to the prosecution where we plan to go with the evidence on cross and in the development 1:41:33PM 1

22.

of our case. And while the pretrial order did say turn over the documents from your case—in—chief, it didn't say to turn over the documents that you would use to cross examine witnesses.

And I — I don't see how it's even possible to turn over Jencks Act material that's only turned over a few days before trial. And it seems to me to undermine the accused's ability to confront the witnesses and it violates his Sixth Amendment rights.

And I've tried hard to walk the line and to not ask the question the Court told me not to ask. And I didn't ask that question. And yet now I'm being threatened with prison and I have to defend myself and I'm — we're just in a situation here. And I mean we could — we could go through this record point by point. I don't have the benefit of having a transcript to look at right now, but I will also tell the Court that when the Court permitted the PowerPoint presentation to be introduced without any underlying foundation or predicate — and I understand it's with the caveat that it's not offered as evidence, but only as what the prosecutor expects the evidence to show — it certainly looked a lot like evidence to the defense.

And then the witnesses have been permitted over defense objection repeatedly to testify to their conclusions.

And then every time the defense tries to explore the foundation

1:43:20PM 1

2.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

21 22. 23 for the conclusions that the witness has testified to on direct -- and I say every time, I'm probably exaggerating --

THE COURT: I mean it's just not true, so you can continue on.

MR. SILVERMAN: There have been times when probably the Court did allow us to explore the foundation. But many, many times the Court has sustained objections that were attempting to do nothing other than explore the foundation for which — upon which a conclusion was made and explore the bias or credibility of the maker of the statement.

And I think that I'm -- I am -- additionally, for example, the Court chastised the defense a few moments ago for making the decision to cross examine the witness as he is presented rather than wait for the Government to get done calling him on multiple — at multiple different times. And I'm not controlling the order the Government calls --

THE COURT: You know that wasn't what I chastised you So I — are you done? for.

MR. SILVERMAN: No.

THE COURT: Okav.

MR. SILVERMAN: My efforts to cross examine the Government's witnesses have been uniformly made in good faith, and my motion to — this motion to recuse, which must be referred, is also made in good faith. And with all respect to the Court, I sincerely apologize for any misunderstandings and 1:45:25PM 1

22.

I mean no ill will to the Court, but Mr. Mishra deserves to have a trial where the Government is allowed to put on its case and the defense is allowed to cross examine the witnesses in an effort to undermine that case, and Mr. Mishra shouldn't be in the position of having to — his lawyer be constantly attacked by the Government and the Court condone that type of attack.

And I believe that the bias has been established, and I'm very certain that 28 USC Section 144 requires that this issue be decided before all other issues and that it be decided by a neutral and different Court than yourself.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. Conway or Mr. Cocas?

MR. CONWAY: I mean — let me deal with the facts first of all. We've all been in the courtroom throughout the course of the trial, so Mr. Silverman's representations now under oath about what has happened in this courtroom can be compared to reality. And the reality is that Mr. Silverman has taken the strategy to try to create a mistrial, try to create some other process so his trial can be separated from Mr. Bush or so that he can delay or get some issue on appeal or whatever he's trying to do.

It's clear that that's the trial strategy for Mr. Silverman. It's clear that he just lied over and over again about what happened in court because we were all here. We are all witnesses to what happened in court versus what

1:47:13PM 1

Mr. Silverman said. So now he's added perjury to the list of things that he's done incorrectly.

And what's clear is that he doesn't care what Your Honor says, he has no true respect for Your Honor or for this court. Those are the facts already established I think beyond any doubt.

Your Honor, frankly, has shown an egregious amount of patience in this particular case, perhaps recognizing that the point here is to try to bait you into some sort of rash action that you've refused to be baited into by Mr. Silverman. But again, in my opinion that's a true trial strategy of Mr. Silverman, to try to bait you in some sort of reversible error.

And that's again — we can go back to the motion to parole the witness if we want to and understand that

Mr. Silverman's true strategy there wasn't to get Ms. Mishra to testify as he hadn't bothered to confer with us with regard to her testimony via video. So we now know that was just a facade. It was just a trial strategy that he's chosen to employ to try to get an issue on appeal or try to create some sort of mistrial and that's what's happening.

It's crystal, crystal clear to the Government that that's what's happening. Your Honor has shown an amazing amount of patience, frankly, in this regard.

Now, those are the facts. If I could turn it over to

Mr. Cocas because I know he's done the research with regard to 1:48:44PM 1 2. this --3 THE COURT: We'll talk about contempt in a second. 4 Let's just deal with the issue of recusal. 5 The Court will not recuse from this case. 6 incredible that, frankly, defense counsel would suggest that 7 the Court has somehow acted inappropriately when all the Court has done in this case is tried to keep, first of all, order; 8 second of all, tried to maintain the integrity of the Court's 9 orders by insisting that they be followed when they have been 10 11 faced with variety after variety of transgression. That is not 12 recusable and the Court will not recuse under that statute or any other. 13 14 So let's move on to contempt. 15 If I may, Your Honor, the Court --MR. SILVERMAN: 16 MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, I think it's the 17 Government's motion. We would like to be heard first. 18 MR. SILVERMAN: If I may --19 MR. CONWAY: Well, it's the Government's motion, 20 Your Honor. 21 MR. SILVERMAN: With all respect to the Court, the 22. Court lacks the jurisdiction, as I understand it --23 THE COURT: I disagree. The issue has been resolved. 24 If you have ---25 MR. SILVERMAN: I would like to appeal --

1:50:03PM 1 THE COURT: You can file a motion or whatever you'd 2. like on the record, sir. I have ruled on the issue. You have 3 been given an opportunity to speak. The Government has spoken. 4 And I have ruled on it. 5 The issue of contempt. 6 MR. BURNEY: Excuse me one second, Your Honor. 7 Before they start, I know we're dealing with the Government and 8 dealing with Mr. Silverman --9 THE COURT: If you have something to say, Mr. Burney, 10 I'm sorry. MR. BURNEY: If the Court — before the Court makes 11 its ruling, I want to place something on the record regarding 12 13 that. 14 THE COURT: Yes, please. I apologize. 15 MR. BURNEY: I'm saying regarding any type of ruling 16 the Court makes regarding the contempt. 17 THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead. 18 MR. COCAS: I'm sorry, I thought you wanted me to 19 speak first. 20 THE COURT: No, he wants to speak on the issue of 21 contempt. 22. MR. COCAS: Is this about regarding revocation of pro 23 hac vice status? 24 THE COURT: Correct, and the other sanctions that you indicated you wanted. 25

1:50:59PM 1

22.

MR. COCAS: It took me about an hour, but I briefed the issue. It's about five pages. Essentially what the Court has to ask itself is would it tolerate what it has tolerated so far from Mr. Silverman out of a regular member of the bar of this Court. If it wouldn't and it could point to things in the record that Mr. Silverman has done or hasn't done that violated this Court's orders or were otherwise not professional, then it has the discretion to find that it should revoke its permission for him to practice here on a pro hac vice basis.

And I laid out what I could remember from this past week. It's all been kind of a blur and I don't have the record, but I do remember that when I drafted the — what I call the notice of evidentiary issues in consultation with Mr. Conway, we pinpointed eight issues that we thought from reading Mr. Silverman's various briefs over November that he might be trying to tread into what we viewed as irrelevant or unduly prejudicial to the Government, either because it had no connection to anything or it would be confusing, et cetera.

And when I filed that and the Court granted it,
Mr. Silverman had not filed any response to that. He got up
here and he gave reasons as to why the Court shouldn't grant
some of those requested reliefs, but as to some of them he
represented to the Court that he wouldn't be violating the
order.

Never in my wildest dreams did I imagine that a week

1:52:27PM 1

22.

later here we are. Out of, by my count, out of the seven different — the eight different kinds of relief that the Court granted to us, the Government, Mr. Silverman has violated at least once and often multiple times seven of those. The only thing that he hasn't tried to go into yet that I have seen is the immigration status of Mr. Mishra's mother, and I just get the feeling that that's coming.

The problem this presents for us is even though, you know, we go up to side bar and the Court says, you know, Mr. Silverman, that violates my order — the Court recalls it did this numerous times before it actually started sanctioning him — the problem is it's a cumulative effect of ringing a bell that we can't now unring.

And I think Your Honor hit it right on the head, that you observed maybe Mr. Silverman is trying to bait us to move for a mistrial — I think possibly we could because of prejudice to us — it won't be coming. We just want to get this proceeding finished in accordance with the rules and in accordance with the Court's orders.

If Mr. Silverman has a problem with the eight kinds of relief the Court has granted in the order that it entered on December 1st and then modified on December 3rd, he needs to file a motion for reconsideration. He can't just arrogate unto himself the right to put him above Your Honor and just decide: I don't like this aspect of the order; or in my 20 years of

1:53:45PM 1

2.

22.

practicing in Texas, I have not seen this kind of order before, so I'm just going to disregard it and ask the witness about it; or I'm going to blurt it out in opening statement a couple of times.

It just isn't how things are done. And, Your Honor, I mean I submit — and I don't want to put words in your mouth — but I do remember you saying last week in your 20 years of working in this court as a law clerk, as an attorney, and a judge you haven't seen this kind of disrespect. I practiced 18 years as a clerk for three federal judges and then working as an attorney now for the Government. I haven't seen this kind of disrespect. To me it's almost amazing chutzpah that someone would try this and then be surprised that someone even mentions he could be put in jail for criminal contempt.

At what point does Mr. Silverman get the message? I think the answer is he won't get the message. Whenever the Court calls him up to ask him why he's violated the order yet again, he gets this kind of perplexed look on his face and he makes the representation he didn't mean to do it.

I think Your Honor needs to find that he has worn out his welcome here. He no longer deserves the privilege, the honor of practicing here in this district by the Court's permission, which it did not have to give him in the first place, because he has violated all the rules that this Court

1:55:05PM 1

22.

would not tolerate violation of by members of its own bar.

So in our view that's the appropriate sanction.

Mr. Mishra has three attorneys he's paying for somehow. You know, Sally Frick is a well-respected member of this Court's bar and I'm sure Mrs. Silverman who has been sitting here the whole time, who has been involved in the preparation of this case from day one along with Mr. Silverman anyway, can adequately and competently and ably represent Mr. Mishra the rest of the way through.

If the Court is unwilling to consider that as a sanction at this point, we definitely will be moving to reconsider if we see further violations of this. And that's not in any way to say Mr. Silverman is being threatened. This has a little bit of the air of somebody who runs up and hits you in the face ten times and when you stand up for yourself, he says: Oh, that person is threatening me.

This is what we put up with the entire time. It's within our right to mention to the Court at least that here is the full panoply of remedies that are available to us should we choose to seek them. At this point we think it is — that the least intrusive remedy and the only one that's going to have any effect on the proceedings will be to disqualify Mr. Silverman from continuing to practice in this case, from continuing to practice for sure in the related paraphernalia case. There is just no indication that he is willing to follow

1:56:28PM 1

the Court's orders or at least move for reconsideration of them.

THE COURT: Okay.

Argument from defense.

MS. SILVERMAN: Let me just start by saying that we are acting in good faith and we are working together in this regard; and so if there is something that the Court and the Government feels that Mr. Silverman has done wrong, most of those questions have been carefully researched and culled together, and that would be something that I have done wrong as well by sitting here and joining in that part of the defense.

But I would also --

THE COURT: And do you say that because you think it's a likely outcome that I'll ask you to represent Mr. Mishra going forward? It seems like you're trying to set this up.

MS. SILVERMAN: No, Your Honor. No, I'm not.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SILVERMAN: I'm trying to make it clear that I agree with his position and I side with his position on the law. I sided with his position initially, the Court ruled, we looked at what that meant, we researched the law, we consulted with one of our senior advisors in Texas who said, well, what she is saying is — and she could be correct — is that the jury gets to make the decision about lawfulness and therefore the witnesses cannot testify to the word lawful. They cannot

1:57:46PM 1

22.

say the legal conclusion.

to violate the Court's order --

We looked at the law and decided all right, they cannot make that lawful conclusion. That makes sense. We need to present the facts. And so that's what we've attempted to do, is how do we present the facts? And so there's no intent

THE COURT: But I've already ruled on this issue during the course of trial. So even if there was some misunderstanding regarding the nature of what was and was not appropriate to the same types of questions, I have upheld objections by the Government. So the notion that you didn't know or somehow the question had to have the word legal or illegal in it doesn't seem to make much sense or hold much water.

MS. SILVERMAN: My recollection is that they all had the word legal or lawful in it. That was my recollection completely.

THE COURT: Well, that's not true. So go on.

MS. SILVERMAN: I'm telling you that in good faith.

I'm telling you that's my recollection. I'm telling you that's the time that we understood that we were bringing brought up there again, and it remains our understanding that we can present the alternate explanation. And that would be an issue we would want to brief before the Court made a ruling that we cannot present an alternate explanation for our conduct. Then

1:59:02PM 1

22.

we definitely --

THE COURT: What I told counsel on the day that we heard argument is that they are within their rights to attempt to argue that Mr. Mishra in connection with Mr. Bush had a good faith belief that it was not unlawful to sell that to Mr. Bush in that context or if you can demonstrate that you sold that to Mr. Bush for some other reason, perhaps. But that's not the nature of the testimony that you're trying to put on here.

The nature of the testimony that you're attempting to put on here is about the legality or illegality of the items seized. And you insult my intelligence by suggesting that a question about alternate uses is not the same thing. And I think that counsel who has been practicing, as I understand it, longer than even her husband is well aware of that.

MS. SILVERMAN: I disagree. I think that — I think that what we are presenting and based on the case law that I've already pulled in just a few minutes —

THE COURT: Okay. Well — go on.

MS. SILVERMAN: Our position there is that we're acting in good faith. Secondly — and that's the question that we're looking at. We're acting in good faith and representing that he's done nothing that merits contempt, nothing that merits jail time or removal; but I would also say that I am not in a position to provide the Defendant assistance of counsel. Although I have been at all of the things where we reviewed the

2:00:31PM 1

2.

22.

evidence, physical evidence, it was my responsibility to cull and gather, and I did that. I culled and gathered all the evidence, organized it for trial, put it into binders to make it easier to find.

I am not the one who read the thousands of pages; that was Mr. Silverman. It is the reading of the thousands of pages that is necessary to confront the Government's case. It is necessary to cross examine the witnesses. And I'm in no position ready to be able to do that and to read a thousand pages overnight and be — thousands of pages overnight and be ready to do it and listen to somewhere around 18,000 or more tape recorded phone calls.

I have not listened to those either. I've heard the ones with the Court in court. I have never heard the conversations before that, before we were in court, so I don't know which ones might be out there that would be exculpatory. I don't know which ones are out there that are — works for us. I don't know any of these things. I am not in a position to provide effective assistance of counsel and not really medically prepared to do that.

THE COURT: And Miss Frick?

MS. SILVERMAN: Miss Frick has not really been involved. She's not — as you can see, she's not here today. She is covering other matters throughout this trial. She wasn't — as I understand it, she wasn't required to be here.

2:01:43PM 1

_ _

I believe she even asked the Court's permission to make sure she would not be required to be here every day of court.

She's not been there for the preparation. She's been kind enough to do the legwork in town and did appear for some of the evidence reviews. She hasn't reviewed the evidence and read the material and would be in no position to take over the defense either.

MR. SILVERMAN: I will represent to the Court, without waiving any relief I might be entitled to, I will represent to the Court that if it is the Court's order that I not cross examine any Government witness on whether there's another — whether there's any purpose beside heroin distribution for the items that Mr. Mishra was selling, I won't do that.

THE COURT: That has already been ordered, Mr. Silverman.

MR. SILVERMAN: I really truly did not — I understood —

THE COURT: There is no way you don't know that,

Mr. Silverman. And, again, you insult the Court's intelligence

by suggesting otherwise. I mean it just — I mean it was

raised in opening, it was raised during questioning, again. I

mean that is not going to win you any arguments here today.

MR. SILVERMAN: What I am trying to say is — and it certainly — there's no intention — intent here to insult the

Court in any way, insult the Court's intelligence. 2:03:11PM 1 2. I won't do that if that's the order. I won't do it. 3 I've got a lot of hours invested in this case and I don't have any -- I have the intent to preserve error as it occurs. I try 4 5 hard to do that because I'm supposed to. 6 THE COURT: Let me ask you a question, Mr. Silverman. 7 Yes, Your Honor. MR. SILVERMAN: 8 THE COURT: What was the Court's order on 9 December 2nd? 10 MR. SILVERMAN: With -- on which --11 THE COURT: On all the points. Tell me what the 12 Court's orders were. 13 MR. STIVERMAN: I have to look at the motion. 14 I was not to talk about any punishment the Defendant 15 was facing --16 THE COURT: First of all, there's no talking. 17 There's arguing, implying, suggesting, eliciting testimony, all 18 of those sorts of things are covered. It's not talking. So 19 certainly suggesting is one of those things that you were 20 precluded from doing. MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. I don't have the text of the 21 22. order and I --23 THE COURT: Okay. Should we print up the text of the 24 order for you?

MR. SILVERMAN: Sure, then I can at least be on the

25

2:04:16PM 1 same page. 2 THE COURT: So you've never read the order? 3 MR. SILVERMAN: No, I've read the order, Judge. I'm 4 standing here in court without a copy in front of me. 5 THE COURT: So you are questioning the witnesses and 6 presenting this case without knowing the full breadth of the 7 order, is that --8 MR. SILVERMAN: No, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: So tell me what the full breadth of the 10 order is. 11 MR. SILVERMAN: That I was not to mention the 12 punishment we were facing, that I was not to mention the legal 13 status of the items we were selling, that I was not to comment 14 on immigration matters -- oh, and I was not to comment on 15 Akhil Mishra's prosecution — oh, and I was not to mention 16 Mayank Mishra's paraphernalia case. What else --17 THE COURT: So you're unfamiliar with the order. 18 MR. SILVERMAN: No, Your Honor, I'm on the spot. 19 THE COURT: Well, you're on the spot here in court. 20 I mean either you know what's in the order or you don't. 21 MR. SILVERMAN: Your Honor — 22. THE COURT: I mean you're trying this case. 23 either know whether you're violating the order or you don't 24 know whether you're violating the order.

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, Your Honor, and -- if the Court

25

just -- as a lawyer, I generally have a document in front of me 2:05:54PM 1 2. before I say what a document says. 3 THE COURT: Right. 4 MR. SILVERMAN: And so if I could please see the 5 document --6 THE COURT: Oh, you should have the order. The order 7 was made available to you, to everyone. 8 MR. SILVERMAN: We're pulling it up on the Internet 9 now. 10 I don't need the order read back to me, I THE COURT: 11 just wanted to satisfy myself that you're wholly unfamiliar 12 with the parameters of the order. MR. SILVERMAN: I think I'm familiar — I don't think 13 14 I've mentioned, alluded to, solicited testimony about the 15 punishment --16 THE COURT: Yes, you did. But go ahead. 17 When did I do that? MR. SILVERMAN: 18 THE COURT: Remember? We talked about it. You 19 brought up forfeiture in the context of your question. 20 The intention — MR. SILVERMAN: 21 THE COURT: I don't care about the intention. 22. MR. SILVERMAN: It was not a punishment issue. It 23 was not --24 THE COURT: Forfeiture is a part of the remedy that 25 the Government is seeking in this case.

MR. SILVERMAN: And also the Government is seeking to, in our view, to wrongly take Mr. Mishra's money.

THE COURT: That's not something that you are allowed to say to the jury. It is a part of the punishment in this case.

MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. But when they — okay. Like that's an example of something that we were offering for a proper purpose to show bias and improper motive, not to show that it's a punishment that Mr. Mishra's facing.

THE COURT: Did I make those exemptions in the context of my order or did I simply say that you are precluded from doing that?

MR. SILVERMAN: I didn't view that as a punishment.

I viewed that as a bad motive on the part of the Government.

That's different.

THE COURT: How is that a bad motive? It is something that is authorized by statute if the Government is successful in this case. How is that a bad motive?

MR. SILVERMAN: If the — if the purpose — if it is not justified, if the —

THE COURT: That's up to the jury to decide whether it's justified.

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, I understand that. But anything — any motive, any improper motive would be fair game to explain bias.

2:08:04PM 1 THE COURT: Okay, that's just a wholly unsatisfying 2. response. 3 MR. SILVERMAN: And I had, Your Honor — the 4 Government was permitted, number one, in its opening and — to 5 show the pictures of the money. 6 THE COURT: Okay. What does that have to do with my 7 ruling? 8 MR. SILVERMAN: Mr. Mishra is contending that that's 9 not even his money. THE COURT: And he's free to put on the defense and 10 11 you've asked those questions. 12 MR. SILVERMAN: I know. 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MR. SILVERMAN: And that was the reason we were 15 discussing the forfeiture, not to discuss punishment. 16 THE COURT: I don't care what the reason was. 17 were precluded from doing so and I think that that's probably 18 at least part of the problem here, Mr. Silverman. You seem to 19 not understand what the word "precluded" means. I mean "preclude" means you don't do it. 20 21 MR. SILVERMAN: Your Honor — 22. THE COURT: Not that you decide that you have some 23 other purpose for it. You don't do it. 24 MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. And I did not -

when I -- honestly, I conceive of the punishment in this case

25

2:09:08PM 1

22.

as ten to life. I didn't really think of the forfeiture as the punishment. And if I — I had an independent basis for wanting to discuss the money, and it had nothing whatever to do with punishment. And I don't believe I have blurted out or suggested that Mr. Mishra is facing ten to life and I wouldn't do that.

THE COURT: That's not relevant to the discussion.

The discussion is whether or not — don't look perplexed. You know exactly what I'm saying, Mr. Silverman.

MR. SILVERMAN: I can't control how I look.

THE COURT: It's very clear, as someone who has been practicing in this area, that you're well aware that forfeiture is one of the sanctions faced by Mr. Mishra in this case. You raised it. You just raised it as a matter of course.

MR. SILVERMAN: May I have a moment here, Your Honor?

I found a docket entry —

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. CONWAY: Frankly, he's making our case for us. mean every time he opens his mouth he makes it clear that he doesn't respect the Court, he doesn't respect the Court's orders, and he's acting like that's the only one. There are seven different provisions of that court order that he has violated. Every — seven of the eight. Seven of the eight. It's just unbelievable.

And he — you can't — everything that comes out of

2:10:28PM 1

22.

his mouth, you can't trust it to be true.

I mean you are an officer of the court. What comes out of your mouth is supposed to be the truth.

What comes out of his mouth is not the truth. Every single time. I can't think of a completely, fully, truthful sentence that he's said — take a 30 second blink of anything he's said, and can you say that really he's told you the truth in that full 30 seconds? I don't think you can. I certainly can't think of a full 30 seconds where Mr. Silverman has spoken and I believed every word coming out of his mouth.

You're an officer of the court, you address

Your Honor, and you tell the truth. Mr. Silverman can't or
won't do that. And that's just another reason to not only
revoke his pro hac vice status, but to place him in jail,
because that's the appropriate remedy at this point. We are
going to be trying this case through the end of January if you
let him continue doing this.

Now, I know Your Honor said over and over again that's not going to happen. The only way to stop that from happening is to remove him.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SILVERMAN: The additional prong of the order was that we not discuss discovery disputes.

THE COURT: You're still misciting my order. My order was very specific, and perhaps you — those words — the

2:11:50PM 1

22.

words weren't put in there just for my -- my own edification.

MR. SILVERMAN: The parties --

THE COURT: You need not read it to me. I'm familiar with the order. That's why we sit here, Mr. Silverman. You need not — I think you need to read it because you seem to not understand it. I understand it fully. And I understand all of your transgressions of it during the course of this case. Every single day.

Mr. Burney, you wanted to speak on this issue.

MR. BURNEY: Yes, Your Honor.

I understand that time is always very important and a very important issue. Just to bird walk for a moment, of course, what's been going on, I guess, the allegations by the Government and what's been going on in the course with regards to Mr. Silverman to me has had an effect on Mr. Bush and his right to a fair trial. So I'll try to address that.

Some of the reasons that we are in this position, of course, Mr. Bush, is because of the actions of the Government from the very beginning of this case. I know the Court has stated from the beginning that we will finish this case in two weeks' time. The Court's time is very important, and I understand that. How the Court came to that evaluation I'm not sure. I know you have all that within your discretion —

THE COURT: Based on trials in like matters with far more Defendants than this one, Mr. Burney.

2:13:30PM 1

2.

_

MR. BURNEY: But I'm saying I know the Court has come to that conclusion.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BURNEY: The time in the court that I have to be concerned with is not how long the Government takes to prepare their case, but the time if Mr. Bush is convicted he's facing on a mandatory sentence in this particular case, so I have to try as best I can to make sure he has a fair trial.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. BURNEY: The Government in the beginning — when we talk about time, the Government in the beginning, which they had a right to do, they filed an indictment against Mr. Bush, also with regards to Mr. Wheeler. At that particular point he was detained. The Government's concerned about that time that he was serving. They had a right to have him detained. The Court ruled that he would be detained.

One year later, as Mr. Bush and Mr. Wheeler were on their way to trial — and we talk about time — the Government filed a superseding indictment. They did not file any changes in the indictment regarding Mr. Bush. They didn't file any changes in the indictment with regards to Mr. Wheeler. They added Mr. Mishra, Mr. Mishra alone.

Now, that's not unusual because I know the Government on various occasions and various cases have filed superseding indictments. But in this particular matter the Government did 2:14:42PM 1

22.

not start out indicting maybe a group for conspiracy of 10, 20 or 30 or 40 that's been historically done in this particular court. They from the very beginning separated out indictments of maybe one person or two people or three people, all along prior to almost the year that the superseding indictment for Mr. Bush came.

To me the Government knew with their experience historically that when they filed that superseding indictment by adding Mr. Mishra or they added other charges that the delay would automatically take place regarding Mr. Bush because new counsel for Mr. Mishra, a new Defendant, would have to go through those thousands and thousands of documents and calls and texts and everything which they have demonstrated is part of discovery in this particular case. That automatically without control from Mr. Bush would delay and he was detained.

As that went along, of course, being detained, there were three, of course, Defendants in this particular matter. And, of course, we came back, of course, to June in this particular matter, June of this year. At that particular point because of reasons not in the control of the Government, not in the control of the Court, when Mr. Zimmerman asked for a postponement at that particular point, in dealing with time and the delay as far as time, Mr. Bush objected. He wanted to go to trial then. The Government was not concerned about the delay in time for him to come to court. The Government

2:16:08PM 1

22.

objected. The Government said because of judicial comity and several other reasons Mr. Bush would be connected. No one at that time said he'd still be connected with regards to the other two Defendants.

As we came up to approaching trial date of
December 1st there was a motion joined by the Government to
separate out Mr. Wheeler. We understand the reasons why. The
whole case wasn't postponed. The whole case wasn't postponed,
said time was of the essence for Mr. Bush. As we come into
this particular situation, motions, there are many motions that
have been filed on behalf of — before the Court made its
motion on behalf of Mr. Mishra. And to me the Government knew
that that would affect the time aspects of what would take
place, the rulings that would take place, and so they are not
just now being manifested in this trial.

So to me in dealing with the time aspect, if — in fact, in preparation, once I realized that there would be two Defendants and once I realized for the defense it would be a joint defense regarding Mr. Bush and Mr. Mishra, lead counsel in this case, Mr. Silverman, is the one that I mainly dealt with as far as discussing trial strategy, discussing as witnesses would be called as far as possibly who would go first, who would go second, who would more so concentrate in this particular area. And that now will be at a — to me, a severe prejudice if he is taken off the case.

2:17:35PM 1

22.

To me if he's taken off the case, to me I would ask the Court to ask for a mistrial and separate Mr. Bush away from Mr. Mishra and that we would be able to start all over again and not be subjected to what has taken place in this particular trial, so we could therefore be able to separately come up with our own trial strategy and not be concerned with the issues that's come up in this particular court.

So I understand the Court has discretion, I understand the Court has certain remedies. But we're requesting that that remedy, if it is that Mr. Norm Silverman is taken off — and we have not dealt with Mrs. Daphne Silverman or Miss Frick in dealing with preparation of this defense — we will be severely prejudiced, and we would ask that there would be a mistrial and Mr. Bush be able to go on his way by himself as far so he can have a fair trial, and we can do the proper preparation as far as the defense by ourself.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. Cocas?

MR. COCAS: I mean it's kind of ironic that everything Mr. Burney has said up until the moment he said if Mr. Silverman is taken off the case we want a mistrial, all of that would militate in favor of taking Mr. Silverman off the case. Mainly, I guess, the theory was Mr. Silverman's antics would somehow prejudice the jury to both Defendants. I assume

2:18:53PM 1

22.

the Court is going to instruct the jury that, you know, not to view — to view each Defendant individually, so I guess that's neither here nor there.

You know, I did point out this potential in my motion, the one I just filed, that it's possible that if Mr. Silverman is allowed to continue in these kinds of antics Mr. Bush could have this argument at the end of trial. But now it seems that Mr. Burney wants to have his cake and eat it. He wants to state everything that would justify getting Mr. Silverman off the case and then conclude on a complete 180 that's all why I want to keep him on the case he says, I suppose because they've allocated how to question witnesses.

It seems to me this is something that a few hours with Mrs. Silverman could resolve. You know, it seems to me Mrs. Silverman could take over the areas of questioning that Mr. Silverman was going to undertake and Mr. Burney could do what he's been doing.

THE COURT: Mrs. Silverman represents to the Court that she's unprepared to try this case.

MR. COCAS: I find it a little hard to believe that Mr. Mishra is paying three attorneys and paying two of them essentially to be paralegals. That's what Mrs. Silverman is asking the Court to believe. I don't find it plausible, given the amount of communication, e-mail traffic where Mr. Silverman is on it, that he's been on this the entire time.

2:20:14PM 1

22.

If, God forbid, Mr. Conway got hit with a bus walking out of here tonight, I would have to be prepared to take over.

And, you know, I may not be as prepared as he would be, but I think I could do a competent job. So I just — I don't find it plausible.

And now that said, I'm not in the room alone with the Silvermans so I can't — you know, I don't have a basis for saying this. I guess that's the virtue of Mrs. Silverman's story here, there is no way we can contradict it.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

During this trial Mr. Silverman has been fined by the Court pursuant to 18 United States Code Section 401 for contempt for his blatant and purposeful disobedience of this Court's December 2nd order as well as the Court's December 3rd order amending the December 2nd order.

Those violations do not stand alone. Mr. Silverman as recent as this morning persists in his efforts to circumvent this Courts evidentiary order by raising areas of inquiry such as the legality or illegality of chemical dilutants, stamp bags and other materials seized. Indeed, he has engaged in these efforts on every day of trial thus far and has been warned repeatedly about his failure to follow the Court's order.

Counsel for the Government has requested in response to Mr. Silverman's continued contempt of this Court's orders that his pro hac vice status be revoked and that he be 2:21:39PM 1

incarcerated.

_

Counsel for both sides were afforded an opportunity to prepare for arguments on this hearing.

The Court orders that at this juncture Mr. Silverman will be permitted to continue as counsel in this case. The Court's order is made with respect to the due process concerns that it has regarding Mrs. Silverman's ability to adequately represent Mr. Mishra in this matter. Moreover, it appears that Miss Frick, serving as local counsel, really has not been present during the course of these proceedings and therefore would be ill-prepared to handle the defense in this case.

I agree with Mr. Cocas, that we must at this juncture take Mrs. Silverman at her word, although the Court likewise finds it very improbable that Mr. Mishra is paying merely for her paralegal services in this case.

Nevertheless, the Court will consider down the line the possibility of invoking the sanction of jail time should this behavior continue. Based on the transgression this morning the Court will order that Mr. Silverman pay an additional thousand dollars to the Court for his most recent contempt of the Court's order.

The Court does not buy Mr. Silverman's argument that this was inadvertent or accidental or that he did not understand the Court's order. I think the Court's order at this juncture has been perfectly clear. The Court has

2:23:20PM 1

2.

22.

re-emphasized what is and isn't in violation of the Court's order at this juncture. There should be no room for any sort of miscomprehension of the Court's order.

Mr. Silverman is instructed to review the Court's order in detail, review all of the verbs in the Court's order in detail. The Court's order does not specifically even use the word — does not solely rely on the word mention. While mentioning is one of the things you were precluded from doing, you are precluded from suggesting, implying, things of that nature. It is not limited to merely mentioning.

Moreover, I don't find compelling the argument that with respect to the issue of whether or not the parties can back door it by asking questions about other uses of these materials, I don't find the argument compelling that you did not understand my order to preclude that. First of all, you've done that very thing in this case and you have been instructed not to do that before. So the notion that you did not understand that to be the case is unpersuasive.

But more importantly, it's just common sensical to read the order to preclude that as the order specifically indicates that you may not suggest it, and that is most definitely a suggestion of it. So please read my order so that we do not have any additional delays in this case.

The jury, I am sure at this point, is frustrated by the number of objections and side bars and the like that have 2:25:12PM 1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

been necessitated by the continuing behavior of counsel.

To be clear and so you are on notice, Mr. Silverman, the Court will incarcerate you if there are additional violations of the Court's order. Now, I'm not necessarily saying that the Court will incarcerate you during the pendency of this trial, as it is clear to me at least at this juncture that you appear to be the only one who has the knowledge to continue on in this case. But be — rest assured you will be put in jail if you continue to hold this Court's order in contempt.

Okay. All right.

Jim, let's get the jury.

MR. IMHOF, DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: There's no redirect of Officer --

MR. CONWAY: I'm going to have to recall him with regard to the calls, so I'll just deal with it then with your permission.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. SILVERMAN: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Burney, any objection?

MR. BURNEY: I'm sorry, I didn't hear everything he said.

THE COURT: I asked if there was any redirect of Mr. Barrett and he indicated he would do redirect the next time he calls Mr. Barrett to the stand. Any objection?

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

2122

23

24

25

2:26:43PM 1 MR. BURNEY: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. 3 (Jurors seated.) 4 THE COURT: Okay, ladies and gentlemen, have a seat. 5 And thank you for bearing with us. We had some legal issues we 6 had to discuss and so we had a little bit of an extended break. 7 The Government ready with it next witness? 8 MR. CONWAY: Yes, Your Honor. The United States 9 calls Matthew Lebedda. 10 MATTHEW LEBEDDA, a witness herein, having been first 11 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. IMHOF, DEPUTY CLERK: Would you spell your name 13 14 for the court reporter please. 15 THE WITNESS: Sure. First name is Matthew, the last 16 name is Lebedda. L-E-B-E-D-D-A. 17 BY MR. CONWAY: 18 Good afternoon, sir. Would you please introduce yourself 19 to the members of the jury. 20 Sure, my name is Matthew Lebedda. I'm a Pittsburgh 21 policeman. I've been a detective with the city for ten years 22. and been employed with the city for the last 21 years. 23 I want to turn your attention to around March 4th, 2012, 24 around 1:00 p.m. Were you on duty on that date and time, sir? 25 Yes, sir; I was.

2:29:36PM 1 And what was your assignment? Q I was assigned with another officer to work with the FBI 3 and possibly take down a vehicle that they were tracking on an 4 ongoing investigation. 5 And when you say "take down a vehicle," what do you mean? Do a traffic stop and detain the driver. 6 7 And at that point had a search warrant already been 8 obtained for the vehicle and the driver? 9 Correct. 10 And could you take us through the traffic stop itself. 11 Sure. We were sitting in a fixed location near Robinson 12 Boulevard. We were told by the FBI that the vehicle --13 MR. SILVERMAN: Objection, hearsay. 14 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 15 BY MR. CONWAY: 16 Well, based upon your discussions with the FBI, what did 17 you do? 18 We went ahead and stopped a black Honda with the person that the FBI said was the target of the interview. 19 20 MR. SILVERMAN: Objection, hearsay. 21 BY MR. CONWAY: 22. Can you try to answer the questions without describing 23 what was told to you by other people? MR. SILVERMAN: May I have a ruling, Your Honor? 24

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

25

2:30:41PM 1 MR. SILVERMAN: Move to strike and ask the jury to disregard. 3 THE COURT: The jury is instructed to disregard what 4 other people told Officer Lebedda. 5 MR. SILVERMAN: Move for a mistrial. 6 THE COURT: The objection — the motion is denied. 7 THE WITNESS: We stopped a black Honda during the 8 course of a traffic stop. BY MR. CONWAY: 9 10 And did you ultimately conduct a search of the Honda? 11 Α Yes. Now, I've placed before you a map marked as Government 12 Exhibit FBI-486. Do you see that, sir? 13 14 Yes, I do. 15 And do you recognize that as a map in sort of the 16 Rankin/Braddock/East Hills section of Pittsburgh? 17 That is correct. 18 And could you -- I ask that you -- can you tell -- does 19 that map contain the approximate location of where you would 20 have stopped the Honda vehicle? 21 Yes, it does. 22. MR. CONWAY: Okay. Your Honor move for the admission 23 of Government Exhibit FBI-486. 24 THE COURT: Any objection? 25 MR. SILVERMAN: Improper foundation.

2:31:43PM 1

3

4

5

THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Burney?

MR. BURNEY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

MR. CONWAY: If we could display Government Exhibit

FBI-486. If we could, Ms. Wikert, if you could sort of blow up

6 this portion of it.

7 BY MR. CONWAY:

- 8 Q The portion that's on your computer screen now, sir, what
- 9 area of Pittsburgh is that?
- 10 A It's roughly the East Hills area of the City of
- 11 Pittsburgh.
- 12 Q And do you see where Montier Street turns into
- 13 Robinson Boulevard?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q And where did this traffic stop occur?
- 16 A On Robinson. I believe 2121 Robinson, near that area.
- 17 Q So can you just get up from your seat for a moment and
- 18 just point on the screen that's right behind you to your right,
- 19 sir, approximately where on Robinson Boulevard that you would
- 20 have stopped the Honda at issue.
- 21 A I was -- I would believe it was right in here, right in
- 22 this area somewhere.
- 23 Q So approximately this area, sir?
- 24 A Yes, on Robinson.
- 25 Q Okay. If you could just take your seat again, sir.

```
2:33:01PM 1
                   Now, I've also placed before you, sir -- well, were
              pictures taken during the course of the execution of the search
          3
              warrant?
          4
                   Yes, they were.
          5
                   I've placed before you what's been marked as Government
          6
              Exhibits FBI-145 through FBI-151. What are those?
          7
                   This is evidence that was taken from the black vehicle
          8
              and/or from the person that was the driver of the black
          9
              vehicle.
         10
                   So were those the pictures taken during the course of the
              execution of the search warrant?
         11
         12
                   Yes.
         13
                        MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, move for the admission of
         14
              Government Exhibits FBI-145 through FBI-151.
         15
                        THE COURT: Any objection?
         16
                   (No response.)
         17
                        THE COURT: Any objection?
         18
                        MR. SILVERMAN: May we have a moment, Your Honor, or
         19
              could we see the exhibits?
         20
                        MR. CONWAY: Your Honor --
         21
                        THE COURT: Do you have the exhibits?
         22.
                        MR. SILVERMAN: We have them; we're trying to find
         23
              them.
         24
                   (Brief pause in proceedings.)
         25
                        MR. SILVERMAN: 150 or 151?
```

2:34:55PM 1

MR. CONWAY: FBI-145 through FBI-151.

2

MR. SILVERMAN: No objection.

3

THE COURT: Mr. Burney?

4

MR. BURNEY: No objections, Your Honor.

5

THE COURT: Okay. Admitted.

6

MR. CONWAY: If we could display Government Exhibit

FBI-149.

7

8

BY MR. CONWAY:

9

Q What is the jury looking at in 149, sir?

10

A That is the black Honda that I pulled over.

11

Q FBI-150.

12

A That's the open passenger door of that same vehicle.

13

Q FBI-151.

14

A Same vehicle's glove box that's opened, and you could see

15

a brown plastic bag in that with other materials, papers and

16

such.

17

Q FBI-145.

18

A That is the plastic baggie that was inside the glove box.

19

Q FBI-146.

20

A That is the suspected heroin or heroin that was inside

21

that same plastic baggie that was pictured in 145.

22

Q And FBI-148.

23

A Those are the personal possessions of the gentleman who

24

was driving the vehicle that day.

25

Now, were you the one that actually found the suspected

heroin in the glove compartment? 2:36:26PM 1 Yes, sir. Now, what did you do with the evidence or what did the 3 4 team sort of do with the evidence once it was seized? 5 After I -- after the evidence was discovered, we were told 6 not to touch it and that the FBI would be processing the 7 evidence itself. And was there a Special Agent named James Muha that was 8 9 there? 10 Α Yes. 11 Q Okay. I have no further questions, Your Honor. 12 MR. CONWAY: 13 THE COURT: Any cross examination? 14 MR. SILVERMAN: None from Mr. Mishra. 15 CROSS EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. BURNEY: 17 Officer, about what time of day was this as far as on 18 March 14, 2015, when you received the directive to stop this 19 black Honda? 20 I could — from what I recall, around 12:45. Okay. You said -- and you said who gave you that 21 22. directive, the FBI? 23 One of the case agents. 24 Okay. And at that particular point were you --- were you

in possession of any type of an arrest warrant, search warrant

2:37:54PM 1

- or anything of that nature?
- 2 \parallel A $\,$ I wasn't in possession of it, but I was aware of it.
- 3 Q Okay. What were you aware of?
- 4 A That there was a search warrant for the vehicle and a
- 5 search warrant for the person driving the vehicle.
- 6 Q Okay. So when you say that you stopped a black Honda
- 7 during the course of a traffic stop, this was not a traffic
- 8 stop.
- 9 A It was stopped in traffic, but it did not commit a traffic
- 10 violation.
- 11 Q Okay. The reason you stopped it was because of the
- 12 information you had that there was going to be a search warrant
- 13 for that vehicle and also a search warrant for the person
- 14 driving the vehicle?
- 15 A To stop the vehicle and detain the driver.
- 16 Q Okay. Did they give you a license plate number? Did they
- 17 give you the name of the person that was supposed to be driving
- 18 the vehicle or anything of that nature?
- 19 A Yes, we knew who it was and we knew the plate.
- 20 Q Okay. So you had had some information prior to receiving
- 21 that call as far as the person and the car, is that correct?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q And let me see, did you work on the task force?
- 24 A Loosely I was on the task force. I work in the area, so
- 25 they swore me in because of this case because it ran through

- 2:39:02PM 1
- the area that I worked in. So I was a part of it, yes.
- 2 | Q Let me see if I understand it. So you work for the City
- 3 of Pittsburgh?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q Okay. And you work for the City of Pittsburgh and you
- 6 said what area?
- 7 A It's actually Homewood, East Hills. It's Zone 5 police
- 8 station, which encompasses East Liberty, East Hills, Homewood,
- 9 this section where this specific person was.
- 10 Q Okay. Now, when you said you were sworn in --
- 11 A Uh-huh.
- 12 Q -- because sometimes you're in that area, how much prior
- 13 to that were you sworn in?
- 14 A I don't recall how much further before the investigation
- 15 started, I don't know. I can't recall.
- 16 Q And when you mean you were sworn in, did you stop doing
- 17 your normal duties for the City of Pittsburgh as a police
- 18 officer then only start working for the task force?
- 19 A No, I was only -- when I was needed by the task force, my
- 20 agency would let me work with them.
- 21 Q And this would this would be like on an hourly, daily
- 22 or weekly basis?

out.

- 23 A Whenever they called. If I was on duty, I would help them
- 24
- 25 Q Okay. So you would be on your normal duty.

2:40:12PM 1

- A Yes, sir.
- $\mathbb{Q} \quad \mathbb{Q}$ Then there may be a call for you to do something.
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q Now, when you made this stop, you said you were by
- 5 yourself or was there somebody else with you?
- 6 A I was with someone, yes, sir.
- 7 Q And who was that?
- 8 A Craig Gator.
- 9 Q Another police officer?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q At that particular time I guess in executing this search
- 12 warrant, is that what you were doing or you just held the
- 13 individual?
- 14 A I helped execute the search warrant of the vehicle.
- 15 Q Okay. So when you stopped the vehicle, did you just have
- 16 the person stay in the vehicle until you had assistance or did
- 17 you do anything prior to assistance coming?
- 18 A No, we we stopped the vehicle, had him turn off the
- 19 vehicle. At one point we had him exit the vehicle, and then
- 20 after he exited we conducted the search shortly after that.
- 21 Q Now, when you say shortly after that you executed the
- 22 search, does that mean after assistance came or you and --
- 23 A After assistance came, after the after the FBI was
- 24 actually on the scene.
- 25 Q Okay. Now, you were -- you were shown a map which is

- 2:41:22PM 1 Government Exhibit FBI-486.
 - 2 MR. BURNEY: If we can have that up, please.
 - 3 BY MR. BURNEY:
 - 4 | Q I guess you can see that on the screen.
 - 5 A Yes, sir.
 - 6 Q And you said I think on the screen there is a street
 - 7 | that's either -- Wilner Drive that's close to 2121 Robinson
 - 8 Street?
 - 9 A Yes.
 - 10 Q So on that map that would be the location near where you
 - 11 conducted this stop on Robinson.
 - 12 A Yes. Yes, sir.
 - 13 Q And as far as -- were you involved in taking the
 - 14 photographs that are in FBI Exhibits 145 through 151?
 - 15 A Absolutely not.
 - 16 Q Someone else?
 - 17 A Yes, sir.
 - 18 Q So basically when the task force came, did that end the
 - 19 duties you had with regard to being present at the scene?
 - 20 | A Yes.
 - 21 Q I think you said you found the suspected heroin in the
 - 22 glove box?
 - 23 A Yes, sir.
 - 24 Q Okay. Now, was this before or after the task force came?
 - 25 A They were there during during when the task force was

- 2:42:40PM 1 there.
 - 2 \parallel Q So when the task force came, your duties did not stop.
 - 3 You assisted in what they were doing?
 - 4 A Yes.
 - 5 Q And as part of that you did the search.
 - 6 A Yes.
 - 7 Q And what different areas did you search?
 - 8 A I believe I just searched that specific that area, the
 - 9 front seat and the back seat, the front passenger seat and the
 - 10 | front -- or the back rear passenger there, just for -- I was
 - 11 | just searching for whatever may be found, guns, drugs, whatever
 - 12 | that they were looking for, whatever you needed to find; but I
 - 13 didn't search the driver compartment or the passenger or the
 - 14 driver's rear compartment.
 - 15 Q Someone else was doing that?
 - 16 A I believe so; yes, sir.
 - 17 Q And like you said, when they arrived at the scene, they
 - 18 had the to execute they had the search warrant for the car
 - 19 and they had the search warrant for the person of the one who
 - 20 was driving?
 - 21 A Yes.
 - 22 Q He was by himself?
 - 23 A The driver, yes, sir.
 - 24 Q Now, are you familiar on the map, Government's Exhibit
 - 25 FBI-486, are you familiar with 132 Madonna Street?

2:43:46PM 1 Α I'm familiar with it; yes, sir. Now, as far as 132 Madonna Street, how far is that away 3 from the stop of where this Honda took place? Approximately. 4 A couple miles, mile and a half maybe. I don't think it's 5 real far. 6 Okay. A couple miles. And I guess as this map goes, 7 you -- on Robinson Boulevard where it was stopped you could go into other areas and other streets versus going directly to 8 9 132 Madonna Street? 10 Yes, sir. 11 0 All right. MR. BURNEY: Okay, thank you. No further questions. 12 13 THE COURT: Any redirect? 14 MR. CONWAY: Not from the Government, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okav. 16 You may step down. 17 (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 18 THE COURT: The Government's next witness. 19 The United States calls Jason Binder. MR. CONWAY: 20 JASON BINDER, a witness herein, having been first 21 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 22. DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 MR. IMHOF, DEPUTY CLERK: Spell your name for the 24 court reporter, please. 25 THE WITNESS: Jason Binder. B-I-N-D-E-R.

2:45:07PM 1

THE COURT: Please have a seat and put that mike right in front of you.

- 3 BY MR. CONWAY:
- 4 Q Good afternoon, sir. Would you please introduce yourself
- 5 to the members of the jury and tell them how you're employed.
- 6 A Jason Binder. I'm an Allegheny County police officer.
- 7 | Q And how long have you worked in law enforcement, sir?
- 8 A I'm in my 19th year.
- 9 Q I want to turn your attention to March 14th, 2012. Were
- 10 you assisting the FBI in connection with a matter on that day?
- 11 A I was.
- 12 Q And what was your basic assignment?
- 13 A To assist with search warrants and arrests that day.
- 14 Q Now, I want to refer you to an Apartment 4 at 500 Mills
- 15 Avenue in the Braddock section of Pittsburgh. Are you familiar
- 16 | with that?
- 17 | A I am.
- 18 Q How did you become familiar with that address?
- 19 A On that day I was detailed there to secure that residence
- 20 for a search warrant.
- 21 Q And did you eventually execute the search warrant and
- 22 conduct a search of that particular residence?
- 23 **|** A I did.
- 24 Q Now, I want to show you what's been marked as Government's
- 25 Exhibit 12 FBI-124 through FBI-130. And what are those?

```
Photographs of items seized from that residence on that
2:46:40PM 1
              Α
          2
              day.
          3
                        MR. CONWAY:
                                     Your Honor, move for the admission of
          4
              Government Exhibits FBI-124 through FBI-130.
          5
                        THE COURT: Any objection?
          6
                        MR. SILVERMAN: Just a moment, Your Honor.
          7
                   (Brief pause in proceedings.)
          8
                        MR. BURNEY: Your Honor, while Mr. Silverman is
          9
              looking, we would hope the foundation would be laid.
         10
              Otherwise, we would object to see if he was present when those
         11
              photos were taken and he can identify they are true and
              accurate as far as the scenes that were depicted at that
         12
         13
              location.
         14
                        THE COURT: Lay your foundation, Mr. Conway.
         15
             BY MR. CONWAY:
         16
                   Were pictures taken during the course of the execution of
         17
              the search warrant?
         18
                   They were.
         19
                   And were you present while the pictures were taken?
         20
                   I was.
                   And do those pictures accurately reflect the items that
         21
         22.
              were seized at the 500 Mills Avenue, Apartment 4 on
             March 14th, 2012?
         23
         24
                   Yes.
         25
                        THE COURT: Any additional objection?
```

2:47:49PM 1

MR. BURNEY: Not from Mr. Bush, Your Honor.

2

MR. SILVERMAN: I mislaid two of them. Can I just take a look at the tender, please — thank you.

3

No objection.

5

THE COURT: Okay, they're admitted.

6

MR. CONWAY: Ms. Wikert, if we could display starting

7

with Government Exhibit FBI-124.

8

BY MR. CONWAY:

9

Q And, Detective Binder, if you could describe what the jury is viewing here.

10

11

A The first photograph, 124, that's a photograph of US

12

currency that was found on a table.

13

Q And FBI-125?

14

A That's a photograph of drug paraphernalia found in a

15

closet in the residence.

16

Q FBI-126?

17

A It's a photograph of a receipt and a digital scale found

18

in the same closet.

19

Q FBI-127?

20

A That's another photograph of the plastic bag containing

21

paraphernalia.

22

FBI-128?

23

Q

A That's a photograph with the same plastic bag opened.

24

And FBI-129?

25

A That's a photograph of a safe that was inside a box on the

2:50:00PM 1 floor. And FBI-130. 3 That's a photograph of two Ziploc bags containing large 4 amounts of suspected heroin. And where did these -- where were these items found? 5 6 They were found in another safe that was in that same 7 closet that you saw photos of of the drug paraphernalia. 8 I have no further questions, Your Honor. MR. CONWAY: 9 THE COURT: Cross examination. 10 CROSS EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. BURNEY: Good afternoon, Officer Binder. I'm sorry, you work for 12 13 what Police Department? Good afternoon. Allegheny County Police. 14 15 Okay. And were you working for the task force at this 16 particular point on March the 14th, 2012? 17 On that date, yes. 18 On that date. So, what, it would be off and on, your work 19 with the task force? 20 Correct. So on March 14th, 2012, you were assisting the FBI in 21 22. the execution of search warrants. So did you know what search 23 warrants you were assisting in on that day? 24 On that day?

25

Yes.

- 2:51:37PM 1
- A Yes.
- 2 Q What were they?
- 3 A On -- in Rankin it was 217 Fourth Street, I believe, in
- 4 Rankin. And then a possible one at the Mills Avenue address
- 5 that these photos are from.
- 6 | Q Okay. So I think so on that date already in existence
- 7 was a search warrant for a Rankin street address, which is
- 8 217 is that Fifth Avenue?
- 9 A Yeah, that's it was 217. I don't recall if it was
- 10 Fourth or Fifth Avenue but, yes, it was a Rankin address.
- 11 Q And you were with those members of the task force who had
- 12 that search warrant.
- 13 | A Yes.
- 14 Q And so did you go to that address, 217 Fifth Avenue,
- 15 | first?
- 16 | A Yes.
- 17 Q And after being there, did you participate in any of the
- 18 search that took place there?
- 19 A I participated in a secondary search. I don't recall if I
- 20 was there for the entry, but I was inside that residence at
- 21 some point.
- 22 Q So what your recollection is is that when you arrived, the
- 23 search of that 217 Fifth Street in Rankin was already in
- 24 progress?
- 25 A Yes. I arrived there I'd say shortly after entry was

2:52:54PM 1 | made.

- 2 Q Okay. And what was your participation in that search?
- 3 A To search the residence.
- 4 Q Okay. With others.
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q Okay. Now, these photographs that you're making reference
- 7 | to as far as Government's Exhibit 124 to 130, those are not
- 8 from 217 Fifth Street in Rankin.
- 9 A They are not.
- 10 | Q Okay. Now, while you were there, at some particular point
- 11 you received a notification to go to this 500 Mills Avenue?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q And who did you receive that notification from?
- 14 A Special Agent Hedges.
- 15 Q Okay. And I think you said you were informed to secure
- 16 the residence until a search warrant could be secured.
- 17 A Not by Agent Hedges. I proceeded to that residence and
- 18 made a phone call to Attorney Conway concerning that residence
- 19 and then proceeded.
- 20 Q Okay. Now, as far as going to 500 Mills Avenue, so what
- 21 precipitated the fact for you to go there since you were
- 22 participating in a search at 217 Fifth Avenue in Rankin?
- 23 A To take a set of keys that were found on Mr. Wheeler and
- 24 go to that residence.
- 25 Q So when you went there, did you go by yourself or were you

- 2:54:18PM 1 with other police officers?
 - 2 A I went by myself. I met other police officers that had
 - 3 already been there.
 - 4 | Q Let me see if I understand you correct. When you went to
 - 5 | 500 Mills Avenue and you arrived, there were already other
 - 6 police officers that were there?
 - 7 A Yes.
 - 8 Q Okay. What directed you to Apartment 4?
 - 9 A Trying keys on the key ring.
 - 10 Q Okay. So you went you arrived with a set of keys.
 - 11 | Correct?
 - 12 A Correct.
 - 13 Q And then you -- as far as 500 Mills Avenue, what type of
 - 14 structure is this?
 - 15 A It was a multi-unit apartment building.
 - 16 Q Like how many floors?
 - 17 A Three floors.
 - 18 Q Three floors. And about I note Apartment No. 4 is what
 - 19 we're making reference to as far as the photographs. How many
 - 20 apartments, if you know, were in that structure at 500 Mills
 - 21 | Avenue?
 - 22 A I believe there were six.
 - 23 Q Okay. And can I assume or tell me, what, two on the
 - 24 | first floor, two on the second --
 - 25 A Correct, two on each floor.

- 2:55:22PM 1
- Q Two on the third floor?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 \mathbb{Q} So when you arrived, what you did with a set of keys or
- 4 with one key that was in your possession?
- 5 A There was a set of keys.
- 6 Q Okay. So what you did when you arrived, you said you
- 7 started utilizing the keys that you had in your possession on
- 8 this key ring, attempting to open doors?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And on the first floor, what took place?
- 11 A There was one key used. There was a security door to gain
- 12 entry to the building itself.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A One of the keys was unlocked that security door.
- 15 Q Okay. And then once you came into the security door that
- 16 was unlocked, what did you do?
- 17 A We started on the third floor and knocked on doors and
- 18 didn't get any response and started trying different keys on
- 19 the key ring to those floors.
- 20 Q So as you said, first thing you said, when you arrived,
- 21 there were already other police officers there.
- 22 A Outside the residence.
- 23 Q Outside.
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q So when you came in through the security door with the key

- 2:56:21PM 1
 - for the security door, those other officers entered with you.
 - Correct.
 - 3 And then you went to the third floor first?
 - 4 Α Correct.
 - 5 And started knocking on doors.
 - 6 Correct.
 - 7 Okay. And after you started knocking on doors, then you
 - 8 started to -- how -- how did you get directed to Apartment 4 is
 - 9 what I want to know.
 - A process of elimination. The -- none of the keys worked 10
 - 11 on the doors on the third floor and then moved down to the
 - 12 second floor, and that's where keys worked on Apartment 4 on
 - 13 that — on the second floor.
 - 14 And so you had knocked on the doors on the third floor
 - 15 first and no response?
 - 16 Correct.
 - 17 Then you checked the keys with the lock on the fourth
 - 18 floor?
 - 19 Correct.
 - 20 I mean third floor, excuse me. And then you went to the
 - second floor. 21
 - 22. Yes, started on the third, ended up on the second.
 - 23 And you went through the same process of knocking on
 - 24 doors, correct?
 - 25 Α Yes.

- 2:57:10PM 1
- Q And then checking keys.
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q And so how did you obtain, if you did, entrance into
- 4 Apartment 4?
- 5 A Once I found the key that worked on it, I was again in
- 6 contact with Attorney Conway who advised me to go ahead and
- 7 clear the apartment.
- 8 Q Okay. So when you say Attorney Conway, Attorney Brendan
- 9 Conway?
- 10 | A Yes.
- 11 Q And he advised you to clear the apartment. So what does
- 12 | that mean?
- 13 A To check it for any persons for officer safety and to make
- 14 sure, you know, there wasn't anyone in there that could have
- 15 potentially destroyed any potential evidence.
- 16 Q Okay. And you did that.
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q And there was no one there, is that correct?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q And after that, what did you do?
- 21 A I had called Attorney Conway back and advised him no one
- 22 was in the residence. And he said that to secure the
- 23 apartment and wait for a search warrant.
- 24 Q Okay. And so the conversation you had with him was: I
- 25 went in, there's no one here. And then he was instructed

- 2:58:14PM 1
- you to secure it, which means, what, make sure no one comes in or out?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q And you did that by, what, standing outside or remaining
- 5 inside the apartment?
- 6 A I don't recall. I don't recall if I stood in the hallway
- 7 or just inside the apartment, but definitely inside the
- 8 building. It was not maintained from outside the building.
- 9 Q And along with yourself were there other police officers
- 10 who stayed with you?
- 11 A Yes, there was Detective Love and Detective Klobchar,
- 12 K-L-O-B-C-H-A-R.
- 13 Q And how long did you wait there before I'm assuming a
- 14 | search warrant came?
- 15 A Several hours. It was secured at approximately 3:40 p.m.
- 16 And at approximately 6:50 p.m. was the time that the search
- 17 warrant arrived along with additional agents.
- 18 Q An additional so once the additional agents came, how
- 19 many persons approximately were there for the execution of this
- 20 search warrant?
- 21 A Approximately ten.
- 22 Q And during that time period did anybody come or go from
- 23 this apartment building?
- 24 A No, other than officers that were on scene.
- 25 Q And I'm assuming that when these other officers came, a

- 2:59:45PM 1 photographer came with them?
 - 2 A One of them had a camera, yes.
 - 3 Q One of the officers.
 - 4 A One of the agents, yes.
 - 5 Q Okay, one of the agents. Now, I know you were shown
 - 6 Government's Exhibit 124 through 130. Government Exhibit
 - 7 | 124 -- I think it shows, you testified, US currency on a table.
 - 8 Do you have that one?
 - 9 A Yes.
 - 10 Q Okay. And was that exactly the way the table was and the
 - 11 currency was on the table when you first observed it?
 - 12 A To the best of my recollection, yes, it was.
 - 13 Q Okay. And so that's the way you first observed it. So
 - 14 the picture, like you say, when it was taken shows exactly how
 - 15 | it was when you entered at apartment.
 - 16 A Correct.
 - 17 Q I think 125 Government Exhibit 125, I think you
 - 18 mentioned there was drug paraphernalia.
 - 19 A Correct.
 - 20 Q And down there later you mentioned now, the drug
 - 21 paraphernalia, was this the one that was in the plastic bag?
 - 22 | A Yes.
 - 23 Q So really what we are -- you're looking at is a -- from
 - 24 the surface you just saw a bag, is that correct?
 - 25 A That's correct. But there's also Ziploc baggies you can

- 3:01:19PM 1
- also see on that photo on the same shelf.
- 2 Q So the drug paraphernalia that you're referring to, Ziploc
- 3 baggies and what else?
- 4 A There was, you know, grinders, sifters, digital scale,
- 5 other things like that that were eventually found inside that
- 6 plastic bag and on that shelf.
- 7 Q Okay. So as you said, as you continued to look into that
- 8 area, that's when you said you also came across grinders,
- 9 sifters, scales?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q Exhibit 126, Government Exhibit 126, would be a receipt
- 12 and a digital scale.
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q Correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Now, is this digital scale separate from the one that was
- 17 with the drug paraphernalia or is this one and the same?
- 18 A This was separate.
- 19 Q And I notice in Government Exhibit 127 you said plastic
- 20 bag of paraphernalia. Is that the photograph of 125 before you
- 21 went into what was inside?
- 22 A That's correct. As items were removed from the shelf,
- 23 that's just another photo before that bag was opened.
- 24 Q Okay. And I know 128 Government Exhibit 128 is the bag
- 25 opened and you can see some material or some paraphernalia,

- 3:02:40PM 1 | whatever that was in there.
 - \mathbb{R} A That's correct.
 - 3 Q Now, Government's Exhibit 129, you stated that there was a
 - 4 safe inside the house. And where was this located?
 - 5 A The one in this photo was located in the back room on the
 - 6 | floor, exactly where you see it in that photograph.
 - 7 Q Now, this safe, was it opened that you could just open it
 - 8 up and then observe the contents that were inside or did
 - 9 something have to take place to open up the safe?
 - 10 A You're referring to the safe in this picture?
 - 11 Q In 129, yes.
 - 12 A Okay. I don't recall exactly how that was opened. I
 - 13 believe that a key was used to open that, but I am not a
 - 14 | hundred percent certain on that particular safe.
 - 15 Q So your recollection is that when you came in, your
 - 16 knowledge is that it could not be just opened by turning the
 - 17 | handle or whatever. Some other means had to be utilized to get
 - 18 into to observe the contents of the safe.
 - 19 A Yes. Now, this safe —
 - 20 Q This safe in 129?
 - 21 A Okay. Let me just clarify there were two safes.
 - 22 Q Right.
 - 23 A Okay. Yes, this one, this one was empty here.
 - 24 Q Okay. So that safe was empty. And you're right, I guess
 - 25 Government Exhibit 130 correct me if I'm wrong. I guess

3:04:13PM 1

2.

3

- there's two Ziploc bags with suspected heroin found in a second safe. So is there a photograph of the second safe?
- A No, there's not.
- Q Is there some reason there is no photo of the second safe that you know?
 - A Not that I know of.
- 7 Q And as far as these two Ziploc bags of suspected heroin, 8 like you said, found in the second safe, were you present when
- 9 the safe was opened?
- 10 A I was.
- 11 Q And what means was utilized? Was it locked? Did you need 12 a key? Did you need a combination?
- 13 A The second safe was locked. It was taken outside by other 14 officers and agents and — I don't know, they had to smash it
- on the ground or possibly a crowbar, but that safe was not
- 16 opened until they had brought it back up into the apartment.
- 17 And I was present when the safe was opened, but it had to be
- 18 basically destroyed, the front door, to be able to get that
- 19 safe opened.
- 20 Q So what you visualized was that some other officers took
- 21 the safe and they could one person carry it? Two people had
- 22 to carry it as far as the safe, the second safe?
- 23 A It was similar in size to the photograph of the other 24 safe.
- 25 Q But from my recollection is it able that one person could

3:05:42PM 1 | carry this?

- 2 A I don't recall if one or two people. I'm sure one person
- 3 probably could have handled it, although there were a couple
- 4 | flights of steps. So I wasn't present on the steps, so I don't
- 5 know exactly how it was transported, whether it was one or two
- 6 officers.
- 7 Q Your recollection is you saw the safe, it was unable to be
- 8 opened, two other officers one or two other officers took it
- 9 from -- away from Apartment 4 down the steps, correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q You stayed in Apartment 4 while they took it down the
- 12 steps?
- 13 A I did.
- 14 Q They came back with the safe to Apartment 4?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q And at that particular point you noticed there was damage
- 17 done to the door of the safe?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q And at that particular point you or some other officer
- 20 opened up the safe.
- 21 A Yes. It wasn't me personally, but I was present. The
- 22 safe was opened and these were the contents found inside of it.
- 23 Q So when it came back, when you said they opened it up
- 24 | after it was damaged, is it a matter to just turn the -- the
- 25 door knob and it just opened up?

3:06:50PM 1

3

4

5

17

18

19

20

- A I don't recall if a small crowbar was used or if it just eventually was able to be pulled open from the damage to the door.
- Q And there was no photograph taken of these two Ziploc bags of suspected heroin while they were still in that safe.
- 6 A Not that I'm aware of.
- 7 Q And did that conclude your detail as far as the 500 Mills 8 Avenue?
 - A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. After observing the contents of the safe, were you required to do anything else?
- 12 A No. I stood by while the you know, all evidence was
 13 handled by the FBI and the residence was secured, and we left
 14 the residence. Essentially at the same time, once everything
 15 was packaged by the FBI and photographed and the door was
 16 secured, and we all exited the residence around the same time.
 - Q Okay. So the items that you mentioned in these Government Exhibits 124 to 130 also including what was not shown in the second photograph I mean in the second safe by photograph, you were present while the FBI and other agents collected the evidence, correct?
- 22 A Correct.
- Q Took photographs of the evidence other than a second safe, correct?
- 25 A Correct.

3:08:23PM 1 And then packaged that evidence? Q Α Yes. 3 Okay. And then all of you left together and then the 4 apartment was secured by locking the door. Is that correct? 5 That's correct. MR. BURNEY: Okay, thank you. No further questions. 6 7 Mr. Silverman, do you have anything? 8 MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. 9 CROSS EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 11 Officer Binder, when you went to that apartment building 12 on Mills Street did you have a warrant? 13 I did not. Okay. And those keys you had, do you know where those 14 15 came from? 16 I was told they came from Willis Wheeler. 17 Okay. Did Willis Wheeler turn those keys over to the 18 police voluntarily or were they seized, if you know? 19 I don't know. Α 20 And how did they get into your possession? 21 One of the agents at the Rankin house gave them to me, and 22. that's when I was detailed to the Braddock house. 23 So an agent told you to go over there and use the keys to 24 enter when you didn't have a warrant, correct?

To try to see if the keys would fit any of the apartments

```
3:09:32PM 1
             there and then contact the US Attorney, which is what I did.
                  All right. And what agent told you to do that?
          3
                   Special Agent Hedges.
          4
                  Okay. Was there any discussion about: Gee, maybe we
          5
              should wait for a search warrant to do something like that?
          6
                        MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, objection, calls for
          7
             hearsay, also goes -- well, calls for hearsay at this point.
          8
                        THE COURT: Objection sustained.
          9
                        MR. SILVERMAN: It was not for the truth of the
         10
             matter, Your Honor.
        11
                        THE COURT: Objection sustained.
        12
             BY MR. SILVERMAN:
        13
                  Okay. So you get over there and you go through the
        14
              security door that leads to the outside, correct?
        15
                        MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the
        16
             relevance and asked and answered.
        17
                        THE COURT: Objection sustained.
        18
             BY MR. STLVERMAN:
        19
                  When you got to the apartment where the key fit in the
        20
              door, did you hear anybody inside?
                  No. As I testified, I knocked first and announced that,
        21
        22.
             you know, the police were there. So, no, we didn't hear anyone
        23
              inside. That's when I tried the door.
        24
                  You didn't hear any rustling or anything --
         25
                        MR. CONWAY: Objection. Objection, asked and
```

3:10:39PM 1 answered, Your Honor. THE COURT: Objection sustained. 3 Can we move along? 4 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 5 And so you then called someone, right? 6 MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor, asked and 7 answered. 8 I haven't asked this question. MR. SILVERMAN: 9 MR. CONWAY: He doesn't have to ask it, Your Honor. 10 That's what rule you made clear. 11 THE COURT: It was asked by other counsel. 12 BY MR. SILVERMAN: Can you identify the person that you called and point him 13 14 out by an item of clothing they're wearing? 15 MR. CONWAY: Objection, Your Honor, asked and 16 answered. I will stipulate that it was me that 17 Detective Binder called. 18 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 19 Did you tell Mr. Conway that you didn't have a warrant? 20 He was fully aware that we did not have a warrant. 21 That's the reason I was calling him. 22. Okay. And did you tell Mr. Conway that you didn't have 23 any specific articulable facts that there was anyone in that 24 apartment that posed a danger to you? 25 MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, objection. And again I'd

3:11:34PM 1 ask you admonish counsel again. 2 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 3 MR. SILVERMAN: Judge, could we approach? 4 THE COURT: No, let's move along. 5 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. I just didn't know what the 6 objection was. 7 THE COURT: Let's move along. The objection has been 8 sustained. 9 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. 10 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 11 Well, did you see that evidence when you went into the 12 apartment without a warrant? 13 No, I did not. 14 How many rooms are in the apartment? 15 Α Approximately five. 16 And you went to each room? 17 Cleared the room for officer safety. 18 Was there any reason to believe that you were in danger 19 when you did that? Sure. Anytime you enter a residence you're always in 20 21 danger. 22. So why did you enter the residence? 23 To clear it to make sure there was no one in there that 24 was a potential threat to us or someone who could potentially 25 destroy evidence.

```
3:12:54PM 1
                  Why did you enter the common area --
                        MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, again, objection. This is
          2
          3
             not relevant.
          4
                        THE COURT:
                                    The objection is sustained.
          5
                        Move along, Mr. Silverman.
          6
             BY MR. SILVERMAN:
          7
                  Have you had any sustained complaints regarding evidence
          8
             handling?
          9
                  Have I?
         10
                  Yes, sir.
         11
                  No, I have not.
                  Have you -- and by that I mean not whether they were --
         12
         13
             not whether --
                        MR. SILVERMAN: Well, can we approach, Your Honor?
         14
         15
                        THE COURT: No. Are you - just so I understand the
         16
             question, are you asking him whether anyone has complained
         17
              against him?
         18
                        MR. STIVERMAN:
                                        Yes.
         19
                        THE COURT: Okay.
         20
                        MR. SILVERMAN: May we approach?
         21
                        THE COURT: No, just ask the question. The other
         22.
             question has been asked answered.
         23
             BY MR. SILVERMAN:
         24
                   I'm not asking whether you had these things that were
             subsequently overturned in an appellate process. Did you have
         25
```

```
3:13:57PM 1
             any sustained complaints?
                   You asked me if they were sustained. I said no.
          3
              was one complaint by my superintendent and that was not
          4
              sustained. There is nothing in my file.
          5
                        MR. SILVERMAN: May I approach the witness?
          6
                        THE COURT:
                                    Yes.
          7
             BY MR. SILVERMAN:
          8
                   Is this a copy of the —
          9
                        THE COURT: Are you asking him a question?
         10
                        MR. SILVERMAN:
                                        Yes.
         11
                        THE COURT: On the record?
                        MR. SILVERMAN: I'm asking him to identify --
         12
         13
                                    If you could please keep it up then.
                        THE COURT:
         14
                        MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, I'm going to object as to
         15
             relevance with regard to this. I think the detective has
         16
              already answered the relevant questions related to this.
         17
              the extent there are any additional questions, they will be
         18
              irrelevant. So I ask --
         19
                       MR. SILVERMAN: I was trying to -- isn't -- okay, let
         20
             me -
         21
                        THE COURT: What is the nature of the question?
         22.
             BY MR. SILVERMAN:
         23
                   Isn't it true you received a one-day suspension for
         24
             violation of this evidence control order?
         25
                   That's not true.
```

3:15:10PM 1	Q Well, the truth is that on appeal that was reversed.
2	Correct?
3	A In arbitration; that was an internal matter.
4	Q Yes, sir.
5	A And I did not — I received a two-day suspension that was
6	overturned.
7	Q Overturned on appeal.
8	A There is nothing in my file.
9	Q Got you.
10	MR. SILVERMAN: Pass the witness.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	Any redirect?
13	MR. CONWAY: No redirect, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	Thank you, you may step down.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
18	THE COURT: Okay, the Government's next witness.
19	MR. CONWAY: The Government calls James Muha.
20	JAMES MUHA, a witness herein, having been first duly
21	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	MR. IMHOF, DEPUTY CLERK: Please spell your name for
24	the court reporter, please.
25	THE WITNESS: Any name is James Muha, M-U-H-A.

3:16:58PM 1

2

3

THE COURT: If you can have a seat and pull that mike right up to you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.

4 BY MR. CONWAY:

- 5 Q Good afternoon, sir. Would you please introduce yourself 6 to the members of the jury and tell them how you're employed.
- 7 A Sure. My name is James Muha and I'm a Special Agent with 8 the FBI.
- 9 Q And how long have you been a Special Agent with the FBI, 10 sir?
- 11 A Approximately nineteen-and-a-half years.
- Q Now, I want to turn your attention to March 14th, 2012, around 1:00 p.m. in the afternoon. Were you on duty that day,
- 14 | sir?
- 15 A Yes, sir; I was.
- Q And did you participate in the execution of the search warrant of a vehicle operated by Mr. Willis Wheeler?
- 18 A Yes, sir; I did.
- Q And can you describe the events of that day with regard to the search warrant of the Honda and what you personally went
- 21 through.
- 22 A Sure. I was assigned to the evidence on the matter which 23 is any evidence that would be recovered at the site. My duty 24 was to take custody of the evidence. And shortly after
- 25 Mr. Wheeler's vehicle was stopped, I approached this scene and

- 3:18:26PM 1
- 1 Officer Lebedda conducted a search on Mr. Wheeler. And then as
 - 2 | evidence was found or items we would seize, I would mark the
 - 3 items and accept custody of them.
 - 4 Q And do you recall the seizure of a some suspected
 - 5 heroin from the Honda?
 - 6 A Yes, sir; I do.
 - 7 Q I want to show you what's been admitted into evidence as
 - 8 Government Exhibit FBI-146.
 - 9 A I'm sorry, 146?
 - 10 Q Yes, if you could just look at the screen, sir.
 - 11 A Okay.
 - 12 Q Are you familiar with that picture, sir?
 - 13 A Yes, sir; I am.
 - 14 Q And what is that a picture of?
 - 15 A Those are the three bags that were inside a shopping bag
 - 16 that was in the glove compartment of the vehicle.
 - 17 Q Now, did you also recover among other things the driver's
 - 18 license of the vehicle of the driver of the vehicle?
 - 19 A Yes, sir; we did.
 - 20 Q Okay. I want to place before you what's been marked as
 - 21 Government Exhibit HSW-1. What is HSW-1?
 - 22 A It's a driver's license, Pennsylvania, for Willis Wheeler.
 - 23 MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, move for the admission of
 - 24 Government Exhibit HSW-1.
 - 25 THE COURT: Any objection?

```
3:20:06PM 1
                       MR. SILVERMAN: Relevance, foundation.
                        THE COURT: Mr. Burney?
          3
                                     Same objection, Your Honor.
                        MR. BURNEY:
          4
                        THE COURT: Okay. The objections are overruled.
          5
             document is admitted.
          6
                        MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, we'd also move for the
             admission of Government Exhibit HSW-1-A which is essentially a
          7
          8
             picture of the item in evidence.
          9
                       THE COURT: Any objections?
         10
                        MR. SILVERMAN: What is it?
         11
                        THE COURT: A picture of the item in evidence.
         12
                       MR. SILVERMAN: Which item?
                        THE COURT: The item previously referenced.
         13
         14
                        MR. SILVERMAN: I don't know which one that is.
         15
             You're talking about the driver's license?
         16
                        THE COURT: Mr. Conway?
         17
                        MR. CONWAY: Yes.
         18
                       MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. Same objection.
         19
                        THE COURT: Mr. Burney?
         20
                        MR. BURNEY: Same one, Your Honor.
         21
                        THE COURT: Okay. Those objections are overruled.
         22.
                       MR. CONWAY: If we could display Government
         23
             Exhibit HSW-1-A.
         24
             BY MR. CONWAY:
         25
                  And, sir, in terms of the driver's license, was there an
```

```
3:21:06PM 1
              address for Mr. Wheeler on the driver's license?
                   Yes, sir; there is an address of 217 Fifth Avenue in
          3
             Rankin, Pennsylvania.
          4
                   And did you later participate in the execution of the
          5
              search warrant at that particular address?
          6
                   Yes, sir; I did.
          7
                  Now, in terms of the suspected heroin seized from
          8
             Mr. Wheeler's vehicle, can you describe the sort of evidence
          9
              control procedures that would be used when -- that you used in
         10
              that particular case after you seized the suspected heroin.
         11
                          The evidence was photographed. And once it was
         12
              discovered -- and it was after the evidence was photographed --
         13
              it was logged in in terms of, you know, we marked what the
         14
             photographs were of, and I accepted custody of the item. And
         15
              then what I would do is that night I ended up entering the
         16
              evidence into a night storage for the night because usually
         17
              when -- when we're not --
         18
                        MR. SILVERMAN: Objection, non-responsive.
         19
                        THE COURT: Objection overruled.
         20
                        THE WITNESS: When we seize items and if somebody is
         21
             not working --
         22.
                                        Objection.
                        MR. SILVERMAN:
         23
                        THE COURT: Objection overruled.
         24
                        THE WITNESS: When we seize items and someone is not
```

available in the evidence room, we would enter it in night

```
storage, and that is what was done in this matter.
3:22:38PM 1
             BY MR. CONWAY:
          3
                   And then the next day or the day after do you then go
          4
             through a procedure of sealing the suspected narcotics?
          5
                   Yes, sir; we do.
          6
                   Okay. I want to show you what's been marked as Government
          7
             Exhibit HSW-7. What is HSW-7?
          8
                   These are the items seized from the vehicle that
          9
             Mr. Wheeler was driving.
         10
                        MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, move for the admission of
             Government Exhibit HSW-7.
        11
        12
                        MR. SILVERMAN: May I see it?
        13
                        THE COURT: Do you have the exhibit?
        14
                        MR. SILVERMAN: I'd like to see the actual exhibit --
        15
             no, I don't have --
        16
                        THE COURT: Please show counsel the exhibit.
        17
                        MR. SILVERMAN:
                                        Thank you.
        18
                        Pursuant to our earlier objections, Your Honor.
        19
                        THE COURT: You have a specific objection to this?
        20
                                       Um, the earlier objections we made.
                        MR. SILVERMAN:
        21
                        MR. BURNEY: Your Honor, on behalf of Mr. Bush, as
        22.
              far as these items, I don't know if he's going to testify, but
        23
              it has not been documented as far as what's in those actual
        24
             packages there.
         25
                        THE COURT: Okay. The objection is overruled.
```

3:24:36PM 1 MR. SILVERMAN: And it's not relevant to Mr. Mishra, Your Honor. 3 The objection is overruled. THE COURT: 4 MR. CONWAY: And, Your Honor, we would also move for 5 the admission of the picture of the item in evidence which is 6 Government Exhibit HSW-7-A. 7 THE COURT: Any objection? MR. SILVERMAN: Of the picture? Same objection. 8 9 THE COURT: Mr. Burney? 10 MR. BURNEY: Same objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, those objections are overruled. 11 12 MR. CONWAY: Would you display Government Exhibit 13 HSW-7-A. 14 BY MR. CONWAY: 15 And does that appear to be a picture of the item as it 16 existed in evidence, sir? 17 Yes, it is. 18 Okay. And did you personally seal that, that suspected 19 heroin, prior to it going into FBI evidence? 20 Yes, I did. Now, as we discussed, you later on went to the Rankin 21 22. address that we referenced earlier that we saw on Mr. Wheeler's 23 license. Is that true? 24 Yes, sir; that's true. 25 And did you take photographs of the -- while you were

```
3:25:57PM 1
              executing the search warrant?
                   Yes, sir; I did.
          3
                   Now I've placed before you what I've -- what we've marked
          4
              as Government Exhibits FBI-166 through FBI-174. What are
          5
              those, sir?
                   These are photographs I took of the -- during the
          6
          7
              execution of the search warrant at the Rankin address.
          8
                        MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, move for the admission of
          9
              Government Exhibit FBI-166 through FBI-174.
         10
                        MR. SILVERMAN: If I could have a moment, Your Honor.
         11
                        THE COURT: Yes.
         12
                   (Brief pause in proceedings.)
         13
                        MR. BURNEY: Excuse me, what are those numbers?
         14
                        MR. SILVERMAN: 166 through 174.
         15
                   (Brief pause in proceedings.)
         16
                        MR. SILVERMAN: Seventeen -- with regard to 172, it
         17
              contains hearsay. 173 contains hearsay. 174 -- I'm sorry, 171
         18
              contains hearsay and a 403 problem.
         19
                        THE COURT: Can I see 171?
         20
                        MR. SILVERMAN:
                                        1 ---
         21
                        THE COURT:
                                    172?
         22.
                        MR. SILVERMAN: And --
         23
                        THE COURT: And 173 did you say?
         24
                        MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, and the proper foundation.
         25
              addition to those specific objections proper foundation has not
```

3:28:42PM 1 been laid for these documents. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 Okay, the Government's response? 4 MR. CONWAY: Well, Your Honor, in terms of hearsay, 5 they are indicia evidence, so they're not for the truth of the 6 matter asserted. They obviously establish Mr. Wheeler's 7 residence at 215 or 217 Fifth Avenue. In terms of proper foundation, we have the witness here who actually took the 8 photographs, so I'm not sure how one could establish foundation 9 10 any more clearly. 11 THE COURT: Okay. The objections are overruled. MR. SILVERMAN: And the 403 balancing, Your Honor? 12 13 THE COURT: Overruled. 14 BY MR. CONWAY: 15 Now I want to show you what's been marked or now been 16 admitted as Government Exhibit FBI-168. What is Government 17 Exhibit FBI-168? 18 This is a photograph I took of money recovered at the 19 Rankin address. 20 And FBI-169? 21 This is a photograph I took at the Rankin address of money 22. wraps. 23 And Government Exhibit FBI-170? 24 This is a photograph I took at the Rankin address of a

25

handgun.

3:30:34PM 1 So some of the photographs include something that we in law enforcement call indicia? 3 Α Yes. 4 First of all, what does indicia mean? 5 Items that would lead one to believe that there's a type 6 of relationship exists where we would say that -- it's not what 7 I would call evidence of a crime, but something leading toward 8 the evidence. 9 So, for example, a letter or something found in a 10 residence addressed to a particular person. 11 Α Yes, sir. 12 MR. SILVERMAN: Judge, I would like a limiting 13 instruction then as to the proper purpose that these documents 14 were admitted for. 15 THE COURT: And that will be Exhibits 171, 172 and 16 173, Mr. Conway? 17 MR. CONWAY: If you just give me a minute to confirm, 18 Your Honor, before -- yes, Your Honor, Government Exhibits 19 FBI-171, 172, 173. 20 THE COURT: Okav. 21 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, Government 22. Exhibits 171 through 173 are being offered for the purpose 23 of — the purpose stated by the officer just now, to indicate indicia of premises and the like, and you are to use it for no 24

25

other purpose.

```
3:31:48PM 1
                        MR. CONWAY: So if we could display Government
              Exhibit FBI-171.
          3
              BY MR. CONWAY:
          4
                   What is that, sir?
                   This is a photograph I took of a letter that was addressed
          5
          6
              to Mr. Wheeler at the Rankin address that was sent by an
          7
              individual from -- named -- it looks like it says Louis Reese
              from SCI Fayette, which is a state correctional institute,
          8
             prison basically.
          9
         10
                   Were there other letters to Mr. Wheeler at the residence?
         11
              Α
                   Yes, sir.
         12
                        MR. CONWAY: And may I display FBI-172.
         13
              BY MR. CONWAY:
         14
                   Would that be examples of some of that correspondence,
         15
              sir.
         16
                   Yes, sir.
         17
                        MR. CONWAY: No further questions, Your Honor.
         18
                        THE COURT:
                                    Okay.
         19
                        Cross?
         20
                        MR. SILVERMAN: With regard to -- what's the exhibit
         21
              number for the suspected heroin here? Do you know?
         22.
                        THE WITNESS: Sir, I don't. I don't know what they
         23
             have it as.
         24
                        MR. SILVERMAN: Mr. Conway, what was the exhibit
         25
              number for this?
```

3:33:22PM 1 MR. CONWAY: HSW-7.

- 3 BY MR. SILVERMAN:
- 4 Q HSW-7. And so you recovered this?
- 5 A No, sir. Officer Lebedda or someone else discovered it

CROSS EXAMINATION

- 6 and then I photographed it. And then I took over custody of
- 7 | it. So I'm not sure recovery but, yes, it was pulled out.
- 8 Q And you took it where?
- 9 A I took it back to the FBI offices.
- 10 Q And you put it into a night box?
- 11 A I put it into night storage the first night.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A Later on --
- 14 Q Before you did that you weighed it?
- 15 A Yes, sir, we do. We do weigh it.
- 16 Q And it weighed 221 grams.
- 17 A Yes, sir; that's what's listed on there, that's --
- 18 Q Okay.
- 19 A Yeah.
- 20 Q And it says here that that was collected on March the
- 21 | 14th of '12, right?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q And then it was sealed on March the 16th of '12. Right?
- 24 A Yes, sir; that's correct.
- 25 Q So when you put it in the evidence locker, you didn't seal

- 3:34:35PM 1
- it. You just put it in there, right?
- 2 A I put it into the night storage, yes. It was not sealed.
- 3 Q And then a couple days later did you seal it or someone
- 4 else?
- 5 A I was the one who sealed it, sir.
- 6 Q Okay. And there's a witness to that right on this
- 7 | evidence slip, right?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q That's Ian Barrett. Is that correct?
- 10 | A It is, sir.
- 11 Q Okay. And then the evidence slip goes on and it says that
- 12 | it went to the DEA lab on 7-11 of '12?
- 13 A Yes, sir; that's correct.
- 14 Q Three months later.
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q And then it actually went up in weight to 245 grams, is
- 17 | that right?
- 18 A Yes, sir; that's correct.
- 19 Q So the weight somehow of the bag that was not sealed but
- 20 then became sealed actually increased over time, is that right?
- 21 A Yes, sir; according to the slip here. The the weight
- 22 of what DEA returned back had a different number on there.
- 23 Q And how much was the difference?
- 24 Approximately 23 grams.
- 25 Q Can you explain how 23 grams would have gotten into an

```
unsealed bag or can you explain why the weight would have
3:35:46PM 1
              increased?
          3
                  No, sir; I can't. I mean what I can say is that once we
          4
              recover the evidence, night storage is something that's secured
          5
              and locked. So where the evidence does not - unless someone
          6
              signs it out on the chain of custody, it's not something that
          7
             people would be able to have access to. So --
          8
                   Is there another document besides the chain of custody
          9
              that's on the package? Is there another form or something?
        10
                   On here it has our sealing sticker. There is — we do
        11
              keep chain of custodies for evidence we recover.
        12
                   Okay. And do you know if it reflects that -- that
        13
              somebody else had it out for a while or something?
        14
                   I'd have to refresh myself with the chain of custody.
        15
                   (Off the record discussion between opposing counsel.)
        16
             BY MR. SILVERMAN:
        17
                   Oh, you didn't bring it with you as a document that you
        18
             reviewed or prepared, the chain of custody? Have you seen
        19
             that?
                   I have seen the chain of custody, sir. I've signed it.
        20
        21
                  Okay.
        22.
                       MR. SILVERMAN: Well, could we -- could I have --
        23
             could I, Your Honor, request that the witness provide it?
        24
                        THE COURT: Do you not have the chain of custody with
             you, sir?
        25
```

3:37:27PM 1 THE WITNESS: I do not have chain of custody. 2 not -- no, ma'am. 3 MR. SILVERMAN: Pass the witness. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Burney? Sir, do you have any cross examination? 5 6 MR. BURNEY: Not at this time, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 Any redirect? 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. CONWAY: 11 When you measure weight of the substance that you're sealing, does it often include all of packaging material that 12 13 you're packaging it with? 14 I'm sorry, can you repeat that, please? 15 When you weigh something --16 Yes. 17 -- before you put into it evidence --18 Α Yes. 19 -- do you weigh it? Does it include all the packaging 20 material, that weight, or do you measure just the powder 21 itself? 22. Well, in -- in this case we would measure the powder 23 itself. 24 You yourself took it, unopened those sealed packages --25 I mean we're talking -- we took what was in the --

3:38:47PM 1 what was in the bag, what was in here, and weighed it. 2. Okay. And then when you go off and send it to the DEA, do 3 you often include the bag that's now sealed and the postage 4 that you're sending it off to the DEA? 5 Yes. 6 So that is one way to explain how something could get an 7 additional weight. It would be, for example, that bag that is 8 now covered in all of the suspected heroin. 9 That is a way, yes, sir. MR. CONWAY: No further questions. 10 11 RECROSS EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. SILVERMAN: 13 Can you prove that happened? 14 I can prove -- I mean by writing, what's written here, 15 it's proven this is what it weighed. 16 I'm not doubting that when you weighed it, it weighed --17 I'm not questioning the truth of it. Mr. Conway is suggesting 18 that there was extra packaging added or something. I'm asking 19 you do you have some evidence of that? That's all I'm asking. 20 I would say by looking at the bag -- I mean the -- the other things on there include the -- like the bag that -- the 21 22. bag that covered it and as you know, the -- the grocery shop bag, and then also, you know, all the packaging. All I could 23 testify to is that this is what it weighed upon entry of 24

25

evidence.

- 3:40:06PM 1
- Q Okay. Well, we can probably get to the bottom of it. Who
- 2 | received it at the DEA lab? Do you know? Do you know who this
- 3 person is?
- 4 A I don't.
- 5 Q Okay. Well, now, you say you sealed this on 3-16. Right?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q Okay. And did you also send it to the DEA lab?
- 8 A I can't recall who did the actual sending on there. I
- 9 think our process is the evidence lab was the one that did the
- 10 sending.
- 11 Q And the purpose of sending something to the DEA evidence
- 12 | lab is what?
- 13 A To have it tested.
- 14 Q So you really wouldn't throw extra items into the bag if
- 15 you're just sending it to a forensic toxicologist or chemist to
- 16 have it tested, would you?
- 17 A We would throw everything that would be tested in there.
- 18 I don't know what all they test. This is the way it was found
- 19 so it was all entered in as an item of evidence.
- 20 Q So this is the this is the bag you sealed, this one,
- 21 that night.
- 22 A Yes. Up here is where I sealed it. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q So it's the outer bag that was sealed?
- 24 **|** A Uh−huh.
- 25 Q So the outer bag includes all that stuff, right?

```
3:41:47PM 1
                   Yes, sir.
             Α
                   So at end of the day there's really not an explanation for
          3
              why the weight increased 20 grams. There's just not. Right?
          4
              You just don't know.
          5
                   No, sir, I don't know.
          6
                   All right.
              Q
          7
                        THE COURT: Anything else?
                        MR. CONWAY: Just briefly, Your Honor.
          8
          9
                                    REDIRECT EXAMINATION
         10
             BY MR. CONWAY:
         11
                   Mr. Muha, did you get a bunch of heroin from some other
              case and stick it in that bag so that you could have a greater
         12
         13
              case against Mr. Wheeler and whoever else was here?
         14
                   No, sir.
              Α
         15
                        MR. CONWAY: No further questions, Your Honor.
         16
                        THE COURT:
                                    I will allow re-cross examination.
                                                                         Any
         17
              recross?
                       Any recross?
         18
                        MR. SILVERMAN: No, ma'am.
         19
                        MR. BURNEY: No, ma'am.
         20
                        THE COURT:
                                    Okay.
         21
                        Thank you, you can step down.
         22.
                        THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.
         23
                   (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
         24
                        THE COURT: The Government's next witness?
         25
                        MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, we believe that we've gotten
```

the problem with our Title III figured out, so we at this point 3:42:51PM 1 recall Detective Barrett. 3 THE COURT: You're still under oath, 4 Detective Barrett. Take a seat. 5 RICHARD IAN BARRETT, a witness herein, having been 6 previously duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. CONWAY: Sir, I believe when we left off we were playing FBI-328 9 when we had our technical difficulties, so let's try to go back 10 11 to that. (Exhibit FBI-328 played in open court.) 12 13 BY MR. CONWAY: 14 And can you tell us what's going on in this call, sir? 15 This is a call between Richard Bush and Yes. 16 Sherron Whitehead. Sherron Whitehead needs to talk with 17 Richard Bush and he doesn't want to do it over the phone. 18 wants to do this in person, and Richard Bush is hesitant 19 because if something happened with Sherron Whitehead, he 20 doesn't want to go into a situation that's -- that could be bad 21 for him. 22. And is there a follow-up to this call sort of a minute 23 later? 24 Yes. 25 MR. CONWAY: FBI-329.

3:45:26PM 1 (Exhibit FBI-329 played in open court.) BY MR. CONWAY: 3 And what's happening here, sir? 4 Yeah, this is the follow-up call where Sherron calls 5 Richard Bush again and you can hear the urgency. He needs to 6 talk to him. And you can also hear Mr. Bush — the hesitancy 7 of not wanting to come on down to a situation where he could 8 get jammed up himself. So he finally coaxes it out of 9 Mr. Whitehead what happened. 10 And he tells him they ran into his man's crib — that was Deondre Steave -- ran into his crib and the police got all of 11 it, all of his joints, which we talked about before were his 12 13 heroin. And Richard Bush instructs him to go to a safe place 14 where -- where he can pick him up and then they could talk 15 about it in person, and that was at Sherron's baby's mom's 16 house. 17 MR. CONWAY: Let's go to FBI-331.

(Exhibit FBI-331 played in open court.)

19 BY MR. CONWAY:

18

20

21

22.

23

- Q So this call would have been a follow-up to the call that we just heard about the meeting with Sherron Whitehead and Richard Bush.

Correct.

Α

- 24 Q And this call was with an unknown male, however, though.
- 25 A Correct.

```
3:49:12PM 1
                  And what's the nature of this call?
             0
                   The nature of this call is basically telling —
          3
              Sherron Whitehead telling the male: Don't worry, I'm going to
          4
              get some new joints and I'll let you know.
          5
                       MR. CONWAY: And if we go to FBI-333.
          6
                   (Exhibit FBI-333 played in open court.)
          7
             BY MR. CONWAY:
          8
                  Can you tell the jury what's going on with regard to this
          9
             telephone call, sir?
        10
                   Yes, Sherron Whitehead needs sleeves or the stamp bags we
        11
              talked about, so he's calling Richard Bush. And Richard Bush
        12
              says he can't go there because the police ran into my man's
        13
              store -- Mr. Mishra's store. And he goes on -- Sherron goes on
        14
             to say: So we're not going to have any sleeves? And he tells
        15
             him: I don't know. You know, because if the police ran into
        16
              that store, he can't go there. He can't go there; and if
        17
              that's the case, then this messes up his entire program.
        18
                   So with what Mr. Bush said there is if Mishra's store --
        19
              if the police ran into there and took all of his stuff, then
        20
             Bush -- Bush's entire program is affected by that.
        21
                        MR. CONWAY: And let's go to Government Exhibit
        22.
             FBI-350.
        23
                        I may pause this, Ms. Wikert.
        24
                   (Exhibit FBI-350 partially played in open court.)
         25
                       MR. CONWAY: Let's stop it for a moment.
```

3:55:33PM 1 BY MR. CONWAY: Now, in terms of up to this point in the call, can you 3 explain to the members of the jury what's going on with regard 4 to this telephone call? 5 Yes. Based — we can go off of the call that we just 6 listened to with Sherron and Richard Bush. He calls --7 Richard Bush calls Mishra, just to see: Hey, what's going on? I heard — I heard you might have got in trouble, the police 8 ran into your store. And Mishra is saying: No, no, that did 9 10 not happen. 11 So he runs down -- you'll hear Mishra saying that a tall 12 dude and another dude came in to buy stuff and he asks Richard Bush: Do you know them? And Richard Bush didn't know 13 14 them, but they came in to buy heroin — heroin packaging 15 material. So he --16 MR. SILVERMAN: Objection to that characterization, 17 Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 19 MR. SILVERMAN: Move to strike and instruct the jury to disregard. 20 21 THE COURT: I'll ask that the jury disregard the last 22. statement by the witness. 23 MR. SILVERMAN: Move for a mistrial.

That motion is denied.

Perhaps you can re-ask the question, Mr. Conway.

THE COURT:

24

3:56:47PM 1 MR. CONWAY: Sure. BY MR. CONWAY: 3 Now, there's a discussion there about a possibility, 4 essentially, that Mr. Mishra is under surveillance by federal 5 agents. Is that correct? 6 Yes. 7 MR. SILVERMAN: That mischaracterizes the recording 8 and the testimony. 9 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 10 BY MR. CONWAY: 11 I mean is that your interpretation of the telephone call? 12 When they said federal agent - Mr. Mishra said "federal agent" in the phone call, I interpreted that to mean 13 that Mishra -- someone told him that there was a federal agent 14 15 outside of his store. 16 And does then Mr. Bush give some advice to Mr. Mishra 17 about how to handle that? 18 Um, yeah. Could we go back a little bit and I could break 19 down where --20 Well, I think it will be later on in the call, so let's 21 just begin to play the rest of the call and we can come back to 22. it. 23 Α Okay. 24 (Exhibit FBI-350 resumed and partially played in open

25

court.)

3:59:58PM 1 BY MR. CONWAY: So in terms of this call, there's sort of two other 3 subjects that have been discussed since we last paused it, is 4 that fair to say? 5 Yes. And can you describe those subjects and what's going on 6 7 with regard to this telephone call. Well, there -- they're trying to get -- Bush is trying to 8 get his order -- his order -- to place his order, and they're 9 10 talking about Richard Bush does not want to go there. He doesn't want to meet him there because if there's heat there, 11 12 he doesn't want to be there. 13 MR. SILVERMAN: That's a mischaracterization of the 14 recording. 15 Is that an objection? THE COURT: 16 MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 18 THE WITNESS: So they decide -- well, Richard Bush 19 says: We'll meet somewhere else like the show or the movies or 20 something like that, and then they get back into what -- you 21 know, you're 18, 18. We talked about that, 18 bottles Dormin, 22. 18 of cut --

Is there also discussion about five and five?

23

24

25

BY MR. CONWAY:

Yes.

4:01:02PM 1 Do you recall that? Q That -- I believe that's the second part of the 3 discussion since we last paused. We did talk about -- earlier about Mishra's man going out of the country and he needs to get 4 5 the five thousand off of --MR. SILVERMAN: Objection, that's speculation --6 7 THE WITNESS: -- off Bush --8 MR. SILVERMAN: -- as to five what. 9 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 10 MR. SILVERMAN: May I ask the witness a question on voir dire? 11 12 THE COURT: Nope. 13 THE WITNESS: He needs to get the five, the five 14 thousand off of Bush and others so he can get it to his man 15 before his man goes to Israel or one of those Middle East 16 countries. He needs to make it right with him so the product 17 would keep coming in. 18 So he tells him again: I need that five thousand before we move forward on this. And Bush says: Okay, I got 19 I saw my man, but they messed the money up as far as --20 21 he gave me the five grand, but --

22.

23

24

25

MR. SILVERMAN: I'm sorry, there is no basis for the conclusion that that's five thousand. It's not there.

THE COURT: You're free to cross examine. The objection is overruled.

4:02:11PM 1 MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: So he gave him — he gave them the five 3 grand, but he also wants to put an order on top of that. 4 MR. SILVERMAN: Excuse me. I want a continuing 5 objection to that and argue it's cumulative. The tape is the 6 best evidence. 7 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 8 BY MR. CONWAY: 9 Okay. There is some discussion about what you believe to 10 be five thousand dollars, is that correct? 11 Α Correct. And the basis of your belief that it's five thousand 12 13 dollars is, among other things, the telephone calls you've 14 reviewed. 15 Yes. Α 16 Now, what -- in terms of the nature of the dispute of the 17 five thousand dollars and Mr. Bush's man, can you describe 18 what's going on here? 19 Well, it seems that --20 MR. SILVERMAN: Speculation. 21 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 22. THE WITNESS: -- at some point there was a five 23 thousand dollars -- what we like to call a front. Okay? 24 MR. SILVERMAN: Objection. That is not supported by

the evidence. Judge, I'm sorry, that is speculation.

4:03:17PM 1

THE COURT: Objection overruled.

2

fire he waste the fire thousand before he can us to his man

3

five, he wants the five thousand before he can go to his man.

THE WITNESS: Because he owes him, he wants that

4

So at some point Mr. Mishra trusted Mr. Bush that they would

5

make it right with five thousand.

6

MR. SILVERMAN: I'm sorry, I object. That is

7

speculation as to what ${\mbox{Mr.}}$ Mishra thought.

8

THE COURT: Objection overruled.

9

BY MR. CONWAY:

10

Q Did he actually use the word "trust," in fact?

11

A He did.

12

Q So you don't have to speculate about anything. We can

13

rely on what Mr. Mishra actually said when he said, "I trust

14

you." Right?

15

A Correct.

16

Q So Mr. Mishra trusts Mr. Bush according to Mr. Mishra's

17

own words. Right?

18

Correct.

19

Q You didn't have to speculate about that, right?

20

A No, it was in the call.

21

Q You can hear Mr. Mishra say those very words.

22

Α

Α

Correct.

23

Q Trusts him so much he gave him five thousand dollars and

24

he trusted Mr. Bush would repay him. Correct?

25

For product.

4:04:17PM 1 MR. SILVERMAN: I believe that's leading. THE COURT: Objection sustained, leading. 3 MR. SILVERMAN: Move to strike and instruct the jury 4 to disregard. 5 THE COURT: I'm not sure that he answered that 6 question. 7 MR. SILVERMAN: He did --8 THE COURT: The objection is noted and the answer "for product" is stricken. The jury is not to consider that 9 10 answer. 11 MR. SILVERMAN: Move for a mistrial. 12 THE COURT: The motion is overruled. 13 MR. SILVERMAN: Also I move — I'm sorry, I also object that this is speculation. It's calling for speculation 14 15 on the part of the witness without adequate basis in fact. 16 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 17 BY MR. CONWAY: 18 So I think where we're going now, just to get us back on 19 track, is we already talked about the trust between Mr. Bush 20 and Mr. Mishra with regard to the five thousand dollars. 21 Correct? 22. Correct. 23 Now, is then Mr. Bush trying to explain to Mr. Mishra 24 about the mixup with the money? 25 Α Yes.

```
4:05:24PM 1
                   Can you sort of translate that for the members of the
              Q
          2.
              jury.
          3
                        Again getting back to that, Mr. Bush tells
          4
              Mr. Mishra he did have the money but he wants -- his man, who
          5
              is his man, who is Willis Wheeler, wants to add onto the order.
          6
                                        Speculation.
                        MR. SILVERMAN:
          7
                                    The objection is overruled.
                        THE COURT:
          8
                        MR. SILVERMAN: May I have a running objection to the
          9
              interpretation of this conversation with respect certainly to
         10
              Mr. Mishra's state of mind and what he means as speculation?
         11
                        THE COURT: Okay. You may.
         12
                        MR. SILVERMAN:
                                       Okay.
                        MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, he can have a running
         13
              objection --
         14
         15
                        THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
         16
                        MR. CONWAY: He can have a running objection to
         17
              anything as far as I'm concerned.
         18
                        THE COURT: The objection is running and also
         19
              overruled.
         20
                                        Thank you.
                        MR. SILVERMAN:
         21
              BY MR. CONWAY:
         22.
                   I have to go back and re-ask the question because I lost
         23
              track of where we are, which is probably the point, but could
         24
              you --
         25
                        MR. SILVERMAN: Object to the side bar.
```

4:06:25PM 1 MR. CONWAY: Could you read back the question? Objection, side bar. MR. SILVERMAN: 3 MR. CONWAY: There is no side bar. 4 I object to the side bar comment of MR. SILVERMAN: 5 the prosecutor. 6 The comment is stricken; I instruct the THE COURT: 7 jury not to consider it. 8 MR. SILVERMAN: Move for a mistrial. 9 THE COURT: The motion is denied. 10 MR. CONWAY: If I could have the court reporter read back my last question, Your Honor. 11 12 THE WITNESS: I remember the question. 13 BY MR. CONWAY: 14 Do you remember? 15 Α Yes. 16 Mr. Barrett remembers better than I do. 17 Yes. 18 If you could answer whatever question I asked you? 19 Yes. We wanted to talk about the money issue and the 20 So Mr. Bush tells him that his man, Mr. Wheeler, discrepancy. 21 gave him the money to give to Mishra to make everything right. 22. But in turn, in doing that, he wanted to add onto an order so 23 the monies would still be wrong. So he's waiting now for 24 Mr. Wheeler again to give him the money so he could have the

money for the new order and the money for the five thousand

4:07:28PM 1 that was previously owed. 2 MR. CONWAY: And continue playing, Ms. Wikert, 3 please. 4 (Exhibit FBI-350 resumed and played in open court.) 5 MR. CONWAY: All right. Let's move on to Government 6 Exhibit FBI-376. 7 THE COURT: Before we start a new call, I'm going to 8 break for the day. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we're going to 9 10 It's about ten after four today. Thank you again for 11 your patience earlier. We will resume trial again at 8:45. 12 All of my previous rules are still in effect. No 13 talking to anyone, no trying to do any research on your own, 14 and listening to the full panoply of evidence in this case 15 before reaching a decision. Again I'll re-emphasize that you 16 must hear my jury instructions before you decide anything in 17 this case and you must be released to deliberate before you 18 start deliberating in this case. 19 So with that have a nice evening. 20 All rise for the jury. 21 (Jurors return to the jury room.) 22. THE COURT: Agent Barrett, you may step down. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 24 (Witness steps from stand.)

THE COURT: And everyone can be seated.

4:11:39PM 1

(Jurors exit jury room through the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Okay. What's on board for tomorrow with the exception of, obviously, Detective Barrett?

MR. CONWAY: The — well, I'm not sure we'll get through him, Your Honor. The — if we do, if I could just e-mail Your Honor tonight, I'll look at that and I will — within the hour I'll e-mail your clerk and defense counsel with that information.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CONWAY: I have to re-gather where I am with regard to the questions. I appreciate that.

And, Your Honor, we would ask to the extent that there's going to be motions for mistrial, that the counsel just write them all down and we do that outside the presence of the jury at the end of each day, as I anticipate, you know, every — that coming out of his mouth fifty times a day, we can certainly anticipate that; so we'd ask that the Court order the Defendant — or Mr. Silverman to do that.

THE COURT: I will so order. It has been extremely distracting as well as an interruption to the flow of evidence in this case. Counsel for Defendant Mishra is not taking

22.

advantage of the jury's time in the fashion that he should.

And I mentioned early on in this case — actually, I mentioned to these jurors that this case would take two weeks.

They have committed to that time period and they've committed

4:19:19PM 1

22.

to no more. We asked the jury whether or not they would be available for two weeks for a trial and they said they would be and I intend to hold it to that. As I mentioned previously, I see no reason why this case cannot take two weeks to try, with the exception of constant interruptions.

Now, I recognize that Defendant Mishra is entitled to his zealous advocate. I recognize that there are certain objections that are appropriate. I also recognize that certain objections are not appropriate. For example, an objection of something being non-responsive when it simply seems to be the case that defense counsel just does not like the way the witness is answering the question. Or a question that obviously requires a narrative being objected to as being narrative. The rule is clear on that point and I would ask that defense counsel read the rule.

So this is taking a tremendous amount of time. It's obviously very distracting for the jury to have motions for mistrial, which frankly I don't even see the basis for in the context that you've raised them. And I — but I would add that I will allow you to raise them should you believe that to be appropriate, but not during the course of a witness's testimony.

MR. SILVERMAN: Okay, Your Honor, I'm sorry. I've always — and I believe that the law is not any different in the Third Circuit than anywhere else, that if a defense lawyer

4:20:54PM 1

2.

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22.

23

24 25

does not continue to ask for relief until refused by a Court, that error is generally regarded as waived. And so I'm doing what I believe the right thing to do is to preserve error.

THE COURT: Well, I will permit you --

MR. SILVERMAN: If you --

I will permit you — and obviously the THE COURT: Government has consented to hearing any motions for a mistrial you may have based on the testimony outside the hearing of the jury.

MR. SILVERMAN: The problem I have is that the law requires that I make contemporaneous objections --

THE COURT: I don't have an issue with your objections to the extent they are warranted. Many of them are not. But, nevertheless, I understand that you feel the need to object. We're talking about your motions for a mistrial during the course of these objections.

MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. As long as we're going to be on the same page, we'll be fine with that.

THE COURT: We are on the same page, I hope.

MR. SILVERMAN: So will you excuse me then -- any objections I make be preserved simply by requesting an instruction to disregard and that I am not obligated to move for a mistrial for the purposes of my appellate record?

THE COURT: That is - I will allow you to do that. To the extent you have a motion for a mistrial based upon any 4:22:06PM 1 of those particular stricken statements, you are free to move 2. for that mistrial at the appropriate break. 3 MR. CONWAY: Can we ask that he do that in writing so 4 we don't actually have to be in court to do that? 5 THE COURT: It would be preferable, but I'm not going 6 to hold him to that. To the extent he has a motion for 7 mistrial based on evidence that has been stricken from the record, you are entitled to make it at a break outside of the 8 9 presence of the jury. 10 MR. SILVERMAN: And if I -- can I just say -- can I 11 just have an agreement with the Court that any errors are preserved without the necessity of having to ask the Court for 12 a mistrial? That would solve the whole problem. 13 14 MR. CONWAY: How many times do I have to say the same 15 thing, Your Honor? 16 THE COURT: I'm not sure I understand what the 17 question is. 18 MR. SILVERMAN: Here's what I'm saying. I move for 19 relief of striking something --20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 MR. SILVERMAN: — the Court grants it — 22. THE COURT: Yes. 23 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay? And so if an -- if an 24 instruction to disregard is for some reason regarded as not

sufficient by a Court of Appeals, then I would like to be

preserved without having to -- I don't want to move for a 4:23:08PM 1 2. mistrial in front of the jury. I hate doing that. 3 That's another lie of Mr. Silverman. MR. CONWAY: 4 MR. SILVERMAN: The Court of Appeals will say that 5 I've waived error if I don't do that. 6 THE COURT: What I am saying is that at our next break in the session you will be permitted to raise those 7 8 issues. 9 MR. SILVERMAN: All right. And I'm preserved. 10 THE COURT: And you are preserved until the next 11 break. I mean I'm not assuming that ten days from now or five days from now you're going to make a motion for a mistrial 12 13 based on something that was said today, for example. 14 MR. SILVERMAN: Right, got you. 15 THE COURT: Okay? 16 MR. SILVERMAN: All right. 17 THE COURT: Any other issues? 18 MR. SILVERMAN: One other thing. We would really 19 appreciate it if we could have a little bit of time with 20 Mr. Mishra. We feel like our Sixth Amendment ability to - or 21 our rights under the Sixth Amendment are really not being 22. respected with respect to our ability to consult with 23 Mr. Mishra about his defense. 24 We just don't -- today -- we did have time

fortunately this last weekend. We took advantage of it, had a

4:24:18PM 1 nice meeting with Mr. Mishra in Ohio about two hours from here. And it's not reasonable to ask us to drive two hours, and it's 3 really four hours round trip, and I'm not even --4 They don't even allow visits for --MS. SILVERMAN: 5 MR. SILVERMAN: They don't allow evening visits. 6 Could we make arrangements with the Court to stay an extra hour 7 and a half in the evening or something like that? THE COURT: As I understand, the marshals need to 8 9 take these gentlemen back to NEOCC. 10 Who wants to speak on behalf of the marshals? 11 DEPUTY MARSHAL: Yes, Judge. They are probably 12 waiting for them as we speak to leave. 13 THE COURT: All right. I mean I am starting trial at 14 8:45. You should get here as promptly as you can before 8:00 15 so that you may meet with your client. As I understand it, 16 these gentlemen are being brought here at 8:00 in the morning. 17 Is that correct? 18 No, ma'am. MR. SILVERMAN: 19 That's not correct. MR. BURNEY: 20 MR. SILVERMAN: That's not correct. Actually I went 21 to see Mr. Mishra today. I arrived at the Marshal's Office at 22. 8:14. He was still being processed in, and I wrote the time 23 down that I actually was able to visit with him. I think it 24 was around 8:24 or 8:26. And then, of course, we had to leave

to come to court for 8:45, and so we had less than 20 minutes

22.

of actual conference time.

MS. SILVERMAN: And that's insufficient to prepare. What we need to be able to do is review the testimony from the day — the day before so we can prepare for the next day.

THE COURT: Then you should make arrangements with NEOCC to go down there.

MR. SILVERMAN: It's not possible. They don't allow visitation in the evening.

THE COURT: They allow visitation in the off-hours.

I actually cited the rule to you. I indicated that you should use their formal process.

MS. SILVERMAN: And we have, by the way.

THE COURT: Use their formal process in order to facilitate meeting with your client.

MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, ma'am. But the thing is we're in trial 'til 4:30 and then we are in trial again 'til — at 8:45 in the morning, and we need time to prepare, and we don't have time to drive four hours —

THE COURT: I'm not going to interfere with the marshals' business in transporting prisoners back and forth.

MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I would object under the Sixth Amendment and the Fifth Amendment, and I don't feel that due process is being afforded to Mr. Mishra or to the defense under those circumstances.

THE COURT: I will also note that you have the entire

4:26:39PM 1

lunch break in order to do this. But, nevertheless, the NEOCC has a formal process by which you can request to meet with your client in the off-hours. I have no indication on the record or otherwise that that has been tried and rejected, and that is your recourse.

With respect to the mornings, I would ask that every effort be made to have these gentlemen here at 8:00. Is that a problem?

DEPUTY MARSHAL: No, Judge. It depends on the NEOCC. I mean they're supposed to be here at 7:45, but sometimes there's traffic or issues in transportation. But it's usually between 7:45 and 8:00.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, it — was this morning a different story?

DEPUTY MARSHAL: I wasn't here whenever NEOCC arrived so I can't speak on that, but there are times that it is past.

THE COURT: I ask that every reasonable effort be made to have the Defendants — Mr. Mishra and Mr. Bush should be here by 8:00 in the morning so that they are allowed an opportunity, in addition to the opportunity afforded by NEOCC, for them to meet.

Additionally we have an hour and fifteen minutes for lunch. Today we did not due to argument. I grant you that there was some of that time that was taken up, but certainly an hour remained elsewhere. You are not differently situated than

4:28:13PM 1

∩1

any other set of counsel who have had a detained client in this courtroom.

MR. SILVERMAN: And we believe it's a systemic problem.

MR. BURNEY: Your Honor, I came at 8:00 in the morning last week and they do not arrive at 8:00. And if they arrive, by the time they are processed it may be closer to 8:30. And again when the — you give us an instruction to be up here at 8:45, then of course we do leave. Now they may not be brought up here because maybe of what's going on in the courtroom, so instead of waiting down there with them so that — since we have to be in court by 8:45, then they do not bring them up here. That could give us additional time if it hasn't started.

And as far as NEOCC, I mean their directive is that 4:00 is their cutoff. Sometimes they may let you stay 'til 5:00. I know last week — I know what the Silvermans went through as far as just Saturday, and I'm not sure if they will change that. We e-mail them for an appointment, then they have to approve it.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BURNEY: They're saying their normal hours are between 8:00 and 4:00 and not on Saturday or Sunday.

THE COURT: And we know the normal hours. This rule that they have in place deals with time outside of their normal

	·I
4:29:27PM 1	hours. And so I ask you to take advantage of that rule in
2	order to facilitate meeting with your client.
3	Anything else from the Government?
4	MR. CONWAY: No, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Again, I will just reiterate my
6	request that the marshals get these gentlemen here as soon as
7	possible.
8	Court will stand in recess.
9	MR. IMHOF, DEPUTY CLERK: All rise. This court now
10	stands in recess.
11	(Court recessed at 4:30 p.m.)
12	CERTIFICATE
13	I, Shirley Ann Hall, certify that the foregoing is a correct
14	transcript for the record of proceedings in the above-titled
15	matter.
16	
17	
18	s/Shirley Ann Hall Shirley Ann Hall, RDR, CRR
19	Official Court Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	